## Case 4:20-cv-07572-JSW Document 74 Filed 11/24/21 Page 1 of 3

1	Frank E. Scherkenbach (SBN 142549 /	M. Elizabeth Day (SBN 177125)
2	scherkenbach@fr.com) Adam J. Kessel (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> /	eday@feinday.com Marc Belloli (SBN 244290)
3	kessel@fr.com)	mbelloli@feinday.com
	Jeffrey Shneidman (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> /	FEINBERG DAY KRAMER ALBERTI
4	shneidman@fr.com) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	LIM TONKOVICH & BELLOLI LLP 577 Airport Blvd., Suite 250
5	One Marina Park Drive	Burlingame, CA. 94010
	Boston, MA 02210	Tel: 650 825-4300   Fax 650 460-8443
6	Tel: (617) 542-5070   Fax : (617) 542-8906	Brian N. Platt (Admitted pro hac vice)
7	Michael R. Headley (SBN 220834 /	bplatt@wnlaw.com
8	headley@fr.com)	Brent P. Lorimer (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
0	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	blorimer@wnlaw.com WORKMAN NYDEGGER
9	500 Arguello Street, Suite 400	60 East South Temple Suite 1000
10	Redwood City, CA 94063	Salt Lake City, UT 84111
10	Tel: (650) 839-5070   Fax: (650) 839-5071	Tel: 801-533-9800   Fax 801-328-1707
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Defendant
12	BYTEDANCE LTD., BYTEDANCE INC.	TRILLÉR, INC.
	TIKTOK INC., and TIKTOK PTE. LTD.	
13		
14		S DISTRICT COURT
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	(OAKLAND DIVISION)	
17	BYTEDANCE LTD., BYTEDANCE INC.	Case No. 4:20-cv-7572-JSW
18	TIKTOK INC., and TIKTOK PTE. LTD.,	
19	Plaintiffs,	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR
20		PLAINTIFFS TO FILE OPPOSITION TO
21	v.	TRILLER'S MOTION TO STAY AND STAYING PATENT LOCAL RULES
22	TRILLER, INC.,	DEADLINES AND DISCOVERY PENDING RESOLUTION OF THE
	Defendant.	MOTION TO STAY
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOC	KET	

**ALARM** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## Case 4:20-cv-07572-JSW Document 74 Filed 11/24/21 Page 2 of 3

1

11

26

27

28

Plaintiffs ByteDance Ltd., ByteDance Inc., TikTok Inc., and TikTok Pte. Ltd ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Triller, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Triller") hereby stipulate pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, 2 3 subject to the approval of the Court, to extend by two weeks the date for Plaintiffs to file their response to Triller's motion to stay, currently due November 29, 2021, up through and including 4 5 December 13, 2021. This extension would make Triller's reply brief due December 20, well in advance of the hearing on Triller's motion to stay, which is currently scheduled for January 7, 2022. 6

7 Moreover, pending resolution of the motion to stay, the parties hereby stipulate, subject to 8 the approval of the Court, to stay the next Patent Local Rules deadlines in sequence, with the next 9 deadlines under the Patent Local Rules to be set for two weeks after the Court rules on Triller's 10 motion to stay, if the Court denies the motion to stay. In particular, this stay would apply to Plaintiff's opening damages contentions (currently due Dec. 1) and the parties' joint submission of a Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement and associated disclosures under Patent Local 12 13 Rule 4-3 (currently due Dec. 13). The parties also agree to stay discovery during this period.

14 The parties certify that this extension is not being sought for the purposes of delay, and that 15 this brief extension will not meaningfully delay or otherwise impact these proceedings, as the 16 hearing on Defendant's motion to stay is not scheduled until January 7, 2022, the hearing on 17 Defendant's motion to dismiss is not scheduled until January 28, 2022, and there is no claim 18 construction hearing on calendar at this time. This two-week extension is being sought in view of 19 the Thanksgiving holidays and Plaintiffs' counsel's preparations for an upcoming trial in another 20 matter.

21 The only prior extensions of time in this case were a stipulated extension of time for 22 Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 19), a 23 stipulated extension of time extending the briefing schedule on Defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 38), and a stipulated extension of time for the hearing on Defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. 24 No. 68). 25

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

	Case 4:20-cv-07572-JSW Docume	nt 74 Filed 11/24/21 Page 3 of 3		
1	Dated: November 24, 2021	Dated: November 24, 2021		
2	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	WORKMAN NYDEGGER		
3				
4	<u>/s/Michael R. Headley</u> Michael R. Headley	<u>/s/Brian N. Platt</u> Brian N. Platt		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Attorneys for Defendant		
6	BYTEDANCE LTD., BYTEDANCE INC. TIKTOK INC., and TIKTOK PTE. LTD.	TRILLER, INC.		
7				
8	I hereby attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has			
9	been obtained from counsel for Defendant.			
10	Dated: November 24, 2021	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.		
11				
12		By: /s/ Michael R. Headley		
13		Michael R. Headley		
14		Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
15		BYTEDANCE LTD., BYTEDANCE INC. TIKTOK INC., and TIKTOK PTE. LTD.		
16				
17	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT	IS SO ORDERED.		
18				
19	Dated:			
20		The Hon. Jeffrey S. White		
21		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
<b>DOCKET</b> <b>A L A R M</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				