|    | M. Elizabeth Day (SBN 177125)                           |                               |
|----|---|-------------------------------|
| 1  | eday@feinday.com  |                               |
| 2  | Marc Belloli (SBN 244290)                               |                               |
|    | mbelloli@feinday.com                                    |                               |
| 3  | FEINBERG DAY KRAMER ALBERTI                             |                               |
| 4  | LIM TONKOVICH & BELLOLI LLP                             |                               |
| 7  | 577 Airport Blvd., Suite 250                            |                               |
| 5  | Burlingame, CA. 94010                                   |                               |
|    | Tel: 650 825-4300/Fax 650 460-8443                      |                               |
| 6  | Prion N. Plott (Admitted number of proping)             |                               |
| 7  | Brian N. Platt (Admitted pro hac vice) bplatt@wnlaw.com |                               |
|    | Brent P. Lorimer ( <i>Admitted pro hac vice</i> )       |                               |
| 8  | blorimer@wnlaw.com                                      |                               |
|    | WORKMAN NYDEGGER  |                               |
| 9  | 60 East South Temple Suite 1000                         |                               |
| 10 | Salt Lake City, UT 84111                                |                               |
|    | Tel: 801-533-9800/Fax 801-328-1707                      |                               |
| 11 |   |                               |
| 12 | Attorneys for Defendant Triller, Inc.                   |                               |
| 13 | IN THE UNITED STA                                       | TES DISTRICT COURT            |
|    |   | ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         |
| 14 |   | SCO DIVISION                  |
| 15 |   |                               |
| 13 |   |                               |
| 16 | BYTEDANCE INC., TIKTOK INC., and                        | Case No: 4:20-cv-7572-JSW     |
|    | TIKTOK PTE. LTD.,                                       |                               |
| 17 |   | DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR        |
| 18 | Plaintiffs,   | JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS     |
|    |   | DISMISSING SECOND, THIRD, AND |
| 19 | V.  | FOURTH CLAIMS FOR RELIEF IN   |
| 20 |   | FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT       |
| 20 | TRILLER, INC.,  | Hon. Jeffrey S. White         |
| 21 | TRILLER, IIVC.,   | Hon. Jenney S. Winte          |
| _  | Defendant.  | Date: June 18, 2021           |
| 22 | Bolondant.  | Time: 9:00 AM                 |
| 23 |   | Courtroom: 5                  |
|    |   |                               |
| 24 |   |                               |
| 25 |   |                               |
| دے |   |                               |
| 26 |   |                               |
| _  |   |                               |
| 27 |   |                               |
|    |   |                               |





## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| 2          | Page(s)   |
|------------|---|
| 3          | Cases   |
| 4 5        | Aatrix Software, Inc. v. Green Shades Software, Inc., 882 F.3d 1121 (Fed. Cir. 2018)            |
| 6          | Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. DIRECTV, LLC,<br>838 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 2016)                  |
| 7 8        | Alice Corp. Pty. v. CLS Bank Int'l, 573 U.S. 208 (2014)   |
| 9<br>10    | Ameranth, Inc. v. Domino's Pizza, LLC, 792 Fed. Appx. 780 (Fed. Cir. 2019)                      |
| 11         | Athena Diagnostics, Inc. v. Mayo Collaborative Services, LLC,<br>915 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 2019)6 |
| 12<br>13   | Continental Circuits LLC v. Intel Corp., 915 F.3d 788 (Fed. Cir. 2019)                          |
| 14  <br>15 | Customedia Technologies, LLC v. Dish Network Corp., 951 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2020)11            |
| 16         | Diamond v. Chakrabarty,<br>447 U.S. 303 (1980)5   |
| 17<br>18   | Diamond v. Diehr,<br>450 U.S. 175 (1981)6   |
| 19<br>20   | Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.,<br>822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016)                               |
| 21   22    | Hal Roach Studios, Inc. v. Richard Feiner & Co.,<br>896 F.2d 1542 (9th Cir. 1990)               |
| 23         | Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Laboratories, Inc., 566 U.S. 66 (2012)                |
| 24  <br>25 | Natera, Inc. v. ArcherDX, Inc.,<br>2020 WL 6043929 (D. Del. 2020)11                             |
| 26  <br>27 | NetSoc, LLC v. Match Group, LLC,<br>838 Fed. Appx. 544 (Fed. Cir. 2020)                         |
| 28         |   |



# Case 4:20-cv-07572-JSW Document 46 Filed 04/15/21 Page 4 of 17

| 1        | Salwan v. Iancu,<br>825 Fed. Appx. 862 (Fed. Cir. 2020)   |
|----------|---|
| 2        | In re Salwan,   |
| 3        | 681 Fed. Appx. 938 (Fed. Cir. 2017)   |
| 4        | Search and Social Media Partners, LLC v. Facebook, Inc., 346 F.Supp.3d 626 (D. Del. 2018)9                  |
| 5        |   |
| 6        | Silver State Intellectual Technologies v. Facebook Inc.,<br>314 F.Supp.3d 1041 (N.D. Cal. 2018) (White, J.) |
| 7        | Tele-Publishing, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc.,  |
| 8        | 252 F.Supp.3d 17 (D. Mass. 2017)  |
| 9   10   | In re TLI Communications LLC Patent Litigation,<br>823 F.3d 607 (Fed. Cir. 2016)                            |
| 11       | Trading Technologies Int'l, Inc. v. IBG LLC,  |
|          | 921 F.3d 1084 (Fed. Cir. 2019)6   |
| 12       | Triller, Inc. v. Bytedance Ltd. et al.,   |
| 13       | No. 6:20-cv-693 (W.D. Tex.)   |
| 14       | TS Patents LLC v. Yahoo! Inc., 279 F. Supp. 3d 968 (N.D. Cal. 2017)   |
| 15       |   |
| 16       | Voter Verified, Inc. v. Election Sys. & Software LLC,<br>887 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2018)7                    |
| 17<br>18 | ZKey Investments, LLC v. Facebook Inc.,<br>225 F.Supp.3d 1147 (C.D. Cal. 2016)10                            |
| 19       | Statutes  |
| 20       | 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)   |
| 21       | 35 U.S.C. § 101   |
| 22       | Other Authorities   |
| 23       | Rule 12(b)(6)7  |
| 24       |   |
| 25       | Rule 12(c)  |
| 26       |   |
| 27       |   |
| 28       | iv  |



#### **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION**

Defendant Triller, Inc. ("Triller") hereby moves for judgment on the pleadings under Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(c) dismissing the Second, Third, and Fourth Claims for Relief set forth in the First Amended Complaint. This motion is noticed to be heard on June 18, 2021 at 9:00am in Courtroom 5.

### STATEMENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT

Triller seeks judgment on the pleadings dismissing the Second, Third, and Fourth Claims for Relief because U.S. Patent Nos. 9,648,132 ("the '132 patent"), 9,992,322 ("the '322 patent"), and 9,294,430 ("the '430 patent") are invalid on their face for claiming subject matter that is not eligible for patenting under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

#### I. STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS

On October 28, 2020, Plaintiffs Bytedance Inc. ("BDI") and TikTok Inc. ("TTI") filed a Complaint in this action seeking a declaratory judgment of non-infringement of Triller's U.S. Patent No. 9,691,429 ("the '429 patent"). (ECF No. 1.) On November 11, 2020, BDI, TTI, and TikTok Pte. Ltd. ("TTPL") filed a First Amended Complaint that restyled the declaratory judgment claim from its Complaint as a First Claim for Relief. (ECF No. 9, pp. 9-10.) Triller moved to dismiss this claim under the first-to-file rule on January 8, 2021. (ECF No. 33.) On March 30, 2021, the Court declined to dismiss the First Claim for Relief but did stay it until resolution of the 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) transfer issues raised in *Triller*, *Inc. v. Bytedance Ltd. et al.*, No. 6:20-cv-693 (W.D. Tex.). (ECF No. 44.)

The First Amended Complaint also added a Second Claim for Relief, a Third Claim for Relief, and a Fourth Claim for Relief by TTPL and TTI against Triller for infringement of the '132 patent, the '322 patent, and the '430 patent, all allegedly owned by TTPL and exclusively licensed to TTI. (ECF No. 9, pp. 11-16.) Triller now moves for judgment on the pleadings to dismiss these three claims. These three patents are invalid on their face because they are directed to subject matter that is not eligible for patenting under 35 U.S.C. § 101.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

