

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

EPIC GAMES, INC.

Plaintiff, Counter-defendant
v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant, Counterclaimant

Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR

~~PROPOSED~~ **ORDER RE: APPLE INC.’S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
REQUESTING GUIDANCE REGARDING
EVIDENTIARY HEARING**

The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, Apple Inc. (“Apple”) filed an Administrative Motion Requesting Guidance Regarding Evidentiary Hearing (“Motion”). In response to Apple’s Motion, the Court **ACCEPTS** the position on the order of the hearing presentation set forth by:

___ Apple

X Epic Games, Inc.

Epic Games bears the burden and therefore should proceed first. For efficiency purposes, if a witness is called by Epic Games, Apple's examination of that witness should include any direct examination that Apple would have conducted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 2, 2024


The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
United States District Court Judge