	Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR	Document 614	Filed 05/09	/21 Page 1 of 12		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5	U	NITED STATES I	DISTRICT COU	JRT		
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
7						
8	EPIC GAMES, INC.,		Case No. 4	20-cv-05640-YGR		
9	Plaintiff,		TRIAL ORDER NO. 4 RE:			
10	vs.		(1) ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO SEAL AND (2) PARTIAL RULING ON EXPERT			
11	Apple Inc.,		OBJECTION	5		
12	Defendant.		Re: Dkt. Nos. 489, 509, 518, 520			
13	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM					
14						
15	TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD:					
16	The Court issues this Order with respect to several items on the docket:					
17	1. Administrative Motions to Seal Expert Written Direct Examination					
18	The Court is in receipt of various motions to seal portions of the parties' expert written					
19	direct examinations. Having carefully considered the parties' arguments, supporting declarations,					
20	and declarations filed by third parties, the Court finds as follows: ¹					
21	Expert Written Direct	A 66 (1 D				
22	Paragraph or Footnote Number	Affected P	arty	Ruling		
23	Epic Games' Motion to Seal (Dkt. No. 509) ²					
24	Evans Opening 43	IDC		Y. IDC files no supporting aration to justify sealing.		
25	Evans Opening FN3	Apple, II	DC DEN	Y. IDC files no supporting		
26	$\frac{1}{1}$ As with the prior motions to seal, if the Court chooses to unseal material for use at trial, it			nseal material for use at trial, it		
27	will give the parties a chance to respond. Where this Order seals a cited exhibit, the sealing shall apply to the underlying exhibit, not the citation.					
28	² The Court addressed sealing of the written direct examination of Epic Games' expert Mr.					

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

		1	
1			declaration to justify sealing.
2			Apple does not seek to seal.
	Evans Opening 51	Samsung	DENY. Samsung files no supporting declaration. <i>See</i> Civ. L.
3		Samsung	R. 79-5(e)(2).
4		2	DENY. Samsung files no
5	Evans Opening FN5	Samsung	supporting declaration. <i>See</i> Civ. L. R. 79-5(e)(2).
6			DENY. App Annie files no
7	Evans Opening 53	App Annie	supporting declaration. See Civ. L. R. 79-5(e)(2). ³
			DENY. IDC files no supporting
8	Evans Opening 74	IDC	declaration. <i>See</i> Civ. L. R. 79- 5(e)(2).
9			DENY. IDC files no supporting
10	Evans Opening 79	IDC	declaration. See Civ. L. R. 79-
			5(e)(2).
11	Evens On oning 80	Ann Annia	DENY. App Annie files no
12	Evans Opening 80	App Annie	supporting declaration. <i>See</i> Civ. L. R. 79-5(e)(2).
13			DENY. IDC files no supporting
15	Evans Opening 81	IDC	declaration. See Civ. L. R. 79-
14			5(e)(2). GRANT to the extent shown in Dkt.
15			No. 535-1. This contains Google's
13			confidential business information,
16			including internal surveys and
17			analysis, which competitively
17	Evans Opening 88	Google	harm Google through disclosure.
18			(Dkt. No. 535 ¶ 7.) Google only
10			requests sealing specific numbers
19			based on its survey data, which
20			makes the request narrowly tailored.
01		~ 1	DENY. Google does not seek to
21	Evans Opening FN27	Google	seal.
22	Evans Opening 107	Microsoft	DENY. Microsoft does not seek to seal.
23	Evons Oronin - 141	Annla	DENY. This reveals no
24	Evans Opening 141	Apple	confidential information.
∠4		Apple	GRANT. This contains Apple's
25	Evans Opening 149		confidential financial information. (See Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
26	Evens Opering EN46	Apple	DENY . This concerns Mr. Barnes'
~	Evans Opening FN46	**	analysis of Apple's profitability,
27			
28	³ App Annie had earlier filed a	a motion to seal certai	n exhibits, but did not seek to seal the
-	³ App Annie had earlier filed a motion to seal certain exhibits, but did not seek to seal the expert written direct examinations then pending		

DOCK

LARM

Δ

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

		I	1
1			not Apple's internal data. The
2			Court has already unsealed this data. (<i>See</i> Dkt. No. 548.)
		Apple	GRANT. This contains Apple's
3	Evans Opening Table 3	- ppro	confidential financial information.
4	1 0		(See Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
		Apple	GRANT. This contains Apple's
5	Evans Opening 153		confidential financial information.
6			(See Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
	Evans Opening FN47	Apple	DENY. This reveals no
7			confidential information.
	Evans Opening FN48	Apple	DENY. This reveals no
8		A	confidential information.
9	Evans Opening FN49	Apple	DENY. This reveals no confidential information.
		Apple	DENY. This reveals no
10	Evans Opening FN50	Apple	confidential information.
11		Apple	GRANT as to number. This
11		- ppro	contains Apple's confidential
12	Evans Opening Figure 2		financial information. (See Dkt.
12			No. 540 ¶ 18.)
13		Apple	GRANT . This contains Apple's
14	Evans Opening 154		confidential financial information.
			(See Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
15	Evans Opening FN51	Apple	DENY. This reveals no confidential information.
16		Apple	DENY. This reveals no
1.5	Evans Opening FN52	Арріс	confidential information.
17			GRANT . This contains Valve's
18	Evans Opening 172	Valve	confidential business information.
	1 0		(See Dkt. No. 569 ¶ 10.)
19	Evans Opening 173	Valve	DENY. This reveals no
20		varve	confidential information.
20	Evans Opening FN65	Valve	DENY. This reveals no
21			confidential information.
22			GRANT . This contains Valve's confidential business information.
	Evans Opening 177	Microsoft, Valve	(See Dkt. No. 569 \P 11.)
23			Microsoft does not seek to seal.
~ ∥			GRANT as to last sentence only.
24	Evens On a in 192	Δ	This contains Apple's confidential
25	Evans Opening 182	Apple	financial information. (See Dkt.
			No. 540 ¶ 18.)
26	Evans Opening 183	Apple	DENY. This reveals no
27		ppic	confidential information.
- '	Evans Opening 184	Apple	DENY. This reveals no
28			confidential information.
	Evans Opening FN74	Apple	DENY. This reveals no

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1			confidential information.
2	Evans Opening Figure 3	Apple	DENY. This contains primarily historical confidential information
3			that does not outweigh its relevance to the case.
4 5	Evans Opening 188	Apple	DENY. This contains primarily historical confidential information that does not outweigh its relevance to the case.
6 7 8	Evans Opening Table 7	Apple	DENY. This contains primarily historical confidential information that does not outweigh its relevance to the case.
9 10	Evans Opening 189	Apple	DENY. This contains primarily historical confidential information that does not outweigh its relevance to the case.
11	Evans Opening FN77	Apple	DENY. This reveals no confidential information.
12	Evans Opening Figure 4	Apple	DENY. This reveals no confidential information.
13 14	Evans Opening FN105	Apple	GRANT . This contains Apple's confidential technical information. (<i>See</i> Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
15	Evans Opening 229	Apple	DENY. This reveals no confidential information.
16 17 18	Evans Opening Figure 5	Apple	GRANT . This contains Apple's confidential technical information that could be used to evade security protocols if disclosed. (<i>See</i> Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
19 20	Evans Opening FN108	Apple	DENY. This reveals no confidential information.
202122	Evans Opening 241	Match	GRANT . This contains Match's confidential business information that could cause competitive harm to Match. (<i>See</i> Dkt. No. 570 ¶ 5.)
23	Evans Opening 242	Microsoft	DENY. Microsoft does not seek to seal.
24 25	Evans Opening 270(i)	Apple	GRANT . This contains Apple's confidential financial information. (<i>See</i> Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
26	Evans Opening Table 8	Apple, App Annie	GRANT . This contains Apple's confidential financial information. (<i>See</i> Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
27 28	Evans Opening 288	Apple	GRANT . This contains Apple's confidential financial information. (<i>See</i> Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 614 Filed 05/09/21 Page 5 of 12

1	Evans Opening 295	Apple	DENY. This reveals no
2		r ppre	confidential information.
²			GRANT as to number only. This
3	Evans Opening FN126	Apple	contains Apple's confidential
			financial information. (See Dkt.
4			No. 540 ¶ 18.)
5		A 1	GRANT. This contains Apple's
5	Rossi Opening 49	Apple	confidential financial information. $(S_{12}, D_{12}, D_{12}, S_{12}, S_{12},$
6			(See Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.) GRANT as to bullet 3 after
			"Moreover." This discloses
7			Spotify's confidential business
8	Cragg Rebuttal 9	Spotify	information and could harm
0	Clagg Rebuttar y	Spoury	Spotify in negotiations with
9			content owners and distributors.
			(Dkt. No. 575 ¶ 7.)
10	Cragg Rebuttal 56		GRANT . This contains Apple's
11	66	Apple	confidential business information.
11		11	(<i>See</i> Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
12	Cragg Rebuttal Figure 4		GRANT. This contains Apple's
10		Apple	confidential business information.
13			(<i>See</i> Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
14	Cragg Rebuttal 57		GRANT. This contains Apple's
		Apple	confidential financial information.
15			(<i>See</i> Dkt. No. 540 ¶ 18.)
16	Cragg Rebuttal 65	Spotify	DENY . Spotify does not seek to
16	<u> </u>	Spound	seal.
17	Cragg Rebuttal 69	Spotify	DENY . Spotify does not seek to
	C	1 9	seal.
18	Cragg Rebuttal 70		GRANT as to clause beginning with
19			"which it estimates." This discloses Spotify's confidential
		Spotify	marketing spending data that could
20		Spottry	harm Spotify competitively by
			informing competitors' business
21			decisions. (Dkt. No. 575 ¶ 14.)
22	Cragg Rebuttal Figure 8		GRANT. This discloses Spotify's
			confidential business data that
23			could harm Spotify competitively
24		Spotify	if disclosed. (Dkt. No. 575 ¶ 15.)
24			The relevant portion of the
25			information is already disclosed in
			the paragraphs 70 and 71.
26	Cragg Rebuttal 71		GRANT. This discloses Spotify's
27		Spotify	confidential business data that
∠/		1 5	could harm Spotify competitively
28	Crease Datastic 1 EN10		if disclosed. (Dkt. No. 575 ¶ 15.)
	Cragg Rebuttal FN10	Spatify	DENY . Spotify does not seek to

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.