

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CITY OF ROSEVILLE EMPLOYEES'
RETIREMENT SYSTEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 19-cv-02033-YGR (JCS)

**ORDER RE JOINT DISCOVERY
LETTER**

Re: Dkt. No. 348

United States District Court
Northern District of California

The Court is in receipt of the parties' joint discovery letter addressing Defendants' continued withholding of approximately 1,850 documents on the basis of attorney-client privilege. The parties previously narrowed their privilege disputes to 232 documents. Therefore, the Court rejects Plaintiff's request for relief as to *all* of the documents on Defendants' privilege logs. Further, as to the remaining unproduced documents from the set of 232 to which the parties previously narrowed their disputes, it appears that the parties have not adequately met and conferred. Therefore, the Court denies without prejudice Plaintiff's request for relief as to these documents and orders the parties to meet and confer to address this remaining subset of documents. Should any documents in this subset remain in dispute after the parties have met and conferred, they may file a renewed discovery letter addressing the disputes related to those documents.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 8, 2023



JOSEPH C. SPERO
Chief Magistrate Judge