

1 Juanita R. Brooks (CA SBN 75934)  
 2 brooks@fr.com  
 3 Roger A. Denning (CA SBN 228998)  
 4 denning@fr.com  
 5 Jason W. Wolff (CA SBN 215819)  
 6 wolff@fr.com  
 7 Megan A. Chacon (CA SBN 304912)  
 8 chacon@fr.com  
 9 K. Nicole Williams (CA SBN 291900)  
 10 nwilliams@fr.com  
 11 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
 12 12860 El Camino Real, Suite 400  
 13 San Diego, CA 92130  
 14 Phone: (858) 678-5070 /Fax: (858) 678-5099

Philip W. Goter (*pro hac vice*)  
 goter@fr.com  
 Robert P. Courtney (CA SBN 248392)  
 courtney@fr.com  
 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
 3200 RBC Plaza  
 60 South 6th Street  
 Minneapolis, MN 55402  
 Phone: (612) 335-5070 /Fax: (612) 288-9696

Proshanto Mukherji (*pro hac vice*)  
 mukherji@fr.com  
 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
 One Marina Park Drive  
 Boston, MA 02210  
 Phone: (617) 542-5070/ Fax (617) 542-8906

Aamir A. Kazi (*pro hac vice*)  
 kazi@fr.com  
 Lawrence R. Jarvis (*pro hac vice*)  
 jarvis@fr.com  
 Fish and Richardson P.C.  
 1180 Peachtree Street Ne 21st Floor  
 Atlanta, GA 30309  
 Phone: (404) 879-7238/ Fax: 404-892-5002

**Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 FINJAN LLC**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 (OAKLAND DIVISION)

FINJAN LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability  
 Company,

Plaintiff,

v.

QUALYS INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:18-cv-07229-YGR (TSH)

**DECLARATION OF JASON W. WOLFF  
 IN SUPPORT OF FINJAN LLC'S  
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR  
 LEAVE TO FILE A COMBINED REPLY  
 AND OPPOSITION TO QUALYS'S  
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
 OF NO MORE THAN 25 PAGES**

1 I, Jason W. Wolff, hereby declare and state as follows:

2 1. I am a principal in the law firm of Fish & Richardson P.C., counsel of record for  
3 Plaintiff Finjan LLC in the above-captioned matter. I have personal knowledge of all the facts  
4 contained herein and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

5 2. On June 1, counsel appreciated that as a consequence of Qualys referring to its  
6 motions for summary judgment as a “cross-motion” the parties might dispute whether Civil Local  
7 Rules 7-3 and 7-4 apply to Finjan’s opposition to Qualys’s separate motion for summary judgment  
8 and its reply in support of Finjan’s motion for summary judgment, or the Court’s April 28, 2021  
9 Standing Order concerning cross-motions. Counsel for Finjan reached out to counsel for Qualys  
10 to attempt to resolve the briefing format and page limit conflict between the Civil Local Rules (7-2  
11 *et seq.*) and this Court’s Standing Order.

12 3. Counsel for Qualys disagreed that its summary judgment motion was not a “cross-  
13 motion” because both sides filed motions, albeit on different legal issues. Counsel for Qualys  
14 indicated it was too late to resolve this issue by stipulation since the Standing Order and Court’s  
15 directions at the March 26, 2021 hearing left no room for ambiguity.

16 I declare under the penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States of America that the  
17 foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 1, 2021, in San Diego, California.

18  
19 Dated: June 1, 2021

/s/ Jason W. Wolff

Jason W. Wolff

20  
21 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
22 **FINJAN LLC**  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28