	Case 4:18-cv-07229-YGR Document 195	5-1 Filed 05/10/21 Page 1 of 9
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EDWARD G. POPLAWSKI (SBN 113590) epoplawski@wsgr.com OLIVIA M. KIM (SBN 228382) okim@wsgr.com TALIN GORDNIA (SBN 274213) tgordnia@wsgr.com STEPHANIE C. CHENG (SBN 319856) stephanie.cheng@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (323) 210-2901 Facsimile: (866) 974-7329	RYAN R. SMITH (SBN 229323) rsmith@wsgr.com CHRISTOPHER D. MAYS (SBN 266510) cmays@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811
10 11	Attorneys for Defendant QUALYS INC.	
12	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
13	FOR THE NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	OAKLANI	D DIVISION
15		
16	FINJAN LLC,	) CASE NO.: 4:18-cv-07229-YGR )
17	FINJAN LLC, Plaintiff,	) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE ) STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED
17 18		) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE
17 18 19	Plaintiff,	) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE ) STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED
17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, v.	) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE ) STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED
17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v. QUALYS INC.,	) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE ) STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. QUALYS INC.,	) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE ) STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	Plaintiff, v. QUALYS INC.,	) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE ) STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Plaintiff, v. QUALYS INC.,	) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE ) STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	Plaintiff, v. QUALYS INC.,	) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE ) STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Plaintiff, v. QUALYS INC.,	) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE ) STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	Plaintiff, v. QUALYS INC.,	) ) QUALYS'S RESPONSIVE SEPARATE ) STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED

1	Pursuar	nt to Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers'	Standing Order in Civil Case Rule 9(c),
2	Qualys Inc. ("O	Qualys") hereby submits the following R	esponsive Separate Statement:
3	Issue No.	Finjan's Undisputed Material Facts and Supporting Evidence	Opposing Party's Response and Supporting Evidence
4	Issue 1 (Qualys	Fact 1. Qualys offered no evidence from anyone with personal	Disputed. Hall-Ellis personally reviewed a physical copy of DSAVT in
5	cannot establish	knowledge that DSAVT is authentic or was publicly accessible before	her analysis for the <i>Juniper</i> matter. That physical copy of DSAVT is materially
6	DSAVT is admissible	November 6, 1997.	identical to the DSAVT reference produced in this matter. Hall-Ellis's
7 8	as prior art)	Exh. 1 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 40:11-18, and 41:12-22, 44:21-45, 50:5 0 51:15 10	review of the scanned copy of DSAVT's printed copyright date also constitutes
° 9		50:5-9, 51:15-19	personal knowledge. Hall-Ellis also has personal knowledge of the publication dates from her review of the MARC
10			record she personally retrieved.
11			Hall-Ellis Decl. at ¶¶ 6-18. Mays Decl. at ¶ 2.
12			Ex. 34 (Hall-Ellis Expert Report) at ¶ 56-61.
13	Issue 1	Fact 2. Dr. Hall-Ellis' opinion regarding the public accessibility of	Disputed. Dr. Hall-Ellis's opinion regarding the public accessibility of
14		DSAVT was based on a library catalog record for a different reference.	DSAVT was based on a library catalog record for a different "manifestation" of the same back which she explains
15		Exh. 1 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 36:3-	the same book, which she explains means a different printing of the same book, not a different book.
16		16, 40:11-18, 43:13-15, 41:21-22, 44:21-45, 47:13-48:5, 48:9-51:19	Hall-Ellis Decl. at ¶¶ 6-18.
17		Exh. 3 (Hall-Ellis Ex. Rep.) at ¶¶ 59-	Ex. 34 (Hall-Ellis Expert Report) at ¶¶ 56-61.
18		60 and Attachment 2a [MARC Record for a different Reference]	Ex. 38 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 43:20- 44:20; 46:15-47:12; 48:16-50:4; 51:15-
19 20		Exh. 2	22. D.I. 192-4 at 27 (Hall-Ellis Expert
20		(QUALYS00002807/DSAVT Reference Relied on by Qualys) at	Report, Attachment 2a, MARC record for DSAVT).
21 22	T 1	2807	D.I. 192-3 at QUALYS00002805-807, QUALYS00003045 (DSAVT).
22	Issue 1	Fact 3. Dr. Hall-Ellis' had not seen or inspected the contents of the	Disputed. Hall-Ellis personally reviewed a physical copy of DSAVT in
23		DSAVT reference that corresponds to the library catalog she relies on to	her analysis for the <i>Juniper</i> matter, which was provided to her by Juniper's
24		support her opinion regarding the DSAVT.	counsel. In this case, Qualys obtained the digital copy of DSAVT at issue in this motion from Juniper's counsel. The
26		Exh. 1 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 40:20-41:7, 50:5-9	physical copy of DSAVT is materially identical to the DSAVT reference
27			produced in this matter, which is different printing of the DSAVT
28			reference described in the MARC record.

Case 4:18-cv-07229-YGR Document 195-1 Filed 05/10/21 Page 3 of 9

1			Hall-Ellis Decl. at ¶¶ 6-18.
2			Mays Decl. at ¶ 2. Ex. 34 (Hall-Ellis Expert Report) at ¶
3			56-61.
4			Ex. 38 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 43:20- 44:20; 46:15-47:12; 48:16-50:4; 51:15-
5			22. D.I. 192-4 at 27. (Hall-Ellis Expert
6			Report, Attachment 2a, MARC record for DSAVT).
7			D.I. 192-3 at QUALYS00002805-807, QUALYS00003045 (DSAVT).
8	Issue 2 (Qualys	Fact 4. Qualys offered no evidence from anyone with personal	Disputed. Hall-Ellis personally retrieved Mounji from <i>CiteSeer</i> <sup>x</sup> and
9	cannot establish	knowledge that Mounji is authentic or was publicly accessible before	reviewed its contents, which she concluded was complete and unaltered.
10	Mounji is admissible	November 6, 1997.	Hall-Ellis also personally reviewed the publication date listed on the face of
11	as prior art)	Exh. 1 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 24:5- 13, 55:8-18, 56:4-57:1, 57:10-12,	Mounji and the date listed in <i>CiteSeer</i> <sup>x</sup> to conclude that Mounji was publicly
12		60:16-24, 61:1-18, 72:14-23	available before November 6, 1997.
13			Hall-Ellis Decl. at ¶¶ 19, 24. Ex. 34 (Hall-Ellis Expert Report) at ¶¶
14			102-106. Ex. 38 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 54:25- 57:1; 58:24-59:7.
15	Issue 2	Fact 5. Qualys offered no evidence	Undisputed.
16		that the Mounji reference was publicly accessible on the CiteSeer <sup>X</sup> website before November 6, 1997.	
17			
18		Exh. 3 (Hall-Ellis Exp. Rep.) at ¶¶ 104-05	
19		Exh. 1 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 75:1- 15	
20			
21		Exh. 5 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Ex. 8/CiteSeer Wikipedia page)	
22	Issue 2	Fact 6. Qualys offered no evidence	Undisputed.
23		that the Mounji reference was publicly accessible on the	
24		ResearchGate website before November 6, 1997.	
25		Exh. 3 (Hall-Ellis Exp. Rep.) at ¶¶	
26		104-05	
27		Exh. 1 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 76:16-18	
28	L		

1 2		Exh. 6 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Ex. 9/ ResearchGate Informational Page)	
3	Issue 3 (Qualys cannot	Fact 7. Qualys offered no evidence from anyone with personal knowledge that Thomson is	Disputed. Hall-Ellis personally retrieved Thompson from <i>Semantic</i> <i>Scholar</i> . Hall-Ellis also personally
4	establish Thomson	authentic or was publicly accessible before November 6, 1997.	reviewed the landing page of <i>ResearchGate</i> for Thomson to conclude
5	is admissible	Exh. 1 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 24:5-	that Thomson was publicly available before November 6, 1997.
6	as prior art)	13, 63:24-25, 64:25-65:12, 65:20- 23, 67:6-9, 73:10-19	Hall-Ellis Decl. at ¶¶ 19, 23.
7 8			Ex. 34 (Hall-Ellis Expert Report) at ¶¶ 115-119. Ex. 28 (Hall Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 62:18
° 9	Issue 3	Fact 8. Qualys offered no evidence	Ex. 38 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 63:18- 20; 64:16-65:19; 73:4-9. Undisputed.
10	15500 5	that the Thomson reference was publicly accessible on the	Chaisputed.
11		ResearchGate website before November 6, 1997.	
12		Exh. 3 (Hall-Ellis Exp. Rep.) at ¶¶ 116-17	
13		Exh. 1 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at	
14		76:16-18	
15 16		Exh. 6 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Ex. 9/ (ResearchGate Informational Page)	
10	Issue 3	Fact 9. Qualys offered no evidence that the Thomson reference was	Undisputed.
18		publicly accessible on the Semantic Scholar website before November 6, 1997.	
19		Exh. 3 (Hall-Ellis Exp. Rep.) at ¶¶	
20		23, 116-17	
21		Exh. 1 (Hall-Ellis Dep. Tr.) at 27:1- 6, 77:25-78:2	
22		OPPOSING PARTY'S ADDITION	AL MATERIAL FACTS
23	Issue 1		Additional Fact 1. Hall-Ellis has opined that the produced DSAVT reference is
24 25			not in a condition that creates suspicion about its authenticity as it is unaltered and complete.
26			Hall-Ellis Decl. at ¶ 12.
27			Ex. 34 (Hall-Ellis Expert Report) at ¶ 56. Ex. 39 (DSAVT).
28			

	Case 4:18-c	v-07229-YGR Document 195-1 Filed 05/10/21 Page 5 of 9
1		Additional Fact 2. The copyright page
2		of the DSAVT reference lists a copyright date of 1995 and an "edition date" of November 1995.
3		
4		Hall-Ellis Decl. at ¶ 12. Ex. 34 (Hall-Ellis Expert Report) at ¶ 56.
5		D.I. 192-3 at QUALYS00002807. Additional Fact 3. The DSAVT MARC
6 7		record bears the same title as the DSAVT reference.
8		Hall-Ellis Decl.at ¶¶ 11-18. Ex. 34 (Hall-Ellis Expert Report) at ¶¶
9		56, 59. D.I. 192-3 at QUALYS00002805. D.I. 192-4 at 27.
10		Additional Fact 4. The DSAVT MARC record bears the same publisher (and
11		publisher's address) as listed in the DSAVT reference.
12		Hall-Ellis Decl.at ¶¶ 11-18.
13 14		Ex. 34 (Hall-Ellis Expert Report) at ¶ 56.
14		D.I. 192-3 at QUALYS00002806, QUALYS00003045. D.I. 192-4 at 27.
16		Additional Fact 5. The DSAVT MARC record bears the same copyright date
17		and "edition date" as the DSAVT reference.
18		Hall-Ellis Decl.at ¶¶ 11-18. Ex. 34 (Hall-Ellis Expert Report) at ¶
19		56. D.I. 192-3 at QUALYS00002807.
20		D.I. 192-4 at 27. Additional Fact 6. Stubblebine
21		independently opined that DSAVT was publicly available before November 6,
22		1997 based on of his review of two webpages.
23 24		Stubblebine Decl. at $\P$ 4.
24		Ex. 36 (Stubblebine Depo Trans.) at 23:15-24:7. Ex. 5 (Google books webpage).
26	Issue 2.	Ex. 28 (WinWorld webpage). Additional Fact 7. Hall-Ellis opined that
27		the Mounji reference is not in a condition that creates suspicion about its
28		authenticity as it is unaltered and complete.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.