

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

FINJAN, INC.,
Plaintiff,

vs.

QUALYS INC.,
Defendant.


CASE NO. 4:18-cv-07229-YGR

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SEAL
Re: Dkt. No. 175

Finjan seeks to seal portions of its responsive letter regarding summary judgment on the grounds that Qualys designated certain information as confidential under the protective order. (Dkt. No. 175.) Confidentiality designations are insufficient to justify sealing. *See* Civ. L. R. 79-5(d)(1)(A). Qualys, as the designating party, indicates that it does not wish to keep the letter sealed. (*See* Dkt. No. 182.) Accordingly, Finjan’s motion to seal is **DENIED**. Finjan shall file an unredacted version of the letter within seven days.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 5, 2021


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

United States District Court
Northern District of California