

EXHIBIT 3

1 EDWARD G. POPLAWSKI (SBN 113590)
epoplawski@wsgr.com
2 OLIVIA M. KIM (SBN 228382)
okim@wsgr.com
3 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
4 Professional Corporation
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550
5 Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (323) 210-2901
6 Facsimile: (866) 974-7329

7 RYAN R. SMITH (SBN 229323)
rsmith@wsgr.com
8 CHRISTOPHER D. MAYS (SBN 266510)
cmays@wsgr.com
9 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
10 Professional Corporation
650 Page Mill Road
11 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
12 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 493-6811

13 *Attorneys for Defendant*
14 QUALYS INC.

15 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
16 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
17 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

19 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,) CASE NO.: 4:18-cv-07229-YGR
20)
21 Plaintiff,) **DEFENDANT QUALYS INC.'S**
22) **PRELIMINARY DISCLOSURE OF**
23 v.) **INTRINSIC AND EXTRINSIC**
24) **EVIDENCE**
25 QUALYS INC., a Delaware Corporation,) **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**
26)
27)
28)

1 Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-2, the Court’s Scheduling Order, and the parties’
2 agreements, Defendant Qualys Inc. (“Qualys”) provides its preliminary disclosure of intrinsic
3 and extrinsic evidence attached here as **Exhibit 1**. Qualys notes that discovery is ongoing,
4 including discovery regarding claim construction, and Qualys therefore reserves the right to
5 supplement or amend these disclosures. Qualys further reserves the right to revise these
6 disclosures based on evidence identified by Finjan, including to use any evidence identified by
7 Finjan (in this or any previous matter). For example, Qualys may rely upon the expert testimony
8 of Dr. Aviel Rubin in connection with any or all the claim constructions proposed below.

9
10 Respectfully submitted,

11
12 DATED: November 6, 2019

By: /s/ Christopher D. Mays

EDWARD G. POPLAWSKI (SBN 113590)

epoplawski@wsgr.com

OLIVIA M. KIM (SBN 228382)

okim@wsgr.com

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

Professional Corporation

633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: (323) 210-2901

Facsimile: (866) 974-7329

RYAN R. SMITH (SBN 229323)

rsmith@wsgr.com

CHRISTOPHER D. MAYS (SBN 266510)

cmays@wsgr.com

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

Professional Corporation

650 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050

Telephone: (650) 493-9300

Facsimile: (650) 493-6811

Counsel for Defendant

QUALYS INC.

EXHIBIT 1: QUALYS’S PATENT LR 4-2 DISCLOSURES

Pat.	Term	Def. Proposal	Evidence
844	“means for comparing the first downloadable security profile against the security policy if the first downloadable security profile is trustworthy”	<p>This term is subject to 35 USC Section 112 Paragraph 6.</p> <p>Function: comparing the first downloadable security profile against the security policy if the first downloadable security profile is trustworthy;</p> <p>Structure: local security policy analysis engine 530</p>	<p>‘844 Patent at Abstract; Claim 44; Figs. 1-8; 2:20-60; 3:33-48; 8:6-8; 8:17-36; 9:23-29; 10:2-5; 10:66-11:11.</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 20, 2014) (claim construction order).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. June 16, 2014) (Finjan’s opening claim construction order).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2014) (Blue Coat’s responsive claim construction order).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2014) (Declaration of Dr. Peter Reiher).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2014) (May 23, 2014 Deposition of Dr. Peter Reiher).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. July 4, 2014) (Finjan’s reply claim construction order).</p>
844	“means for determining whether to trust the first Downloadable security profile”	<p>This term is subject to 35 USC Section 112 Paragraph 6.</p> <p>Function: determining whether to trust the first downloadable security profile</p> <p>Structure: certificate authenticator 515 and downloadable ID verification engine 520</p>	<p>‘844 Patent at Abstract; Claim 44; Figs. 1-8; 2:20-60; 3:33-67; 8:17-36; 9:23-29; 10:66-11:11.</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 20, 2014) (claim construction order).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. June 16, 2014) (Finjan’s opening claim construction order).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2014) (Blue Coat’s responsive claim construction order).</p>

			<p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2014) (Declaration of Dr. Peter Reiher)</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2014) (May 23, 2014 Deposition of Dr. Peter Reiher)</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 5:13-cv-0399 (N.D. Cal. July 4, 2014) (Finjan’s reply claim construction brief).</p>
844	“security context”	an environment in which a software application is run, which may limit resources that the application is permitted to access or operations that the application is permitted to perform	<p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 4:13-cv-5808-HS (N.D. Cal. Dec. 3, 2015) (claim construction order).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc.</i>, Civ. No. 4:13-cv-5808-HS (N.D. Cal. Jan. 26, 2015) (joint claim construction and pre-hearing brief).</p>
844	“web client”	an application on the computer of an end-user that requests a downloadable from the web server	<p>‘844 Patent: Claims 1, 15, 22, 23, 32, 41, 42, 43, 44; 2:3-15; 5:14-19; 5:28-33; 6:2-17; 7:6-8:4; 8:17-36; 8:49-51; 8:65-66; 9:63-10:13; Figs. 1 and 7.</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i>, Civ. No. 4:14-cv-02998-HS (N.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2017) (claim construction order).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i>, Civ. No. 4:14-cv-02998-HS (N.D. Cal. Dec. 20, 2017) (Finjan’s reply to Symantec’s supplemental claim construction brief).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i>, Civ. No. 4:14-cv-02998-HS (N.D. Cal. Dec. 13, 2017) (Symantec’s responsive supplemental claim construction brief).</p> <p><i>Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i>, Civ. No. 4:14-cv-02998-HS (N.D. Cal. Nov. 29, 2017) (Finjan’s supplemental claim construction brief).</p>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.