

1 EDWARD G. POPLAWSKI (SBN 113590)  
epoplawski@wsgr.com  
2 OLIVIA M. KIM (SBN 228382)  
okim@wsgr.com  
3 TALIN GORDNIA (SBN 274213)  
tgordnia@wsgr.com  
4 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation  
5 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
6 Telephone: (323) 210-2900  
7 Facsimile: (866) 974-7329

RYAN R. SMITH (SBN 229323)  
rsmith@wsgr.com  
CHRISTOPHER D. MAYS (SBN 266510)  
cmays@wsgr.com  
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation  
650 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050  
Telephone: (650) 493-9300  
Facsimile: (650) 493-6811

8 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
9 QUALYS INC.

10 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
11 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
12 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

13  
14 FINJAN LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability  
Company,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17  
18 QUALYS INC., a Delaware Corporation,

19 Defendant.

) CASE NO.: 4:18-cv-07229-YGR (TSH)

)  
) **DEFENDANT QUALYS INC.'S**  
) **MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON**  
) **THE PLEADINGS (FED. R. CIV.**  
) **PROC. 12(c))**

) **Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez**  
) **Rogers**

) **Date: December 8, 2020**

) **Time: 2:00pm**

) **Location: Zoom Teleconference<sup>1</sup>**  
)

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 <sup>1</sup> Per the Court's Notice regarding Civil Law and Motion Calendars and its Order at D.I. 48

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION ..... 1

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ..... 1

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. STATEMENT OF ISSUES..... 2

III. LEGAL STANDARDS..... 2

    A. Standards for Rule 12(c) Motions ..... 2

    B. General Standards Regarding Patent Invalidity ..... 3

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND ..... 4

    A. Relevant Procedural History ..... 4

    B. The '305 Patent and Its File History ..... 4

    C. Finjan’s Infringement Contentions..... 5

V. ARGUMENT ..... 6

    A. Elements 1 and 2: Finjan Was Party to a Final Judgment on the Merits  
    Regarding the Invalidated Claims of the '305 Patent. .... 6

    B. Element 3: The Issue of Invalidity is Identical Between the Asserted Claims  
    and the Previously Invalidated Ones. .... 7

        1. Claims 14, 17, and 25 are Identical to the Invalidated Claims..... 8

        2. Claims 6-12 and 18-24 Add Trivial Limitations. .... 9

    C. Qualys is Entitled to Judgment on the Pleadings as to the '305 Patent..... 12

VI. CONCLUSION ..... 12

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1	<b>CASES</b>	<b>PAGE(S)</b>
2		
3	<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> ,	
4	550 U.S. 544 (2007) .....	2
5	<i>Chavez v. United States</i> ,	
6	683 F.3d 1102 (9th Cir. 2012).....	2
7	<i>Cafasso, U.S. ex rel. v. General Dynamics C4 Systems, Inc.</i> ,	
8	637 F.3d 1047 (9th Cir. 2011).....	2
9	<i>Chrimar Sys. Inc. v. Ruckus Wireless, Inc.</i> ,	
10	No. 16-CV-00186-SI, 2020 WL 4431787 (N.D. Cal. July 31, 2020).....	6, 7
11	<i>Fleming v. Pickard</i> ,	
12	581 F.3d 922 (9th Cir. 2009).....	2
13	<i>Function Media, L.L.C. v. Google, Inc.</i> ,	
14	708 F.3d 1310, 105 U.S.P.Q.2d 1754 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	3
15	<i>Hoganas AB v. Dresser Industries, Inc.</i> ,	
16	9 F.3d 948 (Fed. Cir. 1993).....	3
17	<i>KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> ,	
18	127 S. Ct. 1727 (2007) .....	4
19	<i>Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center v. Eli</i>	
20	<i>Lilly and Company</i> , 849 F.3d 1049 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	3
21	<i>MaxLinear, Inc. v. CF CRESPE LLC</i> ,	
22	880 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	3
23	<i>Ohio Willow Wood Co. v. Alps South., LLC</i> ,	
24	735 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	7
25	<i>Roche Palo Alto LLC v. Apotex, Inc.</i> ,	
26	526 F. Supp. 2d 985 (N.D. Cal. 2007), aff'd, 531 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	6, 7
27	<i>Ross v. U.S. Bank Nat'l Ass'n</i> ,	
28	542 F.Supp.2d 1014 (N.D. Cal. 2008) .....	2
	<i>Soverain Software LLC v. Newegg Inc.</i> ,	
	705 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	7, 9
	<i>Soverain Software LLC v. Victoria's Secret Direct Brand Mgmt., LLC</i> ,	
	778 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	7, 9, 10
	<i>Titanium Metals Corp. of America v. Banner</i> ,	
	778 F.2d 775 (Fed. Cir. 1985) .....	3

1	<i>Trevino v. Gates,</i> 99 F.3d 911 (9th Cir. 1996).....	6
2	<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. ADP, LLC,</i>	
3	772 F. App'x 890 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	3
4	<i>United States v. 14.02 Acres,</i>	
5	547 F.3d 943 (9th Cir. 2008).....	3
6	<i>XY, LLC v. Trans Ova Genetics,</i>	
7	890 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	6
8	<b>STATUTES</b>	
9	35 U.S.C.A. § 102 .....	3
10	35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	4
11	35 U.S.C. § 282(b)(2).....	6
12	<b>RULES</b>	
13	Fed. R. Evid. 201.....	3
14	Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 .....	2, 3
15	Patent L.R. 3-1 .....	5
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

**GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

'305 Patent.....United States Patent No. 7,975,305

Dependent Claim.....A claim that refers to an earlier independent claim and further limits that independent claim.

Freund..... U.S. Patent No. 5,987,611

FTP .....File Transfer Protocol

HTTP .....Hypertext Transport Protocol (web sites)

HTTPS.....Hypertext Transport Protocol, Secured (web sites)

Independent Claim ..... A standalone claim that contains all the limitations necessary to define an invention.

Office Action..... A document written by a USPTO Examiner to summarize a determination of the allowability or non-allowability of patent claims.

POP3.....Post Office Protocol 3 (e-mail)

Prosecution ..... The application process for a patent

PTAB.....USPTO’s Patent Trials and Appeals Board

Rule 12(b).....Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 12(b)

Rule 12(c).....Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 12(c)

SMTP ..... Simple Mail Transport Protocol (e-mail)

USPTO ..... United States Patent and Trademark Office

Wells..... U.S. Patent No. 8,140,660

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.