

1 EDWARD G. POPLAWSKI (SBN 113590)  
epoplawski@wsgr.com  
2 OLIVIA M. KIM (SBN 228382)  
okim@wsgr.com  
3 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
4 Professional Corporation  
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550  
5 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: (323) 210-2900  
6 Facsimile: (866) 974-7329

7 RYAN R. SMITH (SBN 229323)  
rsmith@wsgr.com  
8 CHRISTOPHER D. MAYS (SBN 266510)  
cmays@wsgr.com  
9 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
10 Professional Corporation  
650 Page Mill Road  
11 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050  
12 Telephone: (650) 493-9300  
Facsimile: (650) 493-6811

13 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
14 QUALYS INC.

15 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
17 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

18 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation, ) CASE NO.: 4:18-cv-07229-YGR  
19 )  
20 Plaintiff, ) **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING**  
21 ) **DEFENDANT QUALYS INC.'S**  
v. ) **ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO**  
22 ) **FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**  
QUALYS INC., a Delaware Corporation, )  
23 ) **Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez**  
Defendant. ) **Rogers**  
24 ) **Date: N/A<sup>1</sup>**

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>1</sup> Subject to the Court’s March 12, 2020 Order (D.I. 48) suspending in-person appearances.  
28

1 Defendant Qualys Inc. (“Qualys”) Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal  
 2 was brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration  
 3 of Christopher D. Mays filed in support thereof, the Court finds there to be good cause and  
 4 compelling reasons for granting the request to file under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE and compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

6  
 7 1. There exists overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public  
 8 access to the record of the following document:

<b>Identification of Documents to be Sealed</b>	<b>Portion of Document Sought to be Sealed</b>	<b>Entity That Designated the Information As Confidential</b>
Defendant Qualys Inc.’s Reply in Support of its Motion for Leave to Amend Answer and Affirmative Defenses	Reply at page 4, lines 16-17, 24-27; Reply at page 5, lines 1-2, 7; Reply at page 6, lines 4-28; Reply at page 7, lines 1-2, 4-8	Finjan

9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be  
 17 prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

18 3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

19 4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Qualys’s Administrative Motion to File Documents  
 2 Under Seal is GRANTED with respect to the document set forth as follows:

Document or Portion of Document Sought to be Sealed	Evidence Offered in Support of Sealing	Order
3 Reply at page 4, lines 16-17, 24-27; 4 Reply at page 5, lines 1-2, 7; 5 Reply at page 6, lines 4-28; 6 Reply at page 7, lines 1-2, 4-8 7	8 Mays Declaration ¶ 2-3. 9	

10 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

11 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
 12 Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28