

1 EDWARD G. POPLAWSKI (SBN 113590)
epoplawski@wsgr.com
2 OLIVIA M. KIM (SBN 228382)
okim@wsgr.com
3 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &
4 ROSATI, P.C.
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550
5 Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (323) 210-2901
6 Facsimile: (866) 974-7329

RYAN R. SMITH (SBN 229323)
rsmith@wsgr.com
CHRISTOPHER D. MAYS (SBN 266510)
cmays@wsgr.com
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &
ROSATI, P.C.
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 493-6811

7 *Attorneys for Defendant*
8 QUALYS INC.

9 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

13 FINJAN, INC.,) CASE NO.: 4:18-cv-07229-YGR
14)
Plaintiff,) **DEFENDANT QUALYS INC.'S**
15) **RESPONSIVE CLAIM**
v.) **CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**
16)
QUALYS INC.,) Date: May 1, 2020¹
17) Time: 10:00 AM
Defendant.) Place: Courtroom 1, 4th Floor
18) Before: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
19)

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 ¹ Subject to the Court's March 12, 2020 Order (D.I. 48) suspending in-person appearances.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1		
2	I.	INTRODUCTION..... 1
3	II.	GOVERNING LAW ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 1
4	III.	'408 PATENT 3
5	A.	'408 Patent Overview 3
6	B.	Term 1: “instantiating, by the computer, a scanner for the specific programming language” 3
7		
8	IV.	'968 PATENT 4
9	A.	'968 Patent Overview 4
10	B.	Term 2: “dynamically generating a policy index” 5
11	C.	Term 3: “known to be allowable relative to a given policy” 6
12	D.	Term 4: “memory storing a cache of digital content” 8
13	V.	'731 PATENT 9
14	A.	'731 Patent Overview 9
15	B.	Term 5: “incoming files from the internet” 10
16	VI.	'844 PATENT 11
17	A.	'844 Patent Overview 11
18	B.	Term 6: “web client” 12
19	VII.	'154 PATENT 13
20	A.	'154 Patent Overview 13
21	B.	Term 7: “a content processor” 14
22	C.	Term 8: “security computer” ('154 patent) 17
23	VIII.	INDEFINITENESS 18
24	A.	Legal Background on Indefiniteness 19
25	B.	Terms 9 and 10: “receiver” and “transmitter” 20
26	IX.	CONCLUSION 23
27		
28		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**CASES**

1		
2		
3	<i>Al-Site Corp. v. VSI Intern., Inc.</i> ,	
4	174 F.3d 1308, 50 U.S.P.Q.2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	16, 19
5	<i>Alloc, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> ,	
6	342 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	6, 9
7	<i>Apple Inc. v. Motorola, Inc.</i> ,	
8	757 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2014), <i>overruled on other grounds by Williamson</i> ,	
9	792 F.3d 1339.....	20
10	<i>Astrazeneca AB v. Mut. Pharm. Co.</i> ,	
11	384 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	3
12	<i>Aventis Pharma S.A. v. Hospira, Inc.</i> ,	
13	675 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	3
14	<i>David Netzer Consulting Eng'r LLC v. Shell Oil Co.</i> ,	
15	824 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	11
16	<i>EnOcean GmbH v. Face Int'l Corp.</i> ,	
17	742 F.3d 955 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	21
18	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Sys.</i> ,	
19	2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 122951, 2018 WL 3537142 (N.D. Cal. July 23,	
20	2018).....	12
21	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> ,	
22	387 F. Supp. 3d 1004 (N.D. Cal. May 8, 2019).....	15, 16
23	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> ,	
24	N.D. Cal. Case No. C 17-05659-WHA.....	15, 16
25	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc.</i> ,	
26	No. 3:13-cv-5808-HSG, Dkt. No. 321.....	7
27	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc.</i> ,	
28	No. 3:13-cv-5808-HSG, Dkt. No. 462.....	7, 16
	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Rapid7, Inc.</i> ,	
	No. CV 18-1519 (MN), 2020 WL 565377 (D. Del. Feb. 5, 2020).....	16
	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Sonicwall, Inc.</i> ,	
	Case No. 17-cv-04467-BLF.....	3, 4
	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Sophos, Inc.</i> ,	
	2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 68128 (N.D. Cal. May 24, 2016).....	7
	<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i> ,	
	2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19526, 2017 WL 550453 (N.D. Cal. February 10,	
	2017).....	12, 16
	<i>Kinik Co. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i>	

1	<i>Luminara Worldwide, LLC v. Liown Elecs. Co.</i> ,	
	814 F.3d 1343.....	11
2	<i>Medrad, Inc. v. MRI Devices Corp.</i> ,	
3	401 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	2
4	<i>Metabolite Labs., Inc. v. Lab. Corp. of Am. Holdings</i> ,	
5	370 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	2
6	<i>O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co.</i> ,	
	521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	12, 17
7	<i>On Demand Mach. Corp. v. Ingram Indus., Inc.</i> ,	
8	442 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	3
9	<i>Pacing Techs. LLC v. Garmin Int'l, Inc.</i> ,	
	778 F.3d 1021 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	11
10	<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> ,	
11	415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	2
12	<i>Poly-America, L.P. v. API Indus., Inc.</i> ,	
	839 F.3d 1131 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	5, 7, 8, 16
13	<i>Regents of Univ. of Minnesota v. AGA Med. Corp.</i> ,	
14	717 F.3d 929 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	15
15	<i>SciMed Life Sys., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc.</i> ,	
	242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	3
16	<i>Techtronic Indus. Co. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> ,	
17	944 F.3d 901 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	5, 7, 8, 16
18	<i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC</i> ,	
	669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	2, 3, 5
19	<i>Trustees of Columbia Univ. in City of New York v. Symantec Corp.</i> ,	
20	811 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	3, 13, 16
21	<i>UltimatePointer, L.L.C. v. Nintendo Co.</i> ,	
	816 F.3d 816 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	10
22	<i>Unitherm Food Sys., Inc. v. Swift-Eckrich, Inc.</i> ,	
23	375 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	2
24	<i>V-Formation, Inc. v. Benetton Group SpA</i> ,	
	401 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	2
25	<i>Verizon Servs. Corp. v. Vonage Holdings Corp.</i> ,	
26	503 F.3d.....	15
27	<i>VirnetX, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> ,	
	767 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	11, 12, 13
28	<i>Visto Corp. v. Sproqit Techs., Inc.</i> ,	
	445 F. Supp. 2d 1104 (N.D. Cal. 2006).....	16

1 *Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC*,
792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....19, 21, 22

2
3 *World Class Tech. Corp. v. Ormco Corp.*,
769 F.3d 1120 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....11

4 **STATUTES**

5 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 219, 20

6 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 619, 20, 21, 22

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.