EXHIBIT A

1	EDWARD G. POPLAWSKI (SBN 113590)	
2	epoplawski@wsgr.com OLIVIA M. KIM (SBN 228382)	
3	okim@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	
4	Professional Corporation	
5	633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550 Los Angeles, CA 90071	
6	Telephone: (323) 210-2901 Facsimile: (866) 974-7329	
7		
8	RYAN R. SMITH (SBN 229323) rsmith@wsgr.com	
9	CHRISTOPHER D. MAYS (SBN 266510) WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	
10	Professional Corporation	
11	650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050	
12	Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811	
13		
14	Attorneys for Defendant QUALYS INC.	
15	IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
16	EOD THE NODTHERN DISTRICT OF CALLEODNIA	
17		
18	O.1112.11.	
19	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,) CASE NO.: 4:18-cv-07229-YGR
20	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT QUALYS INC.'S
21	v.	(PROPOSED) SECOND AMENDEDANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND
22	QUALYS INC., a Delaware Corporation,) COUNTERCLAIMS)
23	Defendant.) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
24	Defendant.	
25)
)
)
26 27		



Defendant Qualys Inc. ("Qualys"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby files its first amended answer and asserted counterclaims in response to the Complaint filed by Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. ("Finjan") as follows:

FIRST AMENDED ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

THE PARTIES

- 1. Qualys admits that, upon information and belief, Finjan is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business at 2000 University Ave., Suite 600, East Palo Alto, California 94303.
- 2. Qualys admits that it is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 919 E. Hillsdale Boulevard, 4th Floor, Foster City, California 94404.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Qualys admits that Finjan's Complaint purports to be an action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States of America, Title 35 of the United States Code. Qualys admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
- 4. Qualys admits that venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1400(b). Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
- 5. Qualys admits that this Court has personal jurisdiction over Qualys. Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 5 of the Complaint.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

6. Qualys admits that pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c), Intellectual Property Actions are assigned on a district-wide basis.



FINJAN'S ALLEGED INNOVATIONS

- 7. Qualys is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 7 of the Complaint, and accordingly denies the same.
- 8. Qualys is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 8 of the Complaint, and accordingly denies the same.

FINJAN'S ASSERTED PATENTS

- 9. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 ("the '844 Patent"), titled "System and Method for Attaching a Downloadable Security Profile to a Downloadable," was issued to Shlomo Touboul and Nachshon Gal on November 28, 2000. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that a true and correct copy of the '844 Patent is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 1. Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
- 10. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that Finjan is the owner of the '844 Patent with all rights, title, and interest to that patent and has been the sole owner of the '844 Patent since its issuance. Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
 - 11. Qualys denies the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
- 12. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 ("the '494 Patent"), titled "Malicious Mobile Code Runtime Monitoring System and Methods," was issued to Yigal Mordechai Edery, Nimrod Itzhak Vered, David R. Kroll, and Shlomo Touboul on March 18, 2014. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that a true and correct copy of the '494 Patent is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 2. Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 12 of the Complaint.



- 13. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that Finjan is the owner of the '494 Patent with all rights, title, and interest to that patent and has been the sole owner of the '494 Patent since its issuance. Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 13 of the Complaint.
 - 14. Qualys denies the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
- 15. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that U.S. Patent No. 7,975,305 ("the '305 Patent"), titled "Method and System for Adaptive Rule-Based Content Scanners for Desktop Computers," was issued to Moshe Rubin, Moshe Matitya, Artem Melnick, Shlomo Touboul, Alexander Yermakov, and Amit Shaked on July 5, 2011. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that a true and correct copy of the '305 Patent is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 3. Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
- 16. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that Finjan is the owner of the '305 Patent with all rights, title, and interest to that patent and has been the sole owner of the '305 Patent since its issuance. Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 16 of the Complaint.
 - 17. Qualys denies the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
- 18. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408 ("the '408 Patent"), titled "Method and System for Adaptive Rule-Based Content Scanners," was issued to Moshe Rubin, Moshe Matitya, Artem Melnick, Shlomo Touboul, Alexander Yermakov, and Amit Shaked on July 17, 2012. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that a true and correct copy of the '408 Patent is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 4. Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 18 of the Complaint.
- 19. Qualys admits that Finjan purports that Finjan is the owner of the '408 Patent with all rights, title, and interest to that patent and has been the sole owner of the '408 Patent since its issuance. Qualys denies any and all remaining allegations in paragraph 19 of the Complaint.
 - 20. Qualys denies the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the Complaint.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

