

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
 2 pandre@kramerlevin.com
 3 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
 4 lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
 5 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
 6 jhannah@kramerlevin.com
 7 AUSTIN MANES (State Bar No. 284065)
 8 amanes@kramerlevin.com
 9 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
 10 990 Marsh Road
 11 Menlo Park, CA 94025
 12 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
 13 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
 15 FINJAN, INC.

16 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 17 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 18 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

19 FINJAN, INC.,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 QUALYS INC.,

23 Defendant.

Case No.: 4:18-cv-07229-YGR

**PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.’S OPENING
 CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

Date: May 1, 2020

Time: 10:00 AM

Place: Courtroom 1, 4th Floor

Before: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1	
2 I. INTRODUCTION	1
3 II. STATEMENT OF FACTS	1
4 A. The ‘408 Patent	2
5 B. The ‘968 Patent	2
6 C. The ‘731 Patent	2
7 D. The ‘844 Patent	2
8 E. The ‘154 Patent	3
9 F. The ‘494 Patent	3
10 III. ARGUMENT	3
11 A. Term in the ‘408 Patent.....	3
12 Term 1. “instantiating, by the computer, a scanner for the specific	
13 programming language” (Claim 1)	3
14 B. Terms in the ‘968 Patent	6
15 Term 2. “dynamically generating a policy index” (Claims 26, 32, and 33).....	6
16 Term 3. “known to be allowable relative to a given policy” / “allowable	
17 relative to a given policy” (Claims 1, 12, 13, 23, 26, 32, and 33)	7
18 Term 4. “memory storing a cache of digital content” (Claims 1, 13, 23, 26,	
19 and 32)	8
20 C. Term in the ‘731 Patent.....	9
21 Term 5. “incoming files from the internet” (Claim 1).....	9
22 D. Term in the ‘844 Patent.....	10
23 Term 6. “web client” (Claims 1, 15, 22, 23, 32, and 41-44)	10
24 E. Terms in the ‘154 Patent	10
25 Term 7. “a content processor” (Claims 1 and 6)	10
26 Term 8. “security computer” (Claims 1 and 6)	13
27 F. Terms in the ‘494 Patent, ‘968 Patent, and ‘154 Patent.....	14
28	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Term 9. “receiver” (‘494 Patent, Claim 10; ‘968 Patent Claim 7; ‘154 Patent, Claims 1-2 and 6-7) 14

1. Structure for “receiver” in the ‘494 Patent 16

2. Structure for “receiver” in the ‘968 Patent 16

3. Structure for “receiver” in the ‘154 Patent 17

Term 10. “transmitter” (‘968 Patent Claim 6; ‘154 Patent, Claims 1-3 and 6-8)..... 17

1. Structure for “transmitter” in the ‘968 Patent 18

2. Structure for “transmitter” in the ‘154 Patent 18

IV. CONCLUSION..... 19

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Adams Respiratory Therapeutics, Inc. v. Perrigo Co.</i> , 616 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	6
<i>Chef Am., Inc. v. Lamb-Weston, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	passim
<i>EnOcean GmbH v. Face Int’l Corp.</i> , 742 F.3d 955 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	14, 15, 17
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Bitdefender Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-04790 HSG, 2019 WL 634985 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 14, 2019)	11, 15, 18
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-00072-BLF, 2018 WL 3537142 (N.D. Cal. Jul. 23, 2018).....	15, 18
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Eset, LLC</i> , No. 17-cv-0183-CAB-(BGS), 2017 WL 5501338 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 2017)	18
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc.</i> , No. 3:13-cv-05808-HSG, 2015 WL 7770208 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 3, 2015)	passim
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Rapid7, Inc.</i> , No. 18-1519 (MN), 2020 WL 565377 (D. Del. Feb. 5, 2020).....	5, 11, 12
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Sonicwall, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-04467-BLF, 2019 WL 1369938 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2019).....	5, 18
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , No. 14-cv-02998-HSG, 2017 WL 550453 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2017).....	5, 6, 11
<i>Hill-Rom Servs., Inc. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	14
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 517 U.S. 370 (1996).....	5
<i>Nazomi Commc’ns, Inc. v. Arm Holdings, PLC.</i> , 403 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	11
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).....	4, 7
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int’l, Inc.</i> , 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	15

1 *SRI Int’l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp. of Am.*,
775 F. 2d 1107 (Fed. Cir. 1985)..... 12

2
3 *Superguide Corp. v. DirecTV Enters., Inc.*,
358 F.3d 870 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 7

4 *Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm’t Am. LLC*,
5 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... 4, 7, 13

6 *Visto Corp. v. Sproqit Techs., Inc.*,
7 445 F. Supp. 2d 1104 (N.D. Cal. 2006) 5

8 *Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC*,
792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... 14, 15, 17

9 **Federal Statutes**

10 35 U.S.C. § 112(6) 14, 15, 17

11 **Rules**

12 Patent Local Rule 4-3..... 1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.