	Case 4:18-cv-06185-HSG Document	63 Filed 04/15/19	Page 1 of 6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Sarah G. Hartman (Cal. Bar No. 281751) shartman@brownrudnick.com Alfred R. Fabricant (pro hac vice) afabricant@brownrudnick.com Peter Lambrianakos@brownrudnick.com Vincent J. Rubino, III (pro hac vice) vrubino@brownrudnick.com Brown Rudnick LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 10036 Telephone: (212) 209-4800 Facsimile: (212) 209-4801 Arjun Sivakumar (Cal. Bar No. 297787) asivakumar@brownrudnick.com Brown Rudnick LLP 2211 Michelson Drive, Seventh Floor Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (949) 752-7100 Facsimile: (949) 252-1514		
12	Attorneys for Defendant AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION		
15 16		Case No. 18-cv-061	85-HSG
10	ZTE (USA) INC.,		TION AND [PROPOSED]
18	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO AMEI (DKT. 25)	ND SCHEDULING ORDER
19	v.		
20	AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, et al.		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23		_	
24			
25			
26			
	KET R M Find authenticated court documents	without watermarks at <u>d</u>	locketalarm.com.

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b), Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, and the Court's Scheduling Order (Dkt. 25), Defendant AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS Software") and Plaintiff ZTE (USA) Inc. ("ZTE") (collectively, "the Parties"), hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on October 9, 2018, ZTE filed its initial Complaint seeking a judicial

declaration of non-infringement, invalidity, and/or unenforceability as to the patents-in-suit against

AGIS Software, AGIS Holdings, Inc. ("AGIS Holdings"), and Advanced Ground Information

Systems, Inc. ("AGIS, Inc.") (Dkt. 1);

WHEREAS, on December 31, 2018, ZTE filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") removing AGIS Holdings and AGIS, Inc. as named defendants, but continuing to assert claims against AGIS Software (Dkt. 18);

13

WHEREAS, on January 17, 2019, the Court signed and entered the Scheduling Order (Dkt.

14 25), which set the following dates:

14	25), which set the following dates.		
15	Event	Date	
15	Amendment of Complaint	March 15, 2019	
16	Defendant's Disclosure of Asserted Claims	April 15, 2019	
	and Infringement Contentions		
17	Defendant's Production	April 15, 2019	
18	Deadline for the Parties to Submit a Proposed	April 22, 2019	
10	Protective Order		
19	Deadlines for the Parties to Submit a Proposed	April 22, 2019	
	ESI Order		
20	Deadlines for the Parties to Submit a Proposed	April 22, 2019	
21	Discovery Order		
21	Plaintiff's Invalidity Contentions	April 29, 2019	
22	Plaintiff's Production	April 29, 2019	
	Exchange Proposed Claim Terms	May 13, 2019	
23	Exchange Preliminary Claim Constructions	June 3, 2019	
~	and Extrinsic Evidence		
24	Damages Contentions	June 10, 2019	
25	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing	June 12, 2019	
	Statement		
26	Exchange Expert Declarations in Support of	June 12, 2019	
	Claim Construction, if Any		
27	Responsive Damages Contentions	July 8, 2019	
28	Complete Claim Construction Discovery	July 15, 2019	
20	-		

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 4:18-cv-06185-HSG Document 63 Filed 04/15/19 Page 3 of 6

///

///

1	Submit Opening Claim Construction Brief	July 30, 2019
1	Submit Responsive Claim Construction Brief	August 13, 2019
2	Submit Reply Claim Construction Brief	August 20, 2019
	Claim Construction Hearing	September 11, 2019; 1:00 p.m.
3		

WHEREAS, after the Court entered the Scheduling Order, on February 5, 2019, ZTE filed a Second Amended Complaint ("SAC"), removing ZTE's claims of invalidity of the patents-in-suit;
WHEREAS, on February 19, 2019, AGIS Software filed a Motion to Dismiss the SAC ("MTD"), with a hearing scheduled for May 9, 2019 (Dkt. 41);

WHEREAS, on March 15, 2019, AGIS Software filed a Motion for Sanctions against ZTE, with a hearing scheduled for June 6, 2019 (Dkt. 48);

WHEREAS, on April 4, 2019, in order to allow sufficient time for the Parties to complete mediation, the Court granted the Parties' request to extend the ADR deadline from April 17, 2019 to June 21, 2019 (Dkt. 56);

WHEREAS, on April 9, 2019, in accordance with the Court's April 2, 2019 Order, ZTE resubmitted its opposition brief to the MTD (Dkt. 59);

WHEREAS, also on April 9, 2019, the Parties confirmed with JAMS that they will engage in mediation on June 4, 2019 with Hon. James Ware (Ret.) as Mediator;

WHEREAS, AGIS Software's reply brief in response to ZTE's resubmitted opposition to the MTD is due to be filed on April 16, 2019;

WHEREAS, considering the status of the case, the pendency of the motions (including a dispositive motion) and the upcoming mediation, the Parties have agreed that good cause exists for a 3 week extension of all upcoming deadlines set forth in the Scheduling Order, as such extension will permit more efficient case management, serve the interests in judicial economy, and ensure the most efficient use of the Court's and the Parties' resources;

WHEREAS, the Parties have not previously requested an extension of these deadlines, and the Parties do not believe the requested 3-week extension of the currently-scheduled deadlines will have a material impact on the schedule for the case;

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff and Defendant, through their respective undersigned counsel, that the upcoming deadlines set forth in the Scheduling Order (Dkt. 25) be continued by 3 weeks, as follows:

3

Α

А

R

Μ

1

2

4					
_	Event	Previous Dates	Amended Dates		
5	Defendant's Disclosure of	April 15, 2019	May 6, 2019		
6	Asserted Claims and				
	Infringement Contentions				
7	Defendant's Production	April 15, 2019	May 6, 2019		
8	Deadline for the Parties to	April 22, 2019	May 13, 2019		
0	Submit a Proposed Protective				
9	Order	1.00.0010	10,0010		
	Deadlines for the Parties to	April 22, 2019	May 13, 2019		
10	Submit a Proposed ESI Order	A 122 2010	N. 12 2010		
11	Deadlines for the Parties to	April 22, 2019	May 13, 2019		
11	Submit a Proposed Discovery Order				
12	Plaintiff's Invalidity Contentions	April 29, 2019	May 20, 2019		
12	Plaintiff's Production	April 29, 2019	May 20, 2019		
13	Exchange Proposed Claim Terms	May 13, 2019	June 3, 2019		
14	Exchange Preliminary Claim	June 3, 2019	June 24, 2019		
	Constructions and Extrinsic	<i>build 5</i> , 2017	vanc 2 1, 2017		
15	Evidence				
16	Damages Contentions	June 10, 2019	July 1, 2019		
10	Joint Claim Construction and	June 12, 2019	July 3, 2019		
17	Prehearing Statement				
10	Exchange Expert Declarations in	June 12, 2019	July 3, 2019		
18	Support of Claim Construction,				
19	if Any				
	Responsive Damages	July 8, 2019	July 29, 2019		
20	Contentions	1 1 15 2010	4 5 2010		
21	Complete Claim Construction	July 15, 2019	August 5, 2019		
21	Discovery	July 30, 2019	August 20, 2010		
22	Submit Opening Claim Construction Brief	July 30, 2019	August 20, 2019		
	Submit Responsive Claim	August 13, 2019	September 3, 2019		
23	Construction Brief	August 15, 2017	September 5, 2017		
24	Submit Reply Claim	August 20, 2019	September 10, 2019		
∠+	Construction Brief	1 iug ust 20, 2017			
25	Claim Construction Hearing	September 11, 2019; 1:00	October 2, 2019; 1:00 p.m.		
26		p.m.			
26		-			
27	11 IS SU STIPULATED, II	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.			
28					

Case 4:18-cv-06185-HSG Document 63 Filed 04/1	5/19 Page 5 of 6
---	------------------

1

1		
2	Date: April 12, 2019	By: <u>/s/Alfred R. Fabricant</u> Alfred R. Fabricant
3		NY Bar No. 2219392
		Email: afabricant@brownrudnick.com
4		Peter Lambrianakos NY Bar No. 2894392
5		Email: plambrianakos@brownrudnick.com
6		Vincent J. Rubino, III
0		NY Bar No. 4557435
7		Email: vrubino@brownrudnick.com Sarah G. Hartman
8		CA Bar No. 281751
9		Email: shartman@brownrudnick.com
9		BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square
10		New York, NY 10036
11		Telephone: 212-209-4800
12		Facsimile: 212-209-4801
		Arjun Sivakumar
13		CA Bar No. 297787
14		BROWN RUDNICK LLP
15		2211 Michelson Drive, Seventh Floor Irvine, CA 92612
		n (mo, 011)2012
16		Attorneys for Defendant
17		AGIS Software Development LLC
18	Dated: April 12, 2019	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
19		GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
20		By:/s/Lionel M. Lavenue
21		Lionel M. Lavenue Attorneys for Plaintiff
22		ZTE (USA) Inc.
23	///	
24	///	
25		
26	///	
27	///	
28		
DOC	VET	
DOCI		
ALA	R M Find authenticated c	ourt documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.