

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FISHER-PRICE, INC., et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
DYNACRAFT BSC, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. 17-cv-03745-PJH

ORDER STAYING CASE

Re: Dkt. Nos. 50, 55

United States District Court
Northern District of California

On November 7, 2017, this court stayed this action pending the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's ("PTAB") decision regarding whether to institute review following Dynacraft's petitions for inter-partes review of the asserted claims in the patents-in-suit. Dkt. 50. This court stated that it would reconsider the advisability of the stay after the decision from the PTAB, and that it would likely continue the stay if the PTAB instituted the IPRs. Dkt. 50 at 5.

On April 19, 2018, the parties informed the court that the PTAB instituted review of three patents at issue in the case and denied review of one patent. Dkt. 55. Thus, given the potential for many overlapping issues in this litigation to become moot, and given the fact that this case is still at an early stage, the court CONTINUES THE STAY in this case as to all four patents pending the PTAB's final written decisions on the '684, '978, and '850 patents.

The parties shall advise the court as soon as the PTAB has made its determinations. If the PTAB has not issued its final decisions by October 27, 2018, the

parties shall provide the court with a status update on that date, and every six months

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

thereafter until the PTAB has issued its final decisions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 27, 2018



PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California