	Case 3:23-cv-03624-PHK Docume	ent 1 Filed 07/21/23	Page 1 of 48	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DARIN SNYDER (CA S.B. #136003) dsnyder@omm.com LUANN L. SIMMONS (CA S.B. #20352 lsimmons@omm.com MARK LIANG (CA S.B. # 278487) mliang@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3823 Telephone: +1 415 984 8700 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> <i>GOOGLE LLC</i>	26)		
8	UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COU	J RT	
9	NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFO	DRNIA	
10				
11	GOOGLE LLC,	Case No.		
12	Plaintiff,		T FOR DECLARATORY	
13	V.	JUDGMENT	ſ	
14	AGIS HOLDINGS, INC., ADVANCED	DEMAND F	OR JURY TRIAL	
15	GROUND INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC., AND AGIS SOFTWARE	,		
16	DEVELOPMENT LLC,			
17	Defendants.			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				

1	Plaintiff Google LLC ("Google") brings this action for declaratory judgment against
2	Defendants AGIS Holdings, Inc. ("AGIS Holdings"), Advanced Ground Information Systems,
3	Inc. ("AGIS, Inc."), and AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS Software") (collectively
4	"AGIS" or "AGIS Entities") and alleges:
5	NATURE OF THE ACTION
6	1. This is an action for declaratory judgment of non-infringement, invalidity, and
7	unenforceability of U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 ("'970 Patent") against AGIS pursuant to the
8	Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02, and the patent laws of the United States, 35
9	U.S.C. § 100 et seq., and for other relief the Court deems just and proper.
10	2. Google requests this relief because AGIS has asserted in multiple cases that
11	Google and others infringe the '970 Patent based on Google's Find My Device ("FMD")
12	application.
13	3. In 2017, AGIS asserted infringement of the '970 Patent based on FMD in cases
14	filed in the Eastern District of Texas ("EDTX"), against Huawei, LG, ZTE, and HTC. See AGIS
15	Software Development LLC v. ZTE Corp., 2:17-cv-00517 (E.D. Tex.); AGIS Software
16	Development LLC v. LG Elecs. Inc, 2:17-cv-00515 (E.D. Tex.); AGIS Software Development
17	LLC v. HTC Corp., 2:17-cv-00514 (E.D. Tex.); AGIS Software Development LLC v. Huawei
18	Device USA Inc., 2:17-cv-00513 (E.D. Tex.). As part of those actions, AGIS served a subpoena
19	to Google seeking discovery relating to FMD.
20	4. In 2019, AGIS filed a complaint against Google in the Eastern District of Texas
21	("EDTX") asserting, among other claims, the '970 Patent against FMD. AGIS Software
22	Development LLC v. Google LLC, EDTX, No. 2:19-CV-00361-JRG ("AGIS I"). While AGIS I
23	was pending, AGIS amended the claims of the '970 Patent to overcome prior art asserted during
24	an <i>ex parte</i> reexamination ("EPR") of the patent. After the EPR proceedings concluded, Google
25	filed a Rule 12(b)(1) motion to dismiss AGIS's claims regarding the '970 Patent for lack of
26	subject matter jurisdiction because AGIS had substantively amended the patent's asserted claims
27	to avoid prior art. Before the EDTX court resolved that motion, the Federal Circuit ordered the
28	case transferred to the Northern District of California ("NDCA"). In re Google LLC, No. 2022-

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1
2

140-42, 2022 WL 1613192, at *1 (Fed. Cir. May 23, 2022).

5. The case was assigned to Judge Beth Labson Freeman in this District. *AGIS Software Development LLC v. Google LLC*, NDCA, No. 5:22-CV-04826-BLF ("the NDCA
 Case"). Google then refiled in this District its motion to dismiss the '970 Patent for lack of
 subject matter jurisdiction. In response, AGIS dismissed the '970 Patent with prejudice. See
 NDCA Case, Dkts. 437, 438. The remainder of the NDCA Case remains pending before Judge
 Freeman.

- 8 6. Before AGIS agreed to dismiss the '970 Patent with prejudice from the NDCA
 9 case, it filed a duplicative action against Google in the Western District of Texas, asserting the
 10 amended claims of the '970 Patent against the same Google FMD application. *AGIS Software*11 *Development LLC v. Google LLC*, No. 6:23-CV-00160-DC-DTG ("the WDTX Case").
- 7. On April 4, 2023, the WDTX granted Google's unopposed motion to stay the
 WDTX Case. *See* WDTX Case, Dkt. 11. As stated in the unopposed motion to stay, AGIS
 agreed to transfer the WDTX Case to this District following the stay: "[t]he parties have agreed
 that if and after the requested stay has been lifted, AGIS will not oppose a motion by Google to
 transfer this case to the Northern District of California following the stay." *See* WDTX Case,
 Dkt. 10 at 3 n.1.
- 8. On July 20, 2023, while the case was still stayed, AGIS voluntarily dismissed the
 WDTX Case without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i). *See*WDTX Case, Dkt. 12.
- 9. Google denies that it has infringed or is infringing any claims of the '970 Patent,
 denies that any claim of the '970 Patent is valid or enforceable, and denies that AGIS can assert
 any claim of the '970 Patent against Google.
- 24 10. An actual and justiciable controversy therefore exists under 28 U.S.C. §§ 220125 2202 between Google and AGIS regarding the '970 Patent.
- 26THE PARTIES2711. Plaintiff Google LLC is a subsidiary of Alphabet Inc. with its principal place of28business located at 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, California 94043.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1	12. According to Florida public records, Defendant AGIS Holdings, Inc. is organized
2	and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, and maintains its principal place of business at
3	92 Lighthouse Drive, Jupiter, FL 33469.
4	13. According to Florida public records, Defendant AGIS, Inc. is organized and
5	existing under the laws of the State of Florida, and maintains its principal place of business at 92
6	Lighthouse Drive, Jupiter, FL 33469.
7	14. On information and belief, Defendant AGIS Software is an agent and alter ego of
8	AGIS, Inc. According to AGIS Software's allegations in another litigation between the parties,
9	AGIS software is a Texas limited liability company, having its principal place of business at 100
10	W. Houston Street, Marshall, Texas 75670. Exhibit K ¶ 1.
11	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
12	15. This is a declaratory judgment action for patent non-infringement, invalidity, and
13	unenforceability arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code,
14	Section 100 et seq. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 28
15	U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 2201 and 2202.
16	I. AGIS Accused Google of Infringing the '970 Patent Based on FMD
17	16. AGIS asserted the '970 Patent against FMD and Google in AGIS I, which was
18	transferred to the NDCA and became the NDCA Case, in International Trade Commission
19	("ITC") Investigation No. 337-TA-1347 ("ITC Action"), and in the WDTX Case. AGIS
20	dismissed its '970 Claims from the NDCA case and voluntarily dismissed the WDTX case.
21	II. Google Seeks Declaratory Judgments That It Does Not Infringe The '970 Patent
22	and That The '970 Patent Is Invalid and Unenforceable
23	17. Google denies that it infringes or has infringed the '970 Patent through the
24	making, using, distributing, sale, offering for sale, exportation, or importation of FMD or any
25	related services for FMD or through the making, using, distributing, sale, offering for sale,
26	exportation, or importation of devices that a may be configured to run FMD.
27	18. AGIS's infringement allegations, asserted in related actions, threaten actual and
28	imminent injury to Google that can be redressed by judicial relief and warrants the issue of a

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 3:23-cv-03624-PHK Document 1 Filed 07/21/23 Page 5 of 48

declaratory judgment, under the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 *et seq*.

2 19. An actual and justiciable controversy with respect to the '970 Patent exists
3 between Google and AGIS Software, and between Google and AGIS Inc., and AGIS Holdings
4 under an alter ego theory.

5

III.

1

AGIS Software is Subject to the Specific Jurisdiction of This Court

6 20. AGIS Software is subject to this Court's specific jurisdiction, pursuant to due 7 process and/or the California Long Arm Statute based on: (1) AGIS's agreement in the WDTX 8 Case to transfer the very dispute that is the subject of this declaratory judgment action to the 9 NDCA; (2) the activities of AGIS over a long period of time purposefully directed at the state of 10 California, including at residents of this state; (3) AGIS having over a long period of time 11 performed purposeful acts intended to harm residents of the state of California; (4) AGIS having 12 engaged in business-related activities over a long period of time that are directed to customers and 13 potential customers in the state of California such that AGIS has purposefully availed itself of the 14 privilege of doing business in this state; and (5) the claims asserted herein arise out of or relate to 15 activities by AGIS within and directed at this forum. Further, the assertions of personal 16 jurisdiction are reasonable and fair.

17 18

A.

AGIS Software Purposefully Directed Its Patent Licensing Activities to California Companies Subjecting It To Specific Jurisdiction Under *Trimble*

19 21. AGIS Software is a patent licensing company that licenses its patent portfolio,
20 including the '970 Patent.

21

22. AGIS Software has no employees.

22 23. AGIS Software's principal source of revenue is from patent licenses with
 23 California companies and other companies operating in the State of California.

24 24. AGIS Software or its predecessor-in-interest has taken purposeful steps to enforce
25 the '970 Patent and/or obtain licenses to the '970 Patent and/or related patents with companies
26 having principal places of business and operations in this judicial district, including Lyft, Apple
27 Inc. ("Apple"), WhatsApp LLC ("WhatsApp"), Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook"), Uber Technologies,
28 Inc. d/b/a UBER ("Uber"), and Life360, Inc. ("Life360"), and with companies or their affiliates

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.