

# EXHIBIT 4

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT

1 Alfred R. Fabricant  
 2 afabricant@fabricantllp.com  
 3 Peter Lambrianakos  
 4 plambrianakos@fabricantllp.com  
 5 Vincent J. Rubino, III  
 6 vrubino@fabricantllp.com  
 7 **FABRICANT LLP**  
 8 411 Theodore Fremd Avenue, Suite 206 South  
 9 Rye, New York 10580  
 10 Telephone: (212) 257-5797  
 11 Facsimile: (212) 257-5796

12 Benjamin T. Wang  
 13 bwang@raklaw.com  
 14 **RUSS AUGUST & KABAT**  
 15 12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor  
 16 Los Angeles, California 90025  
 17 Telephone: (310) 826-7474  
 18 Facsimile: (310) 826-9226

19 Attorneys for Defendant  
 20 *AGIS Software Development LLC*

21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 22 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

23 LYFT, INC.,

24 *Plaintiffs,*

25 v.

26 AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

27 Defendant.

Case No. 5:21-cv-04653-BLF

**DEFENDANT AGIS SOFTWARE  
 DEVELOPMENT LLC'S DISCLOSURE  
 OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND  
 INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS**

**Hon. Judge Beth Labson Freeman**

1 Defendant AGIS Software Development LLC (“AGIS Software”) hereby makes the  
 2 following infringement disclosure under the Patent Local Rules with respect to United States Patent  
 3 Nos. 7,031,728; 7,630,724; 8,213,970; 10,299,100; and 10,341,838 (collectively, the “Patents-in-  
 4 Suit”). AGIS Software’s investigation is ongoing, and discovery has not yet commenced.<sup>1</sup>  
 5 Accordingly, these disclosures are based on information available to AGIS Software at this time.  
 6 AGIS Software reserves the right to supplement this disclosure after further discovery regarding the  
 7 Lyft Accused Products set forth below. AGIS Software also reserves the right to assert additional  
 8 claims of the Patents-in-Suit, accuse different products, or find literal and/or equivalent infringing  
 9 elements in the Lyft Accused Products.

10 **I. DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS**  
 11 **PURSUANT TO PATENT LOCAL RULE 3-1**

12 **A. ASSERTED CLAIMS**

13 Plaintiff Lyft Inc. (“Plaintiff” or “Lyft”) has infringed and continues to infringe at least the  
 14 following claims of the Patents-in-Suit in connection with the Lyft Accused Products set forth  
 15 below:

- 16 • Claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7,031,728 (the “’728 Patent”);
- 17 • Claims 9, 12-16 of U.S. Patent No. 7,630,724 (the “’724 Patent”);
- 18 • Claims 2, 10, 12-13 of U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 (the “’970 Patent”);
- 19 • Claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 10,299,100 (the “’100 Patent”); and
- 20 • Claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 10,341,838 (the “’838 Patent”).

21 AGIS Software reserves the right to seek leave of court to add, delete, substitute, or  
 22 otherwise amend this list of asserted claims should further discovery, the Court’s claim construction,  
 23 or other circumstances so merit.

24 **B. ACCUSED INSTRUMENTALITIES**

25 AGIS Software is currently aware that the following Lyft Products infringe each of the  
 26 Patents-in-Suit, either alone or in concert with one or more other Lyft Accused Products:

- 27 • Lyft applications, services, and servers;

- Lyft Driver applications, services, and servers; and
- Lyft servers related to Lyft applications and Lyft Driver applications.

AGIS Software reserves the right to amend this list of accused instrumentalities, as well as other information contained in this document and the exhibits hereto, to incorporate new information learned during the course of discovery including, but not limited to, the inclusion of newly-released products or any other equivalent devices ascertained through discovery.

### C. CLAIM CHARTS

Claim charts identifying a location of every element of every asserted claim of the Patents-in-Suit within Lyft Accused Products are attached hereto as Exhibits A-E. AGIS Software believes that the citations in the claim charts are representative of all Lyft Accused Products. For example, where AGIS Software cites reference material or images representing an application, service, or server that citation is representative for all other such applications, services, or servers including all prior and future versions unless otherwise noted. AGIS Software reserves the right to amend these claim charts as well as other information contained in this document and the exhibits hereto, to incorporate new information learned during the course of discovery including, but not limited to, information that is not publicly available or readily discernible without discovery. AGIS Software further reserves the right to amend these claim charts, as well as other information contained in this document and the exhibits attached hereto, pursuant to Patent Local Rules 3-1(g) and 3-6.

### D. LITERAL INFRINGEMENT AND DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS

AGIS Software asserts that, under the proper construction of the asserted claims and their claim terms, the limitations of the asserted claims of the Patents-in-Suit are literally present in the Lyft Accused Products as set forth in the claim charts attached hereto as Exhibits A-E. AGIS Software contends that any and all elements found not to be literally infringed are infringed under the doctrine of equivalents because the differences between the claimed inventions and the accused instrumentalities, if any, are insubstantial.

AGIS Software contends that Lyft directly infringes the asserted claims by making, using, offering for sale, selling, and importing into the United States the accused instrumentalities as well

1 as indirectly infringe by contributing to and/or inducing others (*e.g.*, Lyft customers or its Lyft  
2 customers' customers) to directly infringe those claims by making, using, offering for sale, or selling  
3 the Lyft Accused Products. AGIS Software contends that Lyft directly infringes the asserted claims  
4 by testing the Lyft Accused Products in the United States.

5 Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 3-6(a), AGIS Software reserves the right to amend its  
6 Infringement Contentions as to literal infringement or infringement under the doctrine of  
7 equivalents, *e.g.*, in light of the Court's claim construction.

### 8 **E. PRIORITY DATES**

9 Under Patent Local Rule 3-1(f), each of the asserted claims of the Patents-in-Suit are entitled  
10 to a priority date of at least as early as September 21, 2004.<sup>2</sup> For the purposes of this case only,  
11 AGIS Software intends to assert the following priority dates to earlier applications:

- 12 • April 17, 2006 for Claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 10,299,100 (the "100 Patent");
- 13 and
- 14 • April 17, 2006 for Claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 10,341,838 (the "838 Patent")

15 With the identification of these priority dates, AGIS does not waive the right to assert earlier  
16 priority dates to earlier applications. AGIS Software reserves the right to establish an earlier date  
17 of invention based upon actions related to conception and reduction to practice of the claimed  
18 inventions.

### 19 **F. PRACTICING PRODUCTS**

20 Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 3-1(g), AGIS Software contends that licensee AGIS, Inc.'s  
21 LifeRing products are covered by at least one of claim 7 of the '728 Patent; claims 9, 12-16 of the  
22 '724 Patent; claims 2, 10-13 of the '970 Patent; claims 1-31 of the '100 Patent; and claims 1-26 of  
23 the '838 Patent. AGIS is not aware of any other licensee that practice any claim of the Patents-in-  
24 Suit. AGIS Software's investigation is ongoing and AGIS Software reserves the right to  
25 supplement, amend, or amend these contentions in view of facts learned during discovery, the

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>2</sup> AGIS continues to rely on interim priority dates identified in each of the Patents-in-Suit to establish  
28 priority prior to the actual filing date of the Patents-in-Suit.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.