1	Alfred R. Fabricant (pro hac vice)	
	afabricant@fabricantllp.com Peter Lambrianakos (pro hac vice)	
2	plambrianakos@fabricantllp.com	
3	Vincent J. Rubino, III (pro hac vice)	
4	vrubino@fabricantllp.com	
4	Enrique Iturralde (pro hac vice)	
5	eiturralde@fabricantllp.com FABRICANT LLP	
6	411 Theodore Fremd Ave., Suite 206 South	
	Rye, New York 10580	
7	Telephone: (212) 257-5797	
8	Facsimile: (212) 257-5796	
9	Benjamin T. Wang (CA SBN 228712)	
9	bwang@raklaw.com	
10	Minna Y. Chan (CA SBN 305941) mchan@raklaw.com	
11	RUSS AUGUST & KABAT	
	12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor	
12	Los Angeles, California 90025	
13	Telephone: (310) 826-7474 Facsimile: (310) 826-9226	
14	Attorneys for Defendant	
	AGIS Software Development LLC	
15	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
18		
19	LYFT, INC.,	Case No. 5:21-cv-04653-BLF
20	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO TRANSFER TO THE UNITED STATES
21	v.	DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN
	,	DISTRICT OF TEXAS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1404 (a)
22	AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	•
23	Defendants.	Hon. Beth Labson Freeman
24	Defendants.	Date: January 27, 2022
		Time: 9:00 a.m. Location: Courtroom 3
25		
26		[Declaration of Vincent J. Rubino, III and exhibits; and Proposed Order filed
27		concurrently herewith]
_ ′		



NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO TRANSFER

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on January 27, 2022 at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard before The Honorable Beth Labson Freeman in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in the Robert F. Peckham Federal Building & United States Courthouse, Courtroom 3, 5th Floor, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, California 95113. Defendant AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS Software" or "Defendant") will and hereby does move the Court to transfer venue to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1404(a).

This motion is made on the grounds that the Eastern District of Texas could exercise personal jurisdiction over AGIS Software and venue would be proper there pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). AGIS Software is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal place of business in Marshall, Texas. AGIS Software is not registered to conduct business in California; does not have a registered agent for service of process in California; does not have offices, employees, equipment, bank accounts, or other assets in California; is not subject to and has never paid taxes in California; does not manufacture or sell products in California; does not solicit or engage in business in California; has not signed contracts in California; does not recruit employees in California; and does not own, lease, or rent any property in California. Additionally, no lawsuit has ever been filed by AGIS Software in California for any reason. The Eastern District of Texas has subject matter jurisdiction over Lyft's declaratory judgment claims relating to patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 2201, and 2202.

The balance of the private factors favors transfer. First, Plaintiff's choice of forum should be accorded no weight as the first-to-file rule favors transfer. Second, litigation in California is inconvenient for AGIS Software, which is a limited liability company established and existing under the laws of Texas, with its principal place of business in Texas, and transferring this case to the Eastern District of Texas would substantially improve the convenience for AGIS Software and its witnesses. Third, the relative ease of access to sources of proof weighs in favor of transfer, where AGIS Software maintains its documentary evidence in its Marshall, Texas office. Fourth, the



Eastern District of Texas is already familiar with the subject matter and issues and could consolidate the case with other related cases.

The public interest factors also strongly favor transferring this case to the Eastern District of Texas. A transfer will save judicial resources as Chief Judge Rodney Gilstrap is already familiar with the technical issues, and transfer would also protect against inconsistent rulings. Chief Judge Gilstrap has already expended a substantial amount of time and effort becoming familiar with issues relating to the Patents-in-Suit, as seven cases pending before Chief Judge Gilstrap involve one or more of the Patents-In-Suit. Similarly, four other cases filed in 2017—involving one of the Patents-in-Suit—proceeded before Chief Judge Gilstrap from filing through just before the pre-trial conferences. There is also no dispute that Texas has a substantial local interest in adjudicating this dispute against AGIS Software, one of its residents. Lastly, the administrative difficulties flowing from court congestion favors the Eastern District of Texas, where time from filing to trial in the Eastern District of Texas is less than this District.

This motion is based upon this notice of motion, the accompanying declaration and exhibits, the pleadings and papers filed herein, as well as any other and further matters, papers, and arguments as may be presented before the Court prior to or at the time of the hearing.

DATED: October 5, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT

By: <u>/s/ Benjamin T. Wang</u> Benjamin T. Wang

Alfred R. Fabricant (pro hac vice) afabricant@fabricantllp.com
Peter Lambrianakos (pro hac vice) plambrianakos@fabricantllp.com
Vincent J. Rubino, III (pro hac vice) vrubino@fabricantllp.com
Enrique Iturralde (pro hac vice) eiturralde@fabricantllp.com

FABRICANT LLP

411 Theodore Fremd Ave., Suite 206 South

Rye, New York 10580 Telephone: (212) 257-5797 Facsimile: (212) 257-5796



Benjamin T. Wang (CA SBN 228712) bwang@raklaw.com Minna Y. Chan (CA SBN 305941) mchan@raklaw.com RUSS AUGUST & KABAT 12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone: (310) 826-7474

Attorneys for Defendant
AGIS Software Development LLC

Facsimile: (310) 826-9226



I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Defendant AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS Software") moves to transfer this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) to the Eastern District of Texas ("E.D. Tex."). Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft") filed this action involving the same patents, issues and parties, nearly five months after AGIS Software filed its action against Lyft in the E.D. Tex. See Dkt. 32 at 15-18. Thus, rather than await a decision regarding whether venue was proper in the first-filed case or whether the first-filed case should be transferred for convenience, Lyft elected to file a duplicative lawsuit. The parties in the E.D. Tex case have already begun claim construction, exchanged proposals of terms for construction, served expert declarations in support of claim construction, and served discovery. See e.g., AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., Case No. 2:21-cv-72 (E.D. Tex.) (the "T-Mobile Texas case"), Dkts. 91-94, Dkts. 102-103, and Dkt. 124. Furthermore, Chief Judge Gilstrap has already expended a substantial amount of time and effort becoming familiar with issues relating to the Patents-in-Suit, as seven cases pending before Chief Judge Gilstrap involve one or more of the Patents-In-Suit. Similarly, four other cases filed in 2017—involving one of the Patents-in-Suit proceeded before Chief Judge Gilstrap from filing through just before the pre-trial conferences. Therefore, transferring this case to E.D. Tex. will conserve judicial resources and avoid parallel litigation.

Transfer will also significantly improve the convenience of parties and witnesses, as AGIS Software's key third-party witnesses are located in or near the E.D. Tex. Further, AGIS Software's key party witnesses live significantly closer to the E.D. Tex. than to the N.D. Cal. Permitting these parallel actions to proceed in forums approximately two thousand miles apart presents significant challenges and would not outweigh the convenience of adjudicating the actions in a single court. Broadly, the judicial resources, court congestion, and local interest public factors, and the convenience, access to proof, and feasibility of consolidation private factors strongly favor transferring this case to the E.D. Tex.

26 27

27



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

