	Case 5:21-cv-04653-BLF Document 151	Filed 05/15/23 Page 1 of 4	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. Jeremy J. Taylor (SBN 249075) jeremy.taylor@bakerbotts.com Arya Moshiri (SBN 324231) arya.moshiri@bakerbotts.com 101 California St., Ste. 3600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.291.6200 Facsimile: 415.291.6200 Facsimile: 415.291.6300 Kurt M. Pankratz (<i>pro hac vice</i>) kurt.pankratz@bakerbotts.com Bethany R. Salpietra (<i>pro hac vice</i>) bethany.salpietra@bakerbotts.com 2001 Ross Ave., Ste. 900 Dallas, TX 75201 Telephone: 214.953.6500 Facsimile: 214.953.6503	Alfred R. Fabricant (pro hac vice) afabricant@fabricantllp.com Peter Lambrianakos (pro hac vice) plambrianakos@fabricantllp.com Vincent J. Rubino, III (pro hac vice) vrubino@fabricantllp.com Enrique Iturralde (pro hac vice) eiturralde@fabricantllp.com FABRICANT LLP 411 Theodore Fremd Road, Suite 206 South Rye, New York 10580 Telephone: (212) 257-5797 Facsimile: (212) 257-5796 <i>Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim</i> <i>Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC</i>	
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Lyft, Inc.	Additional counsel listed on signature page	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	LYFT, INC.	Case No. 5:21-cv-04653-BLF	
15	Plaintiff,	PARTIES' JOINT STATUS UPDATE	
16 17 18 19	v. AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman Trial Date: Courtroom: 3, Fifth Floor	
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	Pursuant to the Court's May 19, 2022 Order staying this action pending disposition of the <i>ex parte</i> reexaminations ("EPR") before the United State Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") and the inter partes review ("IPR") proceedings before the Patent Trial and Appeals Board, and the Court's November 15, 2022 Order directing parties to submit a further status update, Plaintiff Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft") and Defendant AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS") (collectively, the "Parties") submit this Joint Status Report regarding the status of the EPRs concerning U.S. Patent Nos. 7,031,728 (the "728 Patent"), 7,630,724 (the "724 Patent"), and 8,213,970 (the "970		

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. 1

21

22

23

24

25

26

Patent"), and the IPR proceedings relating to U.S. Patent Nos. 10,299,100 (the "100 Patent") and 10,341,838 (the "'838 Patent"). 2

- The EPR concerning the '728 Patent (Serial No. 90/014,890) is concluded with no 3 amendments or cancellations to any challenged claims. A Reexamination Certificate 4 issued on April 18, 2023. 5 The EPR concerning the '724 Patent (Serial No. 90/014,889) is currently pending, 6 awaiting a response from AGIS to the USPTO's Advisory Action. The USPTO 7 issued an Order Granting Ex Parte Reexamination on December 7, 2021 and, 8 9 subsequently, issued a Non-Final Office Action on July 7, 2022, rejecting asserted claims 9 and 12-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103.1 On November 7, 2022, AGIS filed its 10 Response to the July 7, 2022 Non-Final Office Action, which included amendments 11 to asserted independent claims 9 and 16 and added new dependent claims 17-18, in 12 addition to remarks. The USPTO subsequently issued a Final Office Action on 13 December 22, 2022, rejecting asserted claims 9 and 12-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and 14 35 U.S.C. § 103.² Claims 16 and 18 were further rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 305 as 15 enlarging the scope of the claims being reexamined. On April 24, 2023, AGIS filed 16 its Response to the December 22, 2022 Final Office Action, which included 17 amendments to asserted independent claims 9 and 16, in addition to remarks. On 18 May 3, 2023, the USPTO issued an Advisory Action stating that AGIS's proposed 19 amendments from its April 24, 2023 Response would not be entered. 20
 - The IPR petitions filed by Lyft challenging the '100 Patent (IPR2022-00514; IPR2022-00515) were both instituted on June 2, 2022, with a final written decision expected by June 2, 2023.
 - The IPR petition filed by Lyft challenging the '838 Patent (IPR2022-00513) was instituted on June 2, 2022, with a final written decision expected by June 2, 2023.
- 27 ¹ The Non-Final Office Action dated December 7, 2021 also rejects unasserted claim 10. ² The Final Office Action dated December 22, 2022 also rejects unasserted claim 10 and newly 28 added claims 17-18

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

	Case 5:21-cv-04653-BLF Document 151 File	d 05/15/23 Page 3 of 4		
1 2 3 4 5		EPRs or IPR proceedings. Serial No. 90/014,507) is concluded with A Reexamination Certificate issued on		
6	Dated: May 15, 2023	Respectfully submitted,		
7 8	Vincent J. Rubino, IIIBy:Vincent J. Rubino, III	<i>Jeremy J. Taylor</i> Jeremy J. Taylor		
9 10	Alfred R. Fabricant (pro hac vice) afabricant@fabricantllp.com	Jeremy J. Taylor (SBN 249075) Arya Moshiri (SBN 324231)		
11	Peter Lambrianakos (pro hac vice) plambrianakos@fabricantllp.com	jeremy.taylor@bakerbotts.com arya.moshiri@bakerbotts.com BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.		
12	Vincent J. Rubino, III (pro hac vice) vrubino@fabricantllp.com	101 California St., Ste. 3600 San Francisco, CA 94111		
13	Enrique Iturralde (pro hac vice) eiturralde@fabricantllp.com	Telephone: 415.291.6200 Facsimile: 415.291.6300		
14	FABRICANT LLP 411 Theodore Fremd Road, Suite 206	Kurt M. Pankratz (pro hac vice)		
15	South Rye, New York 10580 Telephone: (212) 257-5797	kurt.pankratz@bakerbotts.com Bethany R. Salpietra (<i>pro hac vice</i>)		
16	Facsimile: (212) 257-5796	bethany.salpietra@bakerbotts.com BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 Ross Ave., Ste. 900		
17 18	Benjamin T. Wang (CA SBN 228712)	Dallas, TX 75201 Telephone: 214.953.6500		
10	bwang@raklaw.com Minna Y. Chan (CA SBN 305941)	Facsimile: 214.953.6503		
20	mchan@raklaw.com RUSS AUGUST & KABAT	Attorneys for Plaintiff Lyft, Inc.		
21	12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025			
22	Telephone: (310) 826-7474 Facsimile (310) 826-9226			
23	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim			
24	Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC			
25				
26				
27				
28				
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE IN FILING				
Pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I attest that concurrence				
in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other Signatories to this document.				
By: Jeremy J. Taylor				
Jeremy J. Taylor				
DOCKET				
R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				