	Case 3:21-cv-04653 Document 1 Filed 06/16/21 Page 1 of 10		
1	BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. Jeremy J. Taylor (SBN 249075)		
2	jeremy.taylor@bakerbotts.com Arya Moshiri (SBN 324231)		
3	arya.moshiri@bakerbotts.com 101 California St., Ste. 3600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.291.6200 Facsimile: 415.291.6300		
4			
5			
6	Bethany R. Salpietra (<i>pro hac vice pending</i>) bethany.salpietra@bakerbotts.com 2001 Ross Ave., Ste. 900 Dallas, TX 75201 Telephone: 214.953.6500 Facsimile: 214.953.6503		
7 8			
8 9			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	LYFT, INC.	Case No. 21-cv-4653	
14	Plaintiffs,		
15	v.	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT	
16	AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,		
17	Defendant.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
18			
19			
20	Plaintiff Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft") hereby pleads the following claims for Declaratory Judgment		
21	against Defendant AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS Software") and alleges as follows:		
22	THE PARTIES		
23	1. Lyft is a Delaware limited liability corporation with its principal place of business		
24	located at 185 Berry Street, Suite 5000, San Francisco, California 94107.		
25 26	2. On information and belief, AGIS Software is an agent and alter ego of Advanced		
26 27	Ground Information Systems, Inc. Per AGIS Software's allegations in another litigation between		
27	the parties, AGIS Software is a Texas limited liability company, having its principal place of		
20			

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. business at 100 W. Houston Street, Marshall, Texas 75670. Exhibit A ¶ 1. According to Texas
public records, the sole member of AGIS Software is AGIS Holdings, Inc. ("AGIS Holdings").
According to Florida public records, AGIS Holdings is organized and existing under the laws of
the State of Florida, and maintains its principal place of business at 92 Lighthouse Drive, Jupiter,
FL 33469. It shares the same address with Advanced Ground Information Systems, Inc.,
("AGIS") a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida that also
maintains its principal place of business at 92 Lighthouse Drive, Jupiter, FL 33469.

8

9

10

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Lyft's declaratory judgment claims relating to patent non-infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202, 1331, and 1338(a).

4. 11 On January 29, 2021, AGIS Software sued Lyft for infringing United States Patent 12 Nos. 7,031,728 ("'728 patent"), 7,630,724 ("'724 patent"), 8,213,970 ("'970 patent"), 10,299,100 13 ("100 patent"), and 10,341,838 ("1,838 patent") (collectively, "Patents-in-Suit") in the United 14 States District Court of the Eastern District of Texas by manufacturing, using, distributing, selling, 15 offering for sale, and/or exporting from and importing into the United States the "the Lyft and Lyft 16 Driver applications and the related services and/or servers for the applications." See Compl. ¶ 23, 17 AGIS Software Development LLC v. Lyft, Inc., Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-00024-JRG (E.D. Tex.), 18 Dkt. 1. AGIS Software purported to be the owner of all right, title, and interest in the Patents-in-19 Suit. Id. ¶ 1. On April 27, 2021 Lyft moved to dismiss the Eastern District of Texas litigation for 20 improper venue. See Lyft, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss for Improper Venue, AGIS Software 21 Development LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-00072-JRG (E.D. Tex.), Dkt. 30. 22

5. Lyft denies that the Patents-in-Suit are infringed through the making, using, distributing, sale, offering for sale, exportation, or importation of the Lyft rider or Lyft driver applications, or any other Lyft product or service. Under all circumstances, AGIS Software's infringement allegations and related actions threaten actual and imminent injury to Lyft that can be redressed by judicial relief and warrants the issue of a declaratory judgment. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between Lyft and AGIS Software with respect to the Patents-in-Suit.

Case 3:21-cv-04653 Document 1 Filed 06/16/21 Page 3 of 10

6. On information and belief, AGIS Software is subject to this Court's specific
 jurisdiction, pursuant to due process and/or the California Long Arm Statute due to: (1) AGIS
 Software and/or AGIS's activities purposefully directed at residents of this forum, and (2) the
 claims arising out of or relating to AGIS Software and/or AGIS's activities with this forum.
 Further, the assertion of personal jurisdictions is reasonable and fair.

6 7. On information and belief, AGIS Software and/or AGIS have taken intentional and
7 purposeful steps to enforce the Patents-in-Suit against residents of this judicial district, including
8 by suing Lyft and other companies with principal places of business or operations in this judicial
9 district for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit.

8. Based on Public Access to Court Electronic Records ("PACER"), on June 21, 10 11 2017, AGIS Software sued Apple Inc. ("Apple"), a California corporation with its principal place 12 of business in this District in Cupertino, California, alleging infringement of the '970 patent and 13 other patents related to the Patents-In-Suit. See AGIS Software Development LLC v. Apple Inc., 14 Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-00516 (E.D. Tex.), Dkt. 1 at ¶¶ 18, 27, 41, 55. Based on U.S. Patent & 15 Trademark Office ("USPTO") records, Apple filed petitions for *inter partes* review of each patent AGIS Software asserted against it. See Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 16 17 8,213,970, Apple Inc. v. AGIS Software Development LLC, No. IPR2019-00411 (PTAB), Paper 18 No. 1; Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,467,838, Apple Inc. v. AGIS Software 19 Development LLC, No. IPR2018-00819 (PTAB), Paper No. 1; Petition for Inter Partes Review of 20 U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251, Apple Inc. v. AGIS Software Development LLC, No. IPR2019-00523 21 (PTAB), Paper No. 1; Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251, Apple Inc. v. 22 AGIS Software Development LLC, No. IPR2019-00524 (PTAB), Paper No. 1; Petition for Inter 23 Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,408,055, Apple Inc. v. AGIS Software Development LLC, No. 24 IPR2019-01471 (PTAB), Paper No. 1; Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 25 9,749,829, Apple Inc. v. AGIS Software Development LLC, No. IPR2019-01471 (PTAB), Paper 26 No. 1. Based on USPTO records, on or around April 2, 2019, each of these *inter partes* review 27 proceedings were terminated as a result of the Apple and AGIS Software reaching a settlement 28 agreement. See, e.g., Decision Granting Joint Motion to Terminate and Granting Request to Treat

Case 3:21-cv-04653 Document 1 Filed 06/16/21 Page 4 of 10

Settlement Document as Confidential Business Information, *Apple Inc. v. AGIS Software Development LLC*, No. IPR2018-01471 (PTAB), Paper No. 15. Based on PACER, on March 14,
 2019, the Eastern District of Texas dismissed the district court litigation between AGIS Software
 and Apple as a result of settlement. Order, *AGIS Software Development LLC v. Apple Inc.*, Civil
 Action No. 2:17-cv-00516 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 14, 2019), Dkt. 87.

6

9. 6 Based on PACER, on June 21, 2017, AGIS Software sued ZTE Corporation and 7 ZTE (TX) Inc. alleging infringement of patents, including the '970 patent, '055 patent, '251 8 patent, and 7'838 patent. AGIS Software Development LLC v. ZTE Corporation et al., Civil 9 Action No. 2:17-v-00517-JRG (E.D. Tex.), Dkt. 1 at ¶¶ 19, 28, 42, 54. Based on PACER, on October 17, 2017, AGIS Software filed an amended complaint, adding ZTE (USA) Inc. as a 10 11 defendant to this litigation and alleging infringement of an additional related patent, the '829 12 patent. AGIS Software Development LLC v. ZTE Corporation et al., Civil Action No. 2:17-v-13 00517-JRG (E.D. Tex.), Dkt. 32 at ¶¶ 3 & 73. On information and belief, ZTE (USA) Inc. has an 14 office located at 1900 McCarthy Boulevard, Milpitas, California 95035.

15 10. Based on PACER, on October 9, 2018, ZTE (USA) Inc. filed a declaratory judgment action in the Northern District of California. ZTE (USA) Inc. v. AGIS Software 16 17 Development LLC et al., Civil Action No. 4:18-cv-06185-HSG (N.D. Cal.), Dkt. 1. Based on 18 PACER, on October 30, 2019, AGIS Software and ZTE (USA) Inc. filed a joint motion to stay all 19 deadlines as a result of a settlement in principle. Id., Dkt. 130. Based on PACER, less than a 20 week later, on November 4, 2019, AGIS Software filed an unopposed renewed motion to dismiss. 21 Id., Dkt. 135. Based on PACER, on November 5, 2019, the Court granted the motion. Id., Dkt. 22 138.

23

24

11. On information and belief, AGIS Software has entered into agreements relating to the Patents-in-Suit with companies in this District, including Apple and ZTE Corporation, ZTE (USA) Inc., and ZTE (TX) Inc. ("ZTE").

25 26

27

28

12. On information and belief, AGIS Holdings registered AGIS Software in Texas on June 1, 2017, 20 days before filing the above patent infringement cases against Apple and ZTE.

Case 3:21-cv-04653 Document 1 Filed 06/16/21 Page 5 of 10

On information and belief, shortly before the registration of AGIS Software, AGIS
 was litigating in the Southern District of Florida against Life360, Inc., a company headquartered in
 San Francisco, California. *Advanced Ground Information Sys., Inc. v. Life360, Inc.*, Civil Action
 No. 9:14-cv-80651 (S.D. Fla.). Based on PACER, AGIS asserted that Life360 infringed the '728
 patent (one of the Patents-in-Suit) and other related patents. Based on PACER, AGIS's claims
 against Life360 resulted in a jury finding of no-infringement and an award of nearly \$750,000 in
 attorneys' fees for litigating "an exceptionally weak case." *Id.*, Dkt. 200, 212.

8 14. On information and belief, AGIS Software is an alter ego to AGIS Holdings and/or
9 AGIS.

10 15. On information and belief, AGIS has also committed a number of intentional acts
11 directed at the State of California.

12 16. On information and belief, AGIS has marketed and/or provided downloads of its
13 LifeRing product, which AGIS Software contends is covered by the Patents-in-Suit, in California.

14 17. On information and belief, in 2014, Mr. Malcom Beyer, CEO of both AGIS
15 Software and AGIS, attended a U.S. Navy military exercise in San Diego where he demonstrated
16 LifeRing on PCs and smartphones when asked to do so. *See Life360, Inc. v. Advanced Ground*17 *Sys., Inc.*, 2015 WL 5612008, at *3, Case No. 15-cv-00151-BLF (N.D. Cal. Sept. 21, 2015). On
18 information and belief, during this demonstration, Mr. Beyer also discussed LifeRing with
19 companies including ADI Technology and Maven Consulting. *Id.*

20 18. On information and belief, AGIS marketed LifeRing to companies that resulted in
21 downloads of LifeRing in California. *Id.* at *4. On information and belief, AGIS marketed
22 LifeRing to at least CornerTurn LLC, Integrity Applications, and American Reliance, Inc., which
23 AGIS has stated have California addresses. *Id.*

19. On information and belief, AGIS has marked LifeRing as covered by the Patentsin-Suit. *See, e.g.*, Patents, AGIS INC., <u>http://agisinc.com/about/patents</u> (last visited June 7, 2021).
On information and belief, AGIS Software has licensed the patents to end users residing in
California who downloaded the LifeRing software.

οοζκΈ

28

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.