		Ŭ				
1	Alfred R. Fabricant (pro hac vice)					
	afabricant@fabricantllp.com Peter Lambrianakos (<i>pro hac vice</i>)					
2	plambrianakos@fabricantllp.com					
3	Vincent J. Rubino, III (pro hac vice)					
4	vrubino@fabricantllp.com					
5	Enrique Iturralde (<i>pro hac vice</i>) eiturralde@fabricantllp.com					
5	FABRICANT LLP					
6	411 Theodore Fremd Road, Suite 206 South Rye, New York 10580					
7	Telephone: (212) 257-5797					
8	Facsimile: (212) 257-5796					
9	Benjamin T. Wang (CA SBN 228712) bwang@raklaw.com					
10	Minna Y. Chan (CA SBN 305941)					
11	mchan@raklaw.com RUSS AUGUST & KABAT					
12	12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor					
	Los ringeles, camorna 90025					
13	Telephone: (310) 826-7474 Facsimile: (310) 826-9226					
14						
15	Attorneys for Defendant AGIS Software Development LLC					
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
18	SAN JOSE I	DIVISION				
19	WHATSAPP LLC,	Case No. 5:21-cv-03076-BLF				
20	Plaintiffs,	Hon. Judge Beth Labson Freeman				
21	V.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT FOR				
22	AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND				
23	Defendant.	AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT				
24		Date: September 30, 2021				
25		Time: 9:00 a.m. Location: Courtroom 3				
26		[Declaration of Malcolm K. Beyer, Jr; Declaration of Vincent J. Rubino, III and				
27		exhibits; and Proposed Order filed concurrently herewith]				
20						

Case 5:21-cv-03076-BLF Document 37 Filed 08/23/21 Page 1 of 26

1

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 30, 2021 at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter 3 as the matter may be heard before The Honorable Beth Labson Freeman in the United States District 4 Court for the Northern District of California in the Robert F. Peckham Federal Building & United 5 States Courthouse, Courtroom 3, 5th Floor, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, California 95113, 6 Defendant AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS Software" or "Defendant") will and hereby 7 does move the Court, for: (1) an order dismissing the Complaint for Declaratory Judgment filed by 8 Plaintiff WhatsApp LLC ("WhatsApp" or "Plaintiff") pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules 9 of Civil Procedure; (2) an order dismissing the Complaint for Declaratory Judgment in favor of the 10 first-filed action; or (3) in the alternative, an order staying this action pending a decision on 11 WhatsApp's motion to dismiss, or in the alternative, to transfer, which is currently pending in the 12 Eastern District of Texas.

13 This Motion is made on the grounds that the Court lacks personal jurisdiction over AGIS 14 Software. AGIS Software is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business in Marshall, 15 Texas. AGIS Software is not registered to conduct business in California; does not have a registered 16 agent for service of process in California; does not have offices, employees, equipment, bank 17 accounts or other assets in California; is not subject and has never paid taxes in California; does not 18 manufacture or sell products in California; does not solicit or engage in business in California; has 19 not signed contracts in California; does not recruit employees in California; and does not own, lease, 20or rent any property in California. Additionally, AGIS Software has not purposefully directed any 21 activities related to the enforcement or defense of the Patents-in-Suit at California.

Additionally, this Motion requests that this Court dismiss the Complaint based on the firstto-file rule. AGIS Software filed a complaint against WhatsApp in the Eastern District of Texas prior to the filing of WhatsApp's Complaint in this Court. The two actions involve the same parties and the same issues, and accordingly, the first-to-file rule applies here. There are no exceptions to the rule that apply and, even if there were, whether any exceptions should trump the first-to-file rule should be determined by the Eastern District of Texas. In the alternative, the Court should stay this action pending resolution of WhatsApp's motion in the Eastern District of Texas, where parties have
 completed briefing and is currently awaiting a decision by the Texas Court.

The Motion will be and is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the accompanying
Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the accompanying declarations and exhibits, the pleadings
and papers filed herein, as well as upon such and other further mattes, papers, and arguments as may
be presented to the Court.

DATED: August 23, 2021	Respectfully submitted,		
	RUSS AUGUST & KABAT		
	By: <u>/s/ Benjamin T. Wang</u> Benjamin T. Wang		
	FABRICANT LLP		
	Alfred R. Fabricant ffabricant@fabricantllp.com		
	Peter Lambrianakos plambrianakos@fabricantllp.com		
	Vincent J. Rubino, III		
	vrubino@fabricantllp.com 411 Theodore Fremd Road, Suite 206 South		
	Rye, New York 10580 Telephone: (212) 257-5797		
	Facsimile: (212) 257-5796		
	<i>Attorneys for Defendant</i> AGIS Software Development LLC		
	ACIS Software Development LLC		
	DATED: August 23, 2021		

7

Case 5:21-cv-03076-BLF Document 37 Filed 08/23/21 Page 4 of 26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
A. The Parties	2
B. Prior Enforcement Actions	2
	4
A. Moton & Dismiss	
A. Personal Jurisdiction Does Not Exist Over AGIS Software in California	
V. WHATSAPP'S COMPLAINT SHOULD BE DISMISSED UNDER THE FIRST	-ТО-
I VI, IN THE METERIATIVE, THIS METION SHOULD BE STATED TENDIN	G A 18
	 I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT II. BACKGROUND

0

OCK

A

R

Μ

D

Δ

		TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	Page
	1	Cases 3D Sys., Inc. v. Aarotech Labs., Inc.,	
	2	160 F.3d 1373 (Fed Cir. 1998)	
	3	Adobe Sys., Inc. v. Tejas Research, LLC, No. C-14-0868 EMC, 2014 WL 4651654 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2014)	
	-	AFTG-TG, LLC v. Nuvoton Tech. Corp., 689 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	
	4	AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Apple. Inc.,	
	5	2018 WL 2721826 (E.D. Tex. June 6, 2018) AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Google LLC,	
	6	No. 2:19-cv-000361-JRG, Dkt. 147 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 8, 2020)	
	7	AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. HTC Corp., 2018 WL 4680557 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 28, 2018)	
	,	AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Huawei Device USA Inc., et al.,	
	8	No. 2:17-cv00513-JRG, Dkt. 205 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 10, 2018) AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.,	
	9	No. 2:19-cv-00072-JRG, Dkt. 80 (E.D. Tex. June 4, 2021)	
	10	AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. ZTE Corp. et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-517 (E.D. Tex	
	-	Allphin v. Peter K. Fitness, LLC, 2014 WL 6997653 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2014)	15
AT	11	Alltrade, Inc. v. Uniweld Prods., Inc.,	
CAB	12	946 F.2d 622 (9th Cir. 1991) Amba Mktg. Sys., Inc. v. Jobar Int'l, Inc.,	
& K	13	551 F.2d 784 (9th Cir. 1977)	
JST	14	Autogenomics, Inc. v. Oxford Gene Tech. Ltd., 566 F.3d 1012 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	
AUGUST & KABAT		Avocent Huntsville Corp. v. Aten Int'l Co., 552 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	
SS Al	15	Breckenridge Pharm., Inc. v. Metabolite Labs., Inc.,	
RUS	16	444 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006) Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz,	
R	17	471 U.S. 462 (1985)	
	18	<i>Campbell Pet Co. v. Miale</i> , 541 F.3d 879 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	7
	_	Celgard, LLC v. SK Innovation Co., 792 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	13 14 15
	19	CommVault Sys., Inc. v. PB&J Software, LLC,	
	20	2013 WL 3242251 (N.D. Cal. June 25, 2013) Daimler AG v. Bauman,	
	21	571 U.S. 117 (2014)	
	22	Data Disc, Inc. v. Systems Tech. Assocs. Inc., 557 F.2d 1280 (9th Cir. 1977)	
		Doe v. Unocal Corp.,	
	23	248 F.3d 915 (9th Cir. 2001) Elecs. For Imaging, Inc. v. Coyle,	
	24	340 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2003) Elecs. for Imaging, Inc. v. Coyle,	
	25	394 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	6
	26	<i>EMC Corp. v. Bright Response, LLC,</i> 2012 WL 4097707 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2012)	16, 17, 18
	-	Futurewei Techs., Inc. v. Acacia Research Corp.,	
	27	737 F.3d 704 (Fed. Cir. 2013) Genentech, Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC,	
	20	2010 WI 4923954 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 1. 2010) ::	18

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.