

1 Michael Liu Su (SBN 300590)
michael.liu.su@finnegan.com
2 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
3 3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94304
4 Telephone: (650) 849-6600
Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

5 Lionel M. Lavenue (*pro hac vice*)
lionel.lavenue@finnegan.com
6 Bradford C. Schulz (*pro hac vice*)
bradford.schulz@finnegan.com
7 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
8 Two Freedom Square
9 11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190
10 Telephone: (571) 203-2700
Facsimile: (202) 408-4400

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12 ZTE (USA) Inc.

13
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 OAKLAND DIVISION
17

18 ZTE (USA) INC.,
19 Plaintiff,
20 v.
21 AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,
22 Defendant.

CASE NO. 4:18-cv-06185-HSG
(Former Case No. 2:17-cv-00517-JRG)
(E.D. Tex.)

**RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S
NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION
FOR SANCTIONS**

[*Declaration of counsel and exhibits*]

Hearing on June 6, 2019 at 2:00 PM in
Oakland Courtroom 2 (4th Floor) before
Judge Haywood S. Gilliam Jr.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT1

II. BACKGROUND2

 A. AGIS, Inc.2

 B. The Patent Family3

 C. AGIS, Inc.’s Failures Led to the Formation of AGIS Software3

 D. The Current Action in the Northern District of California5

III. LEGAL STANDARD.....6

 A. Fed. R. Civ. P. 116

 B. Personal Jurisdiction for Declaratory Judgment Actions.....7

IV. ARGUMENT9

 A. AGIS Mischaracterizes or Ignores Well-Pleaded Facts and Relevant Case Law..10

 1. AGIS Ignores the Federal Circuit’s *de Facto* Exception10

 2. AGIS Ignores the Federal Circuit’s Holdings from *Jack Henry*.....14

 3. AGIS Mischaracterizes the Facts and Law and Ignores Its Burden to Establish that Exercising Jurisdiction Here Would Be Unreasonable15

 4. AGIS Ignores the Well-Pleaded Facts Relating to Unenforceability and AGIS’s Unclean Hands17

 5. This Matter Was Properly Transferred to this District20

 B. AGIS Makes No Effort to Demonstrate ZTE’s Inquiry Was Unreasonable21

 C. This Court Should Award ZTE Attorney’s Fees22

V. CONCLUSION.....25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>3D Sys., Inc. v. Aarotech Labs., Inc.</i> , 160 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	11
<i>Advanced Ground Info. Sys., Inc. v. Life360, Inc.</i> , No. 9:14-cv-80651-DMM, Dkt. 32 (S.D. Fla. May 16, 2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Huawei Device USA Inc.</i> , No. 2:17-cv-00513-JRG, Dkt. 56-1, ¶ 12 (E.D. Tex. June 21, 2017).....	3
<i>Alien Tech. Corp. v. Intermec, Inc.</i> , No. 3:06-cv-51, 2007 WL 63989 (D.N.D. Jan. 4, 2007)	8
<i>Amphastar Pharm., Inc. v. Momenta Pharm., Inc.</i> , No. ED CV 15-1914 RGK, 2016 WL 6822312 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 26, 2016).....	21, 22
<i>Apple, Inc. v. AGIS Software Dev., LLC</i> , IPR2018-00819, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 7, 2018)	3, 24
<i>AU Optronics Corp. Am. V. Vista Peak Ventures, LLC</i> , No. 4:18-cv-04638-HSG, Dkt. 17 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 2, 2019).....	9, 15
<i>Autonomy, Inc. v. Adiscov, LLC</i> , No. C11-0420-SBA, 2011 WL 2175551 (N.D. Cal. June 3, 2011).....	17
<i>Avocent Huntsville Corp. v. Aten Int’l Co.</i> , 552 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	9, 16, 17, 19
<i>Babadjanian v. Deutsche Bank Nat’l Tr. Co.</i> , No. 10-cv-02580 2011 WL 13214300 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 29, 2011).....	17
<i>Bancroft & Masters, Inc. v. Augusta Nat’l Inc.</i> , 223 F.3d 1082 (9th Cir. 2000)	9
<i>Estate of Blue v. Cty. of Los Angeles</i> , 120 F.3d 982 (9th Cir. 1997)	7
<i>Brooks Furniture Mfg. Inc. v. Dutailier Int’l, Inc.</i> , 393 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	23
<i>Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz</i> , 471 U.S. 462 (1985).....	9
<i>Cambrian Sci. Corp. v. Cox Commc’ns, Inc.</i> , 79 F. Supp. 3d 1111 (C.D. Cal. 2015)	25

1	<i>Christian v. Mattel, Inc.</i> ,	
2	286 F.3d 1118 (9th Cir. 2002)	6, 17
3	<i>CommVault Sys. Inc. v. PB&J Software, LLC</i> ,	
4	No. C13-1332-MMC, 2013 WL 3242251 (N.D. Cal. June 25, 2013)	17
5	<i>Coremetrics, Inc. v. Atomic Park.com, LLC</i> ,	
6	370 F. Supp. 2d 1013 (N.D. Cal. 2005)	8
7	<i>Dainippon Screen Mfg. Co. v. CFMT, Inc.</i> ,	
8	142 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	<i>passim</i>
9	<i>Deprenyl Animal Health, Inc. v. Univ. of Toronto Innovations Found.</i> ,	
10	297 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	8
11	<i>Doe v. Unocal Corp.</i> ,	
12	248 F.3d 915 (9th Cir. 2001)	11
13	<i>Elecs. for Imaging, Inc. v. Coyle</i> ,	
14	340 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	7
15	<i>Golden Eagle Distrib. Corp. v. Burroughs Corp.</i> ,	
16	801 F.2d 1531 (9th Cir. 1986)	7, 18, 23, 24
17	<i>Google Inc. v. Rockstar Consortium U.S. LP</i> ,	
18	No. C13-5933 CW, 2014 WL 1571807 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 17, 2014).....	<i>passim</i>
19	<i>Greenberg v. Sala</i> ,	
20	822 F.2d 882 (9th Cir. 1987)	23
21	<i>Hall v. Hamilton Family Ctr.</i> ,	
22	No. 13-CV-03646-WHO, 2014 WL 1410555 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 11, 2014)	17
23	<i>Helicopteros Nacionales de Colombia, S.A. v. Hall</i> ,	
24	466 U.S. 408 (1985).....	8
25	<i>Himaka v. Buddhist Churches of Am.</i> ,	
26	917 F. Supp. 698 (N.D. Cal. 1995)	6
27	<i>Hirsch v. Blue Cross, Blue Shield</i> ,	
28	800 F.2d 1474 (9th Cir. 1986)	8
	<i>Holgate v. Baldwin</i> ,	
	425 F.3d 671 (9th Cir. 2005)	17
	<i>Hudson v. Moore Bus. Forms, Inc.</i> ,	
	836 F.2d 1156 (9th Cir. 1987)	7, 23
	<i>ICU Med., Inc. v. Alaris Med. Sys., Inc.</i> ,	
	No. 04-cv-00689, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 34467 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 16, 2007)	7, 17

1	<i>Jack Henry & Assocs., Inc. v. Plano Encryption Techs., LLC</i> ,	
2	910 F.3d 1199 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	9, 14, 15, 16
3	<i>In re Keegan Mgmt. Co., Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
4	78 F.3d 431 (9th Cir. 1996)	18, 23
5	<i>Kyocera. Kyocera Int'l, Inc. v. Semcon IP, Inc.</i> ,	
6	No. 3:18-cv-1575-CAB, 2018 WL 5112056 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 19, 2018)	14
7	<i>Lauser v. City Coll. of San Francisco</i> ,	
8	No. C-07-6464 SC, 2008 WL 2357246 (N.D. Cal. June 6, 2008), <i>aff'd</i> , 359 F.	
9	App'x 755 (9th Cir. 2009)	17
10	<i>Lemos v. Fencl</i> ,	
11	828 F.2d 616 (9th Cir. 1987)	7
12	<i>Les Shockley Racing, Inc. v. Nat'l Hot Rod Assoc.</i> ,	
13	884 F.2d 504 (9th Cir. 1989)	18
14	<i>Life360, Inc. v. Advanced Ground Info. Sys., Inc.</i> ,	
15	No. 5:15-cv-00151-BLF, Dkt. 52 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 12, 2015)	<i>passim</i>
16	<i>Love v. The Mail on Sunday</i> ,	
17	No. CV05-7798 ABC, 2006 WL 4046169 (C.D. Cal. July 13, 2006).....	22
18	<i>Mahon v. Morton Golf, LLC</i> ,	
19	No. 2:14-cv-02972-TLN-EFB, 2018 WL 4787006 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2018).....	7
20	<i>Misa Mfg., Inc. v. Pac. Egg & Poultry</i> ,	
21	No. 86-1495-AHS, 1987 WL 119913 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 7, 1987).....	22, 23
22	<i>Monolithic Power Sys. v. O2 Micro Int'l Ltd.</i> ,	
23	726 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	25
24	<i>New World Int'l, Inc. v. Ford Glob. Techs., LLC</i> ,	
25	859 F.3d 1032 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	9, 15
26	<i>Nuance Commc'ns, Inc. v. Abby Software House</i> ,	
27	626 F.3d 1222 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	8
28	<i>NXP Semiconductors USA, Inc. v. Brevets</i> ,	
	No. 14-225-SI, 2014 WL 4621017 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2014)	13, 14
	<i>Operating Eng'rs Pension Tr. v. A-C Co.</i> ,	
	859 F.2d 1336 (9th Cir. 1988)	7
	<i>Orange Prod. Credit Ass'n v. Frontline Ventures Ltd.</i> ,	
	792 F.2d 797 (9th Cir. 1986)	17
	<i>Pistoresi v. Madera Irrigation Dist.</i> ,	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.