

1 Sarah G. Hartman (Cal. Bar No. 281751)
 2 shartman@brownrudnick.com
 Peter Lambrianakos (*pro hac vice*)
 3 plambrianakos@brownrudnick.com
 Vincent J. Rubino, III (*pro hac vice*)
 4 vrubino@brownrudnick.com
 Brown Rudnick LLP
 5 7 Times Square
 New York, NY 10036
 6 Telephone: (212) 209-4800
 7 Facsimile: (212) 209-4801

8 Arjun Sivakumar (Cal. Bar No. 297787)
 asivakumar@brownrudnick.com
 Brown Rudnick LLP
 10 2211 Michelson Drive, Seventh Floor
 Irvine, California 92612
 11 Telephone: (949) 752-7100
 Facsimile: (949) 252-1514
 12

13 Attorneys for Defendant
 14 AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND DIVISION

17 Case No. 18-cv-06185-HSG

18 ZTE (USA) INC.,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, et
 22 al.

23 Defendant.

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO
 DISMISS SECOND AMENDED
 COMPLAINT**

Hearing Date: May 9, 2019
 Time: 2:00 p.m. PST
 Trial Date: None set

24
 25
 26
 27
 28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	<u>Page</u>
I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
II. ZTE’S FAILURE TO ESTABLISH JURISDICTION MANDATES DISMISSAL	1
A. ZTE Fails to Meet its Burden to Establish that Imputation is Warranted.....	1
B. General Jurisdiction Is Not Proper Over AGIS Software.....	8
C. Specific Jurisdiction Is Not Proper Over AGIS Software	9
1. Agis Software’s Contacts With California Are Insufficient.....	9
2. AGIS, Inc.’s Contacts Cannot Be Considered, And Are Insufficient.....	12
D. Exercising Jurisdiction over AGIS Software Would Not Be Fair or Reasonable	14
III. JURISDICTIONAL DISCOVERY IS NOT WARRANTED	14
IV. CONCLUSION.....	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>3D Sys., Inc. v. Aarotech Labs., Inc.</i> , 160 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	3, 6, 7
<i>Adobe Sys. Inc. v. Tejas Research, LLC</i> , No. C-14-0868 EMC, 2014 WL 4651654 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2014).....	10, 11
<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , No. 2:17-CV-00516-JRG, 2018 WL 2721826 (E.D. Tex. June 6, 2018).....	1, 2, 6
<i>Alien Tech Corp. v. Intermec, Inc.</i> , No. 3:06-cv-51., 2007 WL 63989 (D.N.D. Jan. 4, 2007).....	7
<i>Allphin v. Peter K. Fitness,</i> LLC, Case No. 13-cv-01338-BLF, 2014 WL 6997653 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2014).....	5
<i>Am. GNC Corp. v. GoPro, Inc.</i> , Case No. 18-cv-00968-BAS-BLM, 2018 WL 6074395 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 6, 2018).....	2
<i>Am. Wave Machines, Inc. v. Surf Lagoons, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-3204-CAB (NLS), 2014 WL 10475281 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 12, 2014).....	7
<i>AU Optronics Corp. Am. v. Vista Peak Ventures, LLC</i> , Case No. 18-cv-04638-HSG, 2019 WL 690282 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 19, 2019) (Gilliam, Jr., J.).....	9, 10, 11, 13
<i>Avocent Huntsville Corp. v. Aten Int'l Co., Ltd.</i> , 552 F3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	10, 12, 13, 14
<i>Bayer Healthcare LLC v. Nektar Therapeutics</i> , Case No. 17-CV-05055-LHK, 2018 WL 1258202 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 12, 2018).....	13
<i>Boschetto v. Hansing</i> , 539 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 2008).....	12, 15
<i>Campbell Pet Co. v. Miale</i> , 542 F.3d 879 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	13
<i>Celgard, LLC v. SK Innovation Co.</i> , 792 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	3, 6
<i>In re Conseco Ins. Co. Annuity Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.</i> , No. C-05-04725 RMW., 2008 WL 4544441 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 30, 2008).....	5
<i>Corcoran v. CVS Health Corp.</i> , 169 F. Supp. 3d 970 983-84 (N.D. Cal. 2016).....	4

1	<i>Coremetrics, Inc. v. Atomic Park.com, LLC</i> , 370 F. Supp. 2d 1013 (N.D. Cal. 2005).....	9
2	<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i> ,	
3	134 S. Ct. 746 (2014).....	8, 9
4	<i>Dainippon Screen Mfg. Co. v. CFMT, Inc.</i> ,	
5	142 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	6, 7
6	<i>Elecs. for Imaging, Inc. v. RAH Color Techs. LLC</i> ,	
7	Case No. 18-cv-01612-WHO, 2018 WL 5304838 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2018).....	10, 11
8	<i>Farmers Ins. Exch. v. Portage La Prairie Mut. Ins. Co.</i> ,	
9	907 F.2d 911 (9th Cir. 1990)	14
10	<i>Gallagher v. U.S.</i> ,	
11	Case No. 17-cv-00586-MEJ, 2017 WL 4390172 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2017).....	11
12	<i>Google Inc. v. Rockstar Consortium U.S. LP</i> ,	
13	No. C 13-5933 CW, 2014 WL 1571807 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 17, 2014)	7
14	<i>Gordon v. APM Terminals N. Am., Inc.</i> ,	
15	No. 17-CV-03970-MEJ, 2017 WL 3838092 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 1, 2017)	3, 4
16	<i>Jack Henry & Assocs., Inc. v. Plano Encryption Techs. LLC</i> ,	
17	910 F.3d 1199 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	11
18	<i>Juniper Networks, Inc. v. SSL Servs., LLC</i> ,	
19	No. C 08-5758 SBA., 2009 WL 3837266 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 16, 2009)	10, 11
20	<i>Kellman v. Whole Foods Mkt., Inc.</i> ,	
21	313 F. Supp. 3d 1031, 1047 (N.D. Cal. 2018)	5
22	<i>Klayman v. Deluca</i> ,	
23	Case No. 5:14-CV-03190-EJD, 2015 WL 427907 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 30, 2015).....	11
24	<i>Kyocera Int'l, Inc. v. Semcon IP, Inc.</i> ,	
25	Case No.: 3:18-CV-1575-CAB-MDD, 2018 WL 5112056 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 19, 2018)	10, 11
26	<i>Langenberg v. Sofair</i> ,	
27	No. 03-CV-8339 KMK., 2006 WL 2628348 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 11, 2006)	3
28	<i>Life360, Inc. v. Advanced Ground Info. Sys., Inc.</i> ,	
	No. 15-cv-00151-BLF, 2015 WL 5612008 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 21, 2015) . Dkt. 19-2.....	8, 9, 13
	<i>NXP Semiconductors USA, Inc. v. Brevets</i> ,	
	No. C 14-1225 SI, 2014 WL 4621017 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2014)	7, 15

1 *Paramount Pictures Corp. v. Nissim Corp.*,
 Nos. 2:14-cv-04624-ODW(ASx), 2:14-cv-04626-ODW(ASx), 2:14-cv-04628-
 2 ODW(ASx), 2014 WL 5528455 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 3, 2014)10, 14

3 *Pebble Beach Co. v. Caddy*,
 4 453 F.3d 1151 (9th Cir. 2006)14

5 *Radio Systems Corp. v. Accession, Inc.*,
 6 638 F.3d 785 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....10, 11, 12, 13

7 *Ranza v. Nike*,
 8 793 F.3d 1059 (9th Cir. 2015)4, 5

9 *Republic of Kazakhstan v. Ketebaev*,
 Case No. 17-CV-00246-LHK, 2018 WL 2763308 (N.D. Cal. June 8, 2018).....8

10 *RxHeat, LLC v. Thermapure, Inc.*,
 No. 4:10CV2402 JCH., 2011 WL 998158 (E.D. Mo. Mar. 17, 2011)7

11 *Sec. & Exch. Comm'n v. Jammin Java Corp.*,
 12 Case No. 2:15-cv-08921-SVW-MRW, 2016 WL 6595133 (C.D. Cal. July 18,
 2016)2, 3

13 *Skurkis v. Montelongo*,
 14 Case No.: 16-cv-0972 YGR, 2016 WL 4719271 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 9, 2016).....15

15 *Stewart v. Screen Gems-EMI Music, Inc.*,
 16 81 F. Supp. 3d 938, 954 (N.D. Cal. 2015)3, 4, 5

17 *Taormina v. Thrifty Car Rental*,
 No. 16-CV-3255 (VEC), 2016 WL 7392214 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 21, 2016).....2, 3

18 *Williams v. Yamaha Motor Co.*,
 19 851 F.3d 1015 (9th Cir. 2017)4

20 *Wistron Corp. v. Phillip M. Adams & Assocs. LLC*,
 No. C-10-4458, 2011 WL 1654466, at *6 n.8 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 28, 2011)7

21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.