

1 SARAH G. HARTMAN (Bar No. 281751)  
shartman@brownrudnick.com  
2 BROWN RUDNICK LLP  
Seven Times Square  
3 New York, NY 10036  
4 Telephone: (212) 209-4800  
Facsimile: (212) 209-4801

5 Attorneys for Defendant  
6 AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9 OAKLAND DIVISION

10 Case No. 18-cv-06185-HSG

11 ZTE (USA) INC.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC, et  
15 al.

16 Defendants.

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO  
DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT;  
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT**

*[Declaration of Malcolm K. Beyer, Jr.,  
Declaration of Vincent J. Rubino, III and exhibits,  
and Proposed Order filed concurrently herewith]*

Amended Complaint Filed: 31-DEC-2018  
Deadline to Respond: 22-JAN-2019

Hearing Date: April 25, 2019  
Time: 2:00 p.m. PST

1 **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS**

2 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on April 25, 2019 at 2:00 p.m., or as soon thereafter as  
3 the matter may be heard before the Honorable Judge Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. in the United  
4 States District Court for the Northern District of California, in the Ronald V. Dellums Federal  
5 Building and United States Courthouse, Courtroom 2, 4th Floor, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland,  
6 California 94612, Defendant AGIS Software Development LLC (“AGIS Software”) will and  
7 hereby does move the Court, for an order dismissing the First Amended Complaint (“FAC”)  
8 filed by Plaintiff ZTE (USA) Inc. (“ZTE” or “Plaintiff”) pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) of the  
9 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

10 This Motion is made on the grounds that the Court lacks personal jurisdiction over AGIS  
11 Software. AGIS Software is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business in  
12 Marshall, Texas. AGIS Software is not registered to conduct business in California; does not have  
13 a registered agent for service of process in California; does not have offices, employees, equipment,  
14 bank accounts or other assets in California; is not subject to and has never paid taxes in California;  
15 does not manufacture or sell products in California; does not solicit or engage in business in  
16 California; has not signed contracts in California; does not recruit employees in California; and does  
17 not own, lease or rent any property in California. Further, with the exception of the present suit, no  
18 lawsuit has ever been filed by or against AGIS Software in California for any reason. Additionally,  
19 AGIS Software has not purposefully directed any activities related to the enforcement or  
20 defense of the Patents-in-Suit *at California*.

21 The Motion will be and is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the accompanying  
22 Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the accompanying declarations and exhibits, the

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 pleadings and papers filed herein, as well as upon such other and further matters, papers and  
2 arguments as may be submitted to the Court.

3  
4 Dated: January 22, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

5 BROWN RUDNICK LLP

6  
7 By: /s/ Sarah G. Hartman  
8 Sarah G. Hartman

9 BROWN RUDNICK LLP  
10 Attorneys for Defendants  
11 AGIS Software Development LLC  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Page**

1

2

3 STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED ..... 3

4 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ..... 3

5 I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT ..... 3

6 II. BACKGROUND ..... 3

7 A. The Parties ..... 3

8 B. Prior Enforcement Actions ..... 4

9 III. LEGAL STANDARD ..... 6

10 IV. ARGUMENT ..... 8

11 A. Personal Jurisdiction Does Not Exist Over AGIS Software In California ..... 8

12 1. General Jurisdiction Does Not Exist over AGIS Software Because

13 AGIS Software Is Not “At Home” in California ..... 8

14 2. Specific Jurisdiction Does Not Exist Over AGIS Software in

15 California ..... 11

16 a. Enforcement Actions *in Texas* Against Alleged California

17 Entities Do Not Support Jurisdiction Over AGIS Software in

18 California ..... 12

19 b. Contacts That Are “Merely Ancillary” to *Out-of-State*

20 Enforcement Actions Do Not Subject AGIS Software to

21 Jurisdiction in California ..... 15

22 c. Exercising Personal Jurisdiction over Any Defendant Would

23 Not Comport with Fair Play and Substantial Justice ..... 17

24 V. CONCLUSION ..... 18

25

26

27

28

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES****Page(s)****Federal Cases**

1		
2		
3		
4	<b>Federal Cases</b>	
5	<i>Adobe Sys. Inc. v. Tejas Research, LLC.</i> ,	
6	No. C-14-0868 EMC, 2014 WL 4651654 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2014).....	<i>passim</i>
7	<i>AFTG-TG, LLC v. Nuvoton Tech. Corp.</i> ,	
8	689 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	8
9	<i>AGIS Software Dev., LLC v. ZTE Corp.</i> ,	
10	No. 2:17-CV-00517-JRG, 2018 WL 4854023 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 28,	
11	2018) .....	8
12	<i>Amba Mktg. Sys., Inc. v. Jobar Int’l, Inc.</i> ,	
13	551 F.2d 784 (9th Cir. 1977) .....	8
14	<i>Amphastar Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> ,	
15	No. ED CV 15--1914 RGK, 2016 WL 6822312 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 26,	
16	2016) .....	8
17	<i>Autogenomics, Inc. v. Oxford Gene Tech. Ltd.</i> ,	
18	566 F.3d 1012 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	7, 10, 11
19	<i>Autonomy, Inc. v. Adiscov, LLC</i> ,	
20	No. C11-0420 SBA, 2011 WL 2175551, at *3 (N.D. Cal. June 3,	
21	2011) .....	11, 13, 14
22	<i>Avocent Huntsville Corp. v. Aten Int’l Co.</i> ,	
23	552 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	<i>passim</i>
24	<i>Boschetto v. Hansing</i> ,	
25	539 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 2008) .....	17
26	<i>Breckenridge Pharm., Inc. v. Metabolite Labs., Inc.</i> ,	
27	444 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	11
28	<i>Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz</i> ,	
	471 U.S. 462 (1985).....	7, 15, 16
	<i>Campbell Pet Co. v. Miale</i> ,	
	542 F.3d 879 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	8

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.