Case 3:18-cv-02621-WHO Document 64 Filed 01/23/19 Page 1 of 5

1	CLEMENT ROBERTS (SBN: 209203)	PAUL ANDRE (SBN: 196585)	
2	croberts@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	pandre@kramerlevin.com LISA KOBIALKA (SBN: 191404)	
3	405 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105	lkobialka@kramerlevin.com JAMES HANNAH (SBN: 237978)	
	Telephone: (415) 773-5700	jhannah@kramerlevin.com	
4	Facsimile: (415) 773-5759	AUSTIN MANES (SBN: 284065) amanes@kramerlevin.com	
5	VICKIE FEEMAN (SBN: 177487)	KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEI	
6	vfeeman@orrick.com FRANCES CHEEVER (SBN: 287585)	LLP 990 Marsh Road	
7	fcheever@orrick.com	Menlo Park, CA 94025	
	EVAN BREWER (SBN: 304411) ebrewer@orrick.com	Telephone: (650) 752-1700 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800	
8	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015	FINJAN, INC.	
10	Telephone: +1 650 614 7400		
	Facsimile: +1 650 614 7401		
11	Attorneys for Defendants CHECK POINT SOFTWARE		
12	TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and		
13	CHECK POINT SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.		
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION	
17			
18			
19	FINJAN, INC. a Delaware Corporation,	Case No. 5:18-cv-02621-WHO	
20	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER	
	v.	EXTENDING TIME TO SUBMIT REPLY IN SUPPORT OF	
21	CHECK POINT SOFTWARE	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ENFORCE ORDER AND STRIKE	
22	TECHNOLOGIES INC., a Delaware	INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS	
23	Corporation, CHECK POINT SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGIES LTD., an Israeli Limited	Date: February 13, 2019	
24	Company,	Time: 2:00 p.m. Location: Courtroom 2, 17th Floor	
25	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick	
26			
27			



Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, and 7-12, Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. ("Finjan") and Defendants Check Point Software Technologies, Inc. and Check Point Software Technologies, Ltd. ("Check Point") (collectively, "the Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate to the following request to extend by seven days the deadline for Check Point to file a reply in support of Check Point's Motion to Enforce Order and Strike Infringement Contentions ("Motion to Strike"), ECF No. 55.

WHEREAS, on January 3, 2019, Check Point filed a Motion to Strike, ECF No. 55; WHEREAS, on January 17, 2019, Finjan filed an opposition to Check Point's Motion to

Strike, ECF No. 61;

WHEREAS, under Civil Local Rule 7-3(b), the deadline for Check Point to file a reply in support of its Motion to Strike is January 24, 2019;

WHEREAS, the associate who was the primary drafter of Check Point's Motion to Strike suffered an injury over the weekend, and as a result Check Point requested a seven-day extension of the due date for its reply brief in support of that motion;

WHEREAS, in the spirit of good faith and professional conduct, Finjan agreed to Check Point's requested extension, and thus the Parties stipulate to continue the deadline for Check Point to file its reply in support of its Motion to Strike by seven days, until January 31, 2019;

WHEREAS, the Court previously modified the schedule in this case three times: to adjust the briefing schedule for Finjan's Motion to Impute Service, Dkt. No. 35, to extend the hearing date on Finjan's Motion to Impute Service by seven days, Dkt. No. 42, and to adjust the briefing schedule and hearing on Finjan's Motion to Strike and extend Check Point Software Technologies, Ltd.'s time to respond to the complaint, Dkt. No. 52.


WHEREAS, the requested continuance should not have any material effect on the schedule in this case.

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and request that the deadline for Check Point to file a reply in support of its Motion to Strike be extended from January 24, 2019 to January 31, 2019.



Case 3:18-cv-02621-WHO Document 64 Filed 01/23/19 Page 3 of 5

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	D . 1 1 20 2010	
3	Dated: January 22, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
4		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
5		
6		By: /s/ Evan Brewer Evan Brewer
7		Attorneys for Defendant CHECK POINT SOFTWARE
8		TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
9		
10	Dated: January 22, 2019	Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP
11		
12		By:/s/ Austin Manes
13		Austin Manes Attorneys for Plaintiff FINJAN, INC.
14		FINJAN, INC.
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



1	
2	
3	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO L.R. 5-1(I)
4	In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this
5	document has been obtained from any other signatory to this document.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
2223	
2425	
26	
27	
28	



ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The deadline for Check Point to file a reply in support of its Motion to Strike, ECF No. 55, is extended from January 24, 2019 to January 31, 2019. Dated: January 23, 2019 United States District Judge

