

1 PAUL ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 AUSTIN MANES (State Bar No. 284065)
amanes@kramerlevin.com
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
6 990 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
10 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 CHECK POINT SOFTWARE
18 TECHNOLOGIES INC., a Delaware
Corporation, CHECK POINT SOFTWARE
19 TECHNOLOGIES LTD., an Israeli Limited
20 Company,

21 Defendants.

Case No.:

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

2 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. (“Finjan”) files this Complaint for Patent Infringement and Demand for
3 Jury Trial against Check Point Software Technologies Ltd. (“Check Point Israel”) and Check Point
4 Software Technologies, Inc. (“Check Point USA”) (collectively, “Defendant” or “Check Point”) and
5 alleges as follows:

6 **THE PARTIES**

7 1. Finjan is a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business at 2000
8 University Avenue, Suite 600, E. Palo Alto, California 94303.

9 2. Check Point USA is a Delaware Corporation with its headquarters and principal place
10 of business at 959 Skyway Road, Suite 300, San Carlos, CA 94070. Defendant may be served
11 through its agent for service of process, Corporation Service Company, 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive,
12 Suite 150N, Sacramento, CA 95833.

13 3. Check Point Israel is limited company organized under the law of Israel with its
14 headquarters and principal place of business at 5 Ha’ Solelim Street, Tel Aviv 67897, Israel. On
15 information and belief, Check Point USA is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Check Point Israel.

16 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

17 4. This action arises under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.* This Court has
18 original jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.

19 5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) and/or 1400(b).
20 Venue is proper at least because Check Point’s U.S. Headquarters is located in this District at 959
21 Skyway Road Suite 300, San Carlos, CA 94070.

22 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Upon information and belief,
23 Defendant regularly and continuously does business in this District and has infringed or induced
24 infringement, and continues to do so, in this District. Upon information and belief, Check Point’s
25 U.S. Headquarters is located in this District in the city of San Carlos, California and is a regular and
26 established place of business. In fact, Defendant’s website regularly advertises active job listings in
27 this District for its U.S. Headquarters in this District. *See* Exhibit 1 attached hereto

1 (https://careers.checkpoint.com/careers/index.php?m=careers&a=jobs&country_code=US). As such,
2 the Court has personal jurisdiction over Check Point because minimum contacts have been
3 established within this forum and the exercise of jurisdiction would not offend traditional notions of
4 fair play and substantial justice.

5 **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

6 7. Pursuant to Local Rule 3-2(c), Intellectual Property Actions are assigned on a district-
7 wide basis.

8 **FINJAN'S INNOVATIONS**

9 8. Finjan was founded in 1997 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Finjan Software Ltd., an
10 Israeli corporation. In 1998, Finjan moved its headquarters to San Jose, California. Finjan was a
11 pioneer in developing proactive security technologies capable of detecting previously unknown and
12 emerging online security threats, recognized today under the umbrella term "malware." These
13 technologies protect networks and endpoints by identifying suspicious patterns and behaviors of
14 content delivered over the Internet. Finjan has been awarded, and continues to prosecute, numerous
15 patents covering innovations in the United States and around the world resulting directly from
16 Finjan's more than decades-long research and development efforts, supported by a dozen inventors
17 and over \$65 million in R&D investments.

18 9. Finjan built and sold software, including application program interfaces (APIs) and
19 appliances for network security, using these patented technologies. These products and related
20 customers continue to be supported by Finjan's licensing partners. At its height, Finjan employed
21 nearly 150 employees around the world building and selling security products and operating the
22 Malicious Code Research Center, through which it frequently published research regarding network
23 security and current threats on the Internet. Finjan's pioneering approach to online security drew
24 equity investments from two major software and technology companies, the first in 2005 followed by
25 the second in 2006. Finjan generated millions of dollars in product sales and related services and
26 support revenues through 2009, when it spun off certain hardware and technology assets in a merger.
27 Pursuant to this merger, Finjan was bound to a non-compete and confidentiality agreement, under
28

1 which it could not make or sell a competing product or disclose the existence of the non-compete
2 clause. Finjan became a publicly traded company in June 2013, capitalized with \$30 million. After
3 Finjan's obligations under the non-compete and confidentiality agreement expired in March 2015,
4 Finjan re-entered the development and production sector of secure mobile products for the consumer
5 market.

6 **FINJAN'S ASSERTED PATENTS**

7 10. On November 28, 2000, U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 ("the '844 Patent"), titled SYSTEM
8 AND METHOD FOR ATTACHING A DOWNLOADABLE SECURITY PROFILE TO A
9 DOWNLOADABLE, was issued to Shlomo Touboul and Nachshon Gal. A true and correct copy of
10 the '844 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 2 and is incorporated by reference herein.

11 11. All rights, title, and interest in the '844 Patent have been assigned to Finjan, who is the
12 sole owner of the '844 Patent. Finjan has been the sole owner of the '844 Patent since its issuance.

13 12. The '844 Patent is generally directed toward computer networks, and more particularly,
14 provides a system that protects devices connected to the Internet from undesirable operations from
15 web-based content. One of the ways this is accomplished is by linking a security profile to such web-
16 based content to facilitate the protection of computers and networks from malicious web-based
17 content.

18 13. On November 15, 2005, U.S. Patent No. 6,965,968 ("the '968 Patent"), entitled
19 POLICY-BASED CACHING, was issued to Shlomo Touboul. A true and correct copy of the '968
20 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 3 and is incorporated by reference herein.

21 14. All rights, title, and interest in the '968 Patent have been assigned to Finjan, who is the
22 sole owner of the '968 Patent. Finjan has been the sole owner of the '968 Patent since its issuance.

23 15. The '968 Patent is generally directed towards methods and systems for enabling policy-
24 based cache management to determine if digital content is allowable relative to a policy. One of the
25 ways this is accomplished is scanning digital content to derive a content profile and determining
26 whether the digital content is allowable for a policy based on the content profile.

1 16. On August 26, 2008, U.S. Patent No. 7,418,731 (“the ‘731 Patent”), entitled METHOD
2 AND SYSTEM FOR CACHING AT SECURE GATEWAYS, was issued to Shlomo Touboul. A true
3 and correct copy of the ‘731 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 4 and is incorporated by
4 reference herein.

5 17. All rights, title, and interest in the ‘731 Patent have been assigned to Finjan, who is the
6 sole owner of the ‘731 Patent. Finjan has been the sole owner of the ‘731 Patent since its issuance.

7 18. The ‘731 Patent is generally directed towards methods and systems for providing an
8 efficient security system. One of the ways this is accomplished is by implementing a variety of caches
9 to increase performance of the system.

10 19. On January 12, 2010, U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633 (“the ‘633 Patent”), entitled
11 MALICIOUS MOBILE CODE RUNTIME MONITORING SYSTEM AND METHODS, was issued
12 to Yigal Mordechai Edery, Nimrod Itzhak Vered, David R. Kroll and Shlomo Touboul. A true and
13 correct copy of the ‘633 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 5 and is incorporated by
14 reference herein.

15 20. All rights, title, and interest in the ‘633 Patent have been assigned to Finjan, who is the
16 sole owner of the ‘633 Patent. Finjan has been the sole owner of the ‘633 Patent since its issuance.

17 21. The ‘633 Patent is generally directed towards computer networks, and more
18 particularly, provides a system that protects devices connected to the Internet from undesirable web-
19 based content. One of the ways this is accomplished is by determining whether any part of such web-
20 based content can be executed and then trapping such content using mobile protection code.

21 22. On December 13, 2011, U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 (“the ‘086 Patent”), entitled
22 MALICIOUS MOBILE CODE RUNTIME MONITORING SYSTEM AND METHODS, was issued
23 to Yigal Mordechai Edery, Nimrod Itzhak Vered, David R Kroll and Shlomo Touboul. A true and
24 correct copy of the ‘086 Patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 6 and is incorporated herein.

25 23. All rights, title, and interest in the ‘086 Patent have been assigned to Finjan, who is the
26 sole owner of the ‘086 Patent. Finjan has been the sole owner of the ‘086 Patent since its issuance.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.