

1 Edward R. Nelson III (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Texas Bar No. 00797142
 2 Christopher G. Granaghan (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Texas Bar No. 24078585
 ed@nelbum.com
 3 chris@nelbum.com
 NELSON BUMGARDNER CONROY P.C.
 4 3131 West Seventh Street, Suite 300
 Fort Worth, Texas 76107
 5 Telephone: (817) 377-9111
 Facsimile: (817) 377-3485
 6

7 Timothy E. Grochocinski (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Illinois Bar No. 6295055
 Charles Austin Ginnings (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 8 New York Bar No. 4986691
 tim@nelbum.com
 9 austin@nelbum.com
 NELSON BUMGARDNER CONROY P.C.
 10 15020 S. Ravinia Avenue, Suite 29
 Orland Park, Illinois 60462
 11 Telephone: (708) 675-1974

12 Ryan E. Hatch
 California Bar No. 235577
 13 ryan@hatchlaw.com
 HATCH LAW PC
 14 13323 Washington Blvd., Suite 302
 Los Angeles, CA 90066
 15 Telephone: (310) 279-5076

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 17 FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 19 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 20 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

21 FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.,
 22 Plaintiff,
 23 v.
 24 APPLE INC.,
 25 Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:18-cv-02245-JD
PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL BRIEF
 JUDGE: Hon. James Donato

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. FIRSTFACE’S PATENT INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS..... 1

 A. Direct Infringement..... 1

 1. Literal Infringement..... 1

 2. Infringement under the Doctrine of Equivalents 1

 B. Indirect Infringement 2

 C. Damages for Patent Infringement 2

II. APPLE’S COUNTERCLAIMS AND DEFENSES..... 4

 A. Non-Infringement 4

 B. Invalidity 4

 1. Written Description..... 4

 2. Enablement 4

 3. Anticipation..... 5

 4. Obviousness 7

 C. Ensnarement of Prior Art 8

 D. Reverse Doctrine of Equivalents 9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

1

2

3 *Alcon Research Ltd. v. Barr Labs., Inc.*,

4 745 F.3d 1180 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 5

5 *Allen Eng’g Corp. v. Bartell Indus., Inc.*,

6 299 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 1

7 *Amgen Inc. v. Sanofi*,

8 987 F.3d 1080 (Fed. Cir. 2021) 5

9 *Amgen Inc. v. Sanofi*,

10 Case 21-757, 2023 U.S. LEXIS 2058 (U.S. May 18, 2023)..... 5

11 *Apotex USA, Inc. v. Merck & Co.*,

12 254 F.3d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 7

13 *ArcelorMittal France v. AK Steel Corp.*,

14 700 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 5, 6

15 *Ariad Pharms., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.*,

16 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 4

17 *Burroughs Wellcome Co. v. Barr Labs., Inc.*,

18 40 F.3d 1223 (Fed. Cir. 1994) 6

19 *C.R. Bard, Inc. v. M3 Sys., Inc.*,

20 157 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 1998) 7

21 *Celeritas Techs., Ltd. v. Rockwell Int’l Corp.*,

22 150 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 1998) 5

23 *Cooper v. Goldfarb*,

24 154 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 1998) 6

25 *Cybor Corp. v. FAS Techs., Inc.*,

26 138 F.3d 1448 (Fed. Cir. 1998) 1

27 *Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.*,

28 567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 8, 9

Dow Chem. Co. v. Astro-Valcour, Inc.,

267 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 7

Eli Lilly & Co. v. L.A. Biomed. Res. Inst.,

849 F.3d 1073 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 5

1 *Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.*,
626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010)3

2
3 *Fox Group, Inc. v. Cree, Inc.*,
700 F.3d 1300 (Fed. Cir. 2012)6

4 *Georgia-Pacific Corp. v. United States Plywood Corp.*,
5 318 F. Supp. 1116 (S.D.N.Y. 1970).....3

6 *Global-Tech Appliances, Inv. v. SEB S.A.*,
7 563 U.S. 754 (2011).....2

8 *Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*,
355 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2004)3

9 *Graham v. John Deere Co.*,
10 83 U.S. 1 (1966).....7

11 *Graver Tank & Mfg. Co. v. Linde Air Prods. Co.*,
12 339 U.S. 605 (1950).....9

13 *Hutchins v. Zoll Med. Corp.*,
492 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2007)1

14 *In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Patent Litig.*,
15 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012)7

16 *In re Omeprazole Patent Litig.*,
17 536 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2008)6

18 *In re Wands*,
858 F.2d 731 (Fed. Cir. 1988)5

19
20 *Info-Hold, Inc. v. Muzak LLC*,
783 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2015)2

21 *Intendis GmbH v. Glenmark Pharms., Inc.*,
22 822 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016)9

23 *Interactive Pictures Corp. v. Infinite Pictures, Inc.*,
24 274 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2001)3, 8

25 *LaserDynamics, Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc.*,
694 F.3d 51 (Fed. Cir. 2012)3, 4

26
27 *Lucent Techs., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.*,
580 F.3d 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2009)3

28

1	<i>MBO Labs, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.,</i> 602 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	7
2	<i>Microsoft Corp. v. DataTern, Inc.,</i>	
3	755 F.3d 899 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	2
4	<i>Microsoft Corp. v. i4i Ltd. P'ship,</i>	
5	131 S. Ct. 2238 (2011).....	4
6	<i>Promega Corp. v. Life Techs. Corp.,</i>	
7	875 F.3d 651 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	3
8	<i>Radio Steel & Mfg. Co. v. MTD Prods., Inc.,</i>	
9	731 F.2d 840 (Fed. Cir. 1984).....	2
10	<i>REG Synthetic Fuels, LLC v. Neste Oil Oyj,</i>	
11	841 F.3d 954 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	6
12	<i>ResQNet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.,</i>	
13	594 F.3d 860 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	3
14	<i>Riles v. Shell Expl. & Prod. Co.,</i>	
15	298 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	1
16	<i>Rite-Hite Corp. v. Kelley Co.,</i>	
17	56 F.3d 1538 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	3
18	<i>Roche Palo Alto LLC v. Apotex, Inc.,</i>	
19	531 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	9
20	<i>Schoell v. Regal Marine Indus., Inc.,</i>	
21	247 F.3d 1202 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	2
22	<i>Siemens Med. Sols. USA, Inc. v. Saint-Gobain Ceramics & Plastics, Inc.,</i>	
23	637 F.3d 1269 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	1
24	<i>Thaler v. Vidal,</i>	
25	43 F.4th 1207 (Fed. Cir. 2022).....	7
26	<i>Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling, Inc. v. Maersk Contractors USA, Inc.,</i>	
27	617 F.3d 1296 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	7
28	<i>Trebro Mfg. v. FireFly Equip., LLC,</i>	
	748 F.3d 1159 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	7
	<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.,</i>	
	632 F.3d 1292 (Fed Cir. 2011).....	3

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.