

Edward R. Nelson III (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Texas Bar No. 00797142
 Christopher G. Granaghan (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Texas Bar No. 24078585
 ed@nelbum.com
 chris@nelbum.com
 NELSON BUMGARDNER CONROY P.C.
 3131 West Seventh Street, Suite 300
 Fort Worth, Texas 76107
 Telephone: (817) 377-9111
 Facsimile: (817) 377-3485

Timothy E. Grochocinski (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Illinois Bar No. 6295055
 Charles Austin Ginnings (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 New York Bar No. 4986691
 tim@nelbum.com
 austin@nelbum.com
 NELSON BUMGARDNER CONROY P.C.
 15020 S. Ravinia Avenue, Suite 29
 Orland Park, Illinois 60462
 Telephone: (708) 675-1974

Ryan E. Hatch
 California Bar No. 235577
 ryan@hatchlaw.com
 HATCH LAW PC
 13323 Washington Blvd., Suite 302
 Los Angeles, CA 90066
 Telephone: (310) 279-5076

Attorneys for Plaintiff
 FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:18-cv-02245-JD

**JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING
 ADMISSIBILITY OF
 INTERROGATORY RESPONSES**

Judge: Hon. James Donato

Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (“Firstface”) and Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”), by and through their counsel of record, jointly submit this stipulation regarding the admissibility of interrogatory responses.

WHEREAS, Firstface has served the following interrogatory responses (“Firstface’s Interrogatory Responses”):

Interrogatory Responses	Date Served
Plaintiff’s Third Amended and Supplemental Objections and Responses to Defendant Apple Inc.’s Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (Nos. 9-14)	August 25, 2022
Plaintiff’s Second Amended and Supplemental Objections and Responses to Defendant Apple Inc.’s Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (Nos. 9-14)	August 15, 2022
Plaintiff’s Third Amended and Supplemental Objections and Responses to Apple Inc.’s First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (Nos. 1-8)	August 12, 2022
Plaintiff’s Objections and Responses to Defendant Apple Inc.’s Third Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (No. 15)	August 1, 2022
Plaintiff’s Amended and Supplemental Objections and Responses to Defendant Apple Inc.’s Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (Nos. 9-14)	July 26, 2022

WHEREAS, Apple has served the following interrogatory responses (“Apple’s Interrogatory Responses”):

Interrogatory Responses	Date Served
Defendant Apple Inc.’s Amended Responses to Firstface Co., Ltd.’s Questions Regarding Usage Metrics	March 28, 2023
Apple Inc.’s Second Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.’s Third Set of Interrogatories (No. 12)	September 6, 2022
Defendant Apple Inc.’s Second Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.’s First Set of Interrogatories (No. 4)	August 31, 2022

Apple Inc.'s Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s Fourth Set of Interrogatories (No. 14)	August 31, 2022
Defendant Apple Inc.'s Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 4, 7, 8, and 9)	July 26, 2022
Defendant Apple Inc.'s Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s Second Set of Interrogatories (No. 10)	July 26, 2022
Apple Inc.'s Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s Third Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 12-13)	February 11, 2022
Defendant Apple Inc.'s Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s Second Set of Interrogatories	July 1, 2019
Defendant Apple Inc.'s Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s First Set of Interrogatories	November 12, 2018

WHEREAS, while Firstface's and Apple's Interrogatory Responses were signed by each party's respective counsel, neither party has verified their respective interrogatory responses "under oath" as set out by Rule 33(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

WHEREAS, the parties desire to avoid disputes about the admissibility of the substantive portions of Firstface's and Apple's Interrogatory Responses on the basis that such responses were not made under oath;

NOW THEREFORE, Firstface and Apple stipulate that:

The substantive portions of Firstface's and Apple's Interrogatory Responses shall be admissible at trial or any hearing in this case to the same extent as if they had been made "under oath" in compliance with Rule 33(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. For the avoidance of doubt, the parties are not waiving any other objections they may have to the Interrogatory Responses.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1 Dated: May 1, 2023

NELSON BUMGARDNER CONROY P.C.

2
3 By: /s/ Christopher G. Granaghan

4 Edward R. Nelson III
5 Texas Bar No. 00797142
6 Christopher G. Granaghan
7 Texas Bar No. 24078585
8 ed@nelbum.com
9 chris@nelbum.com
10 NELSON BUMGARDNER
11 CONROY P.C.
12 3131 West Seventh Street, Suite 300
13 Fort Worth, Texas 76107
14 Telephone: (817) 377-9111
15 Facsimile: (817) 377-3485

16 Timothy E. Grochocinski
17 Illinois Bar No. 6295055
18 Charles Austin Ginnings
19 New York Bar No. 4986691
20 tim@nelbum.com
21 austin@nelbum.com
22 NELSON BUMGARDNER
23 CONROY P.C.
24 15020 S. Ravinia Avenue, Suite 29
25 Orland Park, Illinois 60462
26 Telephone: (708) 675-1974

27 Ryan E. Hatch
28 California Bar No. 235577
29 ryan@hatchlaw.com
30 HATCH LAW PC
31 13323 Washington Blvd., Suite 302
32 Los Angeles, CA 90066
33 Telephone: (310) 279-5076

34 Attorneys for Plaintiff
35 FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.

36 Dated: May 1, 2023

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

37 By: /s/ Shaelyn K. Dawson

38 Bitu Rahebi
39 Alex Yap
40 Nicholas Fung

1 brahebi@mofo.com
2 ayap@mofo.com
3 nfung@mofo.com
4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
5 707 Wilshire Boulevard
6 Los Angeles, CA 90017

7 Richard S.J. Hung
8 rhung@mofo.com
9 Shaelyn Dawson
10 shaelyndawson@mofo.com
11 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
12 425 Market Street
13 San Francisco, CA 94105

14 Attorneys for Defendant
15 APPLE INC.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.