

1 Edward R. Nelson III (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Texas Bar No. 00797142
 2 Christopher G. Granaghan (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Texas Bar No. 24078585
 3 ed@nelbum.com
 chris@nelbum.com
 4 NELSON BUMGARDNER CONROY P.C.
 3131 West Seventh Street, Suite 300
 5 Fort Worth, Texas 76107
 Telephone: (817) 377-9111
 6 Facsimile: (817) 377-3485

7 Timothy E. Grochocinski (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Illinois Bar No. 6295055
 8 Charles Austin Ginnings (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 New York Bar No. 4986691
 9 tim@nelbum.com
 austin@nelbum.com
 10 NELSON BUMGARDNER CONROY P.C.
 15020 S. Ravinia Avenue, Suite 29
 11 Orland Park, Illinois 60462
 Telephone: (708) 675-1974

12
 13 Ryan E. Hatch
 California Bar No. 235577
 ryan@hatchlaw.com
 14 HATCH LAW PC
 13323 Washington Blvd., Suite 302
 15 Los Angeles, CA 90066
 Telephone: (310) 279-5076

16
 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.

18
 19 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 20 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

21 FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.,
 22 Plaintiff,
 23 v.
 24 APPLE INC.,
 25 Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:18-cv-02245-JD

**JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING
 ADMISSIBILITY OF
 INTERROGATORY RESPONSES**

Judge: Hon. James Donato

1 Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (“Firstface”) and Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”), by and through
 2 their counsel of record, jointly submit this stipulation regarding the admissibility of interrogatory
 3 responses.

4 WHEREAS, Firstface has served the following interrogatory responses (“Firstface’s Interrogatory
 5 Responses”):

Interrogatory Responses	Date Served
Plaintiff’s Third Amended and Supplemental Objections and Responses to Defendant Apple Inc.’s Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (Nos. 9-14)	August 25, 2022
Plaintiff’s Second Amended and Supplemental Objections and Responses to Defendant Apple Inc.’s Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (Nos. 9-14)	August 15, 2022
Plaintiff’s Third Amended and Supplemental Objections and Responses to Apple Inc.’s First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (Nos. 1-8)	August 12, 2022
Plaintiff’s Objections and Responses to Defendant Apple Inc.’s Third Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (No. 15)	August 1, 2022
Plaintiff’s Amended and Supplemental Objections and Responses to Defendant Apple Inc.’s Second Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd. (Nos. 9-14)	July 26, 2022

20 WHEREAS, Apple has served the following interrogatory responses (“Apple’s Interrogatory
 21 Responses”):

Interrogatory Responses	Date Served
Defendant Apple Inc.’s Amended Responses to Firstface Co., Ltd.’s Questions Regarding Usage Metrics	March 28, 2023
Apple Inc.’s Second Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.’s Third Set of Interrogatories (No. 12)	September 6, 2022
Defendant Apple Inc.’s Second Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.’s First Set of Interrogatories (No. 4)	August 31, 2022

1	Apple Inc.'s Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s Fourth Set of Interrogatories (No. 14)	August 31, 2022
2	Defendant Apple Inc.'s Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 4, 7, 8, and 9)	July 26, 2022
3	Defendant Apple Inc.'s Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s Second Set of Interrogatories (No. 10)	July 26, 2022
4	Apple Inc.'s Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s Third Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 12-13)	February 11, 2022
5	Defendant Apple Inc.'s Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s Second Set of Interrogatories	July 1, 2019
6	Defendant Apple Inc.'s Objections and Responses to Plaintiff Firstface Co., Ltd.'s First Set of Interrogatories	November 12, 2018

11 WHEREAS, while Firstface's and Apple's Interrogatory Responses were signed by each party's
12 respective counsel, neither party has verified their respective interrogatory responses "under oath" as set
13 out by Rule 33(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

14 WHEREAS, the parties desire to avoid disputes about the admissibility of the substantive portions
15 of Firstface's and Apple's Interrogatory Responses on the basis that such responses were not made under
16 oath;

17 NOW THEREFORE, Firstface and Apple stipulate that:

18 The substantive portions of Firstface's and Apple's Interrogatory Responses shall be admissible at
19 trial or any hearing in this case to the same extent as if they had been made "under oath" in compliance
20 with Rule 33(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. For the avoidance of doubt, the parties are
21 not waiving any other objections they may have to the Interrogatory Responses.

22
23 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**
24
25
26
27
28

1 Dated: May 1, 2023

NELSON BUMGARDNER CONROY P.C.

2
3 By: /s/ Christopher G. Granaghan

4 Edward R. Nelson III
5 Texas Bar No. 00797142
6 Christopher G. Granaghan
7 Texas Bar No. 24078585
8 ed@nelbum.com
9 chris@nelbum.com
10 NELSON BUMGARDNER
11 CONROY P.C.
12 3131 West Seventh Street, Suite 300
13 Fort Worth, Texas 76107
14 Telephone: (817) 377-9111
15 Facsimile: (817) 377-3485

16 Timothy E. Grochocinski
17 Illinois Bar No. 6295055
18 Charles Austin Ginnings
19 New York Bar No. 4986691
20 tim@nelbum.com
21 austin@nelbum.com
22 NELSON BUMGARDNER
23 CONROY P.C.
24 15020 S. Ravinia Avenue, Suite 29
25 Orland Park, Illinois 60462
26 Telephone: (708) 675-1974

27 Ryan E. Hatch
28 California Bar No. 235577
29 ryan@hatchlaw.com
30 HATCH LAW PC
31 13323 Washington Blvd., Suite 302
32 Los Angeles, CA 90066
33 Telephone: (310) 279-5076

34 Attorneys for Plaintiff
35 FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.

36 Dated: May 1, 2023

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

37 By: /s/ Shaelyn K. Dawson

38 Bitra Rahebi
39 Alex Yap
40 Nicholas Fung

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

brahebi@mofocom
ayap@mofocom
nfunc@mofocom
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
707 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Richard S.J. Hung
rhung@mofocom
Shaelyn Dawson
shaelyndawson@mofocom
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Attorneys for Defendant
APPLE INC.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.