	Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA	Document 90-3	Filed 05/31/18	Page 1 of 10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 1965) pandre@kramerlevin.com LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 1914) <u>lkobialka@kramerlevin.com</u> JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237) jhannah@kramerlevin.com KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar 1) kkastens@kramerlevin.com KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRA 990 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 752-1700 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800 Attorneys for Plaintiff FINJAN, INC.	404) 978) No. 254797)		
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
14				
15				
16	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporatio	n, Case	No.: 3:17-cv-0565	59-WHA
17	Plaintiff,			, INC.'S NOTICE OF
18	v.		MOTION AND MOTION F FILE SECOND AMENDED	
19	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delav	ware Date:	July 5, 2018	
20	Corporation,	Time	Time: 8:00 am Judge: Honorable Wi	
21	Defendant.	Dept.	: Courtroom 12, 1	19 th Floor
22				
23				
24	REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED			
25				
26				
27				
20				
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

2 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on July 5, 2018, at 8:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as
counsel may be heard by the Honorable William Alsup in Courtroom 12, 19th Floor, located at 450
Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. ("Finjan") will and hereby does
move the Court for an order granting Finjan's Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint
("Motion").

8 This Motion is based on this Notice of Motion, the following Memorandum of Points and
9 Authorities, the proposed order submitted herewith, the pleadings and papers on file in this action, any
10 evidence and argument presented to the Court at or before the hearing on this motion, and all matters
11 of which the Court may take judicial notice.

12

1

STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED

Finjan seeks leave to amend its Complaint to assert Claims 1 and 17 of U.S. Patent No.
7,418,731 (the "731 Patent") against products already named in the complaint: SRX Gateways
and Sky Advanced Threat Protection ("Sky ATP"). A copy of the Second Amended Complaint
that Finjan seeks leave to file is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Kristopher Kastens,
filed in support of this Motion to Amend ("Kastens Decl."). A redline copy of the Amended
Complaint showing a comparison of the First Amended Complaint and the Second Amended
Complaint is attached as Exhibit 5 to the Kastens Declaration.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED

Whether the Court should grant Finjan's Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint to include
the '731 Patent based on (1) the recent deposition of Juniper's employee during which confidential
information relating to Sky ATP was revealed and (2) a review of source code of the Accused
Instrumentalities.

20

20

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1 I. INTRODUCTION

2 Finjan's motion for leave to amend the complaint to assert Claims 1 and 17 of the '731 3 Patent (the "Motion") should be granted because leave to amend is freely granted, particularly 4 where there are no issues of undue delay, bad faith, or futility of the proposed amendments, and 5 when requested according to the Court's schedule. Finjan's requested amendments are based on 6 new information that was revealed during the recent deposition of Juniper's employee, Ms. Yuly 7 Tenorio, who was the first witness deposed in this case. In that deposition, Finjan discovered 8 information relating to the internal design of Sky ATP and the relevance of Sky ATP in 9 combination with SRX Gateways as infringing the '731 Patent. A few weeks after the deposition 10 of Juniper's witness, Finjan reached out to Juniper's counsel to amend its complaint to add the 11 '731 Patent, and brought this Motion shortly thereafter.

12 Finjan's amendments will cause no prejudice to Juniper given the early status of the case 13 and because Finjan seeks to assert only two claims from the '731 Patent against products that are already accused in this case-namely, the SRX gateway and Sky ATP.¹ Accordingly, any 14 15 additional discovery Finjan may need will be minimal at best. Any underlying evidence will be 16 based on Juniper's information or facts under Juniper's control. Furthermore, Finjan agrees to 17 limit the total number of claims in the case to 16, so the total number of claims in the case will not 18 increase. Finjan also agrees to alleviate any delay by promptly serving its infringement 19 contentions for the '731 Patent within three days of the Court issuing an order granting Finjan 20 leave to amend to assert the '731 Patent. As there is over nine months of fact discovery remaining 21 in the case, Juniper will have ample time to investigate Finjan's claims and there will be no 22 prejudice to Juniper. The proposed amendments will also not be futile. Finjan's amendments 23 with the '731 Patent are consistent with its pleadings of infringement for the patents asserted in its 24 complaint. There, Finjan sets forth how the SRX Gateway and Sky ATP meet the claim 25 limitations.

26 27

Therefore, the Court should grant Finjan's motion to amend its complaint.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Finjan does not seek to include the '731 Patent as part of the early summary judgment proceedings.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com

1 II. BACKGROUND

2 Finjan filed its Complaint on September 29, 2017. Dkt. No. 1. The Complaint alleged that 3 a number of Juniper's products and services infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844; 6,804,780; 4 7,613,926; 7,647,633; 7,975,305; 8,141,154; 8,225,408; 8,677,494 ("Original Asserted Patents"). 5 On April 19, 2018, Finjan filed motion for leave to amend its complaint to include the newly released ATP Appliance (Dkt. No. 67), which the Court granted on May 11, 2018 (Dkt. No. 85).² 6 7 Finjan filed its First Amended Complaint on May 18, 2018. Finjan's amendment included 8 dropping an accused product and two of the asserted patents, narrowing the case. Dkt. No. 88. 9 Finjan took the deposition of the first Juniper witness on May 9, 2018. See Declaration of 10 Kristopher Kastens in Support of Finjan's Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint 11 ("Kastens Decl."), Ex. 2. Non-expert discovery does not close until March 29, 2019 and trial is 12 not until July 8, 2019. Dkt. No. 35 at 1, 5.

13

18

20

A. Recent Events are the Basis for Finjan's Motion.

A series of recent events in the last month led to Finjan's basis for this motion. Juniper
first produced internal design and development documents related to Sky ATP on April 30, 2018.
On May 9, 2018, Finjan took the deposition of Ms. Yuly Tenorio, who confirmed the relevance of
the '731 Patent to this case because she described

Kastens Decl., Ex.

19 2 (Tenorio Tr.) at 226:16–227:4 (

). Finjan conducted its source code review from May 15,

21 || 22-25, 2018, to confirm the described operation in the source code. Kastens Decl., $\P 8$.

Based on these events, Finjan now brings its proposed amendments for direct infringement
of the '731 Patent. Kastens Decl., Ex. 3 (the "'731 Patent"). Finjan accuses the same products of
infringing the '731 Patent as those accused in its complaint filed on September 29, 2017, *i.e.*, Sky
ATP and the SRX Gateways. *Compare* Kastens Decl., Ex. 5 (Second Amended Complaint) at

²⁷² Finjan's First Amended Complaint also removed allegations of willfulness, indirect infringement, and two patents in the original Complaint (the '305 Patent and '408 Patent).

¶130 (identifying products infringing the '731 Patent) with Original Complaint, Dkt. No. 1 at
¶¶43–50 (identifying products infringing other Asserted Patents). The '731 Patent is substantively
different from the currently asserted claims because it includes claims directed at new
components, such as policy and file caches. *See* '731 Patent, Claims 1 and 17.

5 6

B.

This Case is in its Early Stages and Trial is Over a Year Away.

This case is still in its relatively early stages. Trial for this case is not scheduled for over
another year from now, on July 8, 2019. Dkt. No. 35 at 5. Non-expert discovery closes on March
29, 2019, and opening expert reports are to be served at that time. *Id.* at 1. Dispositive motions
are not due until April 11, 2019. *Id.* at 4.

10 Under the Court's Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 35), Finjan may seek to amend its 11 complaint until May 31, 2018. On May 25, 2018, in a good faith effort to avoid unnecessary 12 motion practice, Finjan contacted Juniper asking if it would stipulate to Finjan amending its 13 complaint to include the '731 Patent. Kastens Decl., Ex. 4. The parties met and conferred on 14 May 29, 2018, during which Juniper indicated it would consider Finjan's amendment if Finjan 15 agreed to allow additional deposition time for the only Finjan witness who had been deposed. 16 Finjan confirmed the following day that it would agree to allow Juniper two additional hours with 17 the Finjan witness if Juniper stipulated to the amendment. Kastens Decl., ¶9–10. On the date of 18 Finjan bringing this Motion, Finjan provided Juniper with its proposed Second Amended 19 Complaint and requested that Juniper indicate by 2:00 p.m. whether it would stipulate to the 20 amendment given that it was the last day for the parties to seek leave to amend the pleadings. Id., 21 ¶12. Juniper did not provide Finjan with an affirmative response by that time.

22 III. ARGUMENT

Leave to amend is freely given under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a), and Finjan
seeks this amendment diligently and in good faith in order to assert the '731 Patent against
products that are already accused in the Complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2); *Foman v. Davis*, 371
U.S. 178, 182 (1962) (finding that refusal to grant leave without reason is inconsistent with the
spirit of the Federal Rules). The determination of whether to grant leave to amend according to

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.