

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
5 kkastens@kramerlevin.com
6 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
7 990 Marsh Road
8 Menlo Park, CA 94025
9 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
10 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800
11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
12 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
19 Corporation,

20 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

1 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s (“Finjan”) Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was
 2 brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of
 3 Kristopher Kastens filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause and compelling
 4 reasons for granting the request to file certain documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE and compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

- 6 1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that have overcome the right of public
 7 access to the record of the following documents:

Identification of Documents to be Sealed	Entity that Designated the Information to be Confidential
Plaintiff Finjan Inc.’s Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint at page 3, lines 17-20; page 6, lines 1, 3	Juniper
Declaration of Kristopher Kastens (“Kastens Decl.”), Ex. 2 (excerpts from deposition transcript of Ms. Yuly Tenorio) in its entirety.	Juniper
Kastens Decl., Ex. 1 (“Second Amended Complaint”) at ¶¶132–136	Juniper
Kastens Decl., Ex. 5 (Redline of Second Amended Complaint) at ¶¶132–136.	Juniper
Exs. 33 and 34 to the Second Amended Complaint	Juniper

- 16 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be
 17 prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
 18 3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
 19 4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan’s Administrative Motion to File Documents Under
 21 Seal is GRANTED with respect to the documents set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
 23
 24 Dated: _____

 The Honorable William Alsup
 United States District Court Judge