## **EXHIBIT C**

1	WITHDRAW THEIR OPPOSITION TO OUR DEFERRED MOTION, SO I DON'T
2	THINK THAT THAT HAS DERAILED OUR AGREEMENT.
3	THE COURT: WELL, ALL RIGHT. SO WE STILL HAVE AN
4	AGREEMENT?
5	MR. GLUCOFT: YES, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO IS THERE ANYTHING
7	MORE, THEN, THAT I NEED TO DO? WELL, LET'S GO TO SOMETHING NEW
8	THAT I CAN RULE ON THAT'S STILL IN DISPUTE.
9	WHAT WOULD THAT BE, MS. NGUYEN?
LO	MS. NGUYEN: SO IN OUR MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY WE
L1	HAD TO ISSUES, AND THE FIRST ONE WHICH I MENTIONED EARLIER HAD TO
L2	DO WITH SKY ATP AND DEFENDANT INDICATED THEY WOULD PRODUCE THESE
L3	DOCUMENTS BY APRIL 30TH.
L 4	NOW, THE OUTSTANDING ISSUE IS WHETHER DEFENDANT SHOULD BE
L5	REQUIRED TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS FOR THE ATP APPLIANCE.
L 6	THE COURT: ON THE WHAT?
L7	MS. NGUYEN: ATP APPLIANCE.
L 8	THE COURT: APPLIANCE?
L 9	MS. NGUYEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
20	THE COURT: SO WHAT? I MEAN, WHAT'S THE ISSUE THERE?
21	MS. NGUYEN: DEFENDANT CLAIMED THAT WE DIDN'T
22	SUFFICIENTLY PLEAD IT IN OUR COMPLAINT. AND IT'S OUR POSITION
23	THAT WE DID GIVE THEM SUFFICIENT
24	THE COURT: WELL, HERE'S THE RULING. IF YOU ACTUALLY
25	HAD PUT IT IN THE COMPLAINT AS AN ACCUSED PRODUCT AND EXPLAINED



```
1
      WHICH CLAIMS THE PRODUCT INFRINGES, THEN IT IS IN THE COMPLAINT.
 2
      BUT IF IT'S JUST A BACKGROUND FACT, THAT'S NOT GOOD ENOUGH. SO
 3
      WHICH IS IT?
 4
                MS. NGUYEN: WE WEREN'T ABLE TO SPECIFICALLY NAME THE
 5
      ATP APPLIANCE BECAUSE IT WASN'T RELEASED UNTIL AFTER FINJAN FILED
 6
      ITS COMPLAINT.
 7
                THE COURT: LOOK, THAT'S YOUR PROBLEM. I MEAN, I CAN'T
 8
      SOLVE THAT FOR YOU. YOU GOT TO GO BACK AND REPLEAD. IF YOU --
 9
      IF YOU REALLY FEEL YOU HAVE A GOOD FAITH BASIS. BUT I'M NOT JUST
      GOING TO HAND OVER THE DOCUMENTS TO YOU UNTIL YOU DO THAT. YOU
10
11
      GOT TO PLEAD IT.
12
           IF YOU SURVIVE -- PLEAD IT. SURVIVE THE MOTION TO DISMISS.
13
      THEN YOU GET -- ASSUMING THEY MAKE ONE. I HOPE THEY DON'T MAKE
14
      ONE. BUT IF THEY MAKE ONE, THEN YOU GET THE DOCUMENTS.
15
                MS. NGUYEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
16
                THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO THAT'S MY RULING ON THAT
17
      ONE.
18
          OKAY. WHAT'S NEXT?
19
                MS. NGUYEN: THE OTHER MOTION WE HAVE PENDING IS
20
      OVERRULING DEFENDANT'S OBJECTION TO DR. COLE WHO IS FINJAN'S
      EXPERT AND --
21
22
                THE COURT: YES, BUT ISN'T HE A FORMER COMPETITOR,
23
      IN-HOUSE LAWYER OR IS HE A LAWYER?
24
                MR. GLUCOFT: NO, YOUR HONOR. HE'S A TECHNICAL EXPERT.
25
                THE COURT: TECHNICAL GUY. OKAY. NEVERTHELESS, DIDN'T
```

