EXHIBIT 17

Pages 1 - 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable William H. Alsup, Judge

Finjan, Inc.,)

Plaintiff,)

VS. NO. 17-CV-5659

Juniper Network, Inc.,
Defendant.

San Francisco, California

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP

Thursday, February 22, 2018

990 Marsh Road

Menlo Park, CA 94025

BY: Mr. Paul Andre

Mr. Kristopher Kastens

For Defendant:

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400

Newport Beach, CA 92660

BY: Ms. Rebecca Carson

Reported By:

Vicki Eastvold, RMR, CRR

Official Reporter



Thursday - February 22, 2018

11:28 a.m.

2

1

PROCEEDINGS

3

---000---

4

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Calling civil action 17-5659

5

Finjan, Inc., versus Juniper Network, Inc.

6

Counsel, please approach the podium and state your

7

appearances for the record.

MR. ANDRE: Morning, Your Honor. Paul Andre for

9

8

plaintiff Finjan.

10

MS. CARSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Rebecca Carson

11

for Juniper Networks.

12

MR. KASTENS: Kristopher Kastens for Finjan.

13

THE COURT: Unless you're about to tell me you've

14

settled your case, I have a plan for your case. Are you ready?

15

It's a two-part plan. First part is a normal schedule. Second

16

is the shoot-out schedule. Are you ready?

17

I'll give you until February 28 to make sure you've done them

Any initial disclosures should have already been done, but

18 19

correctly. Leave to add any new parties or pleading amendments

20

must be received by May 31. Fact discovery cutoff will be

21

March 29 next year. That will also be the date your expert

22

report is due if you have the burden of proof on the issue.

23

March 29 next year. The pre-trial conference would be

24

June 6 -- D Day -- next year. Trial will be July 2.

25

See, is that right? Did I really say July 2?



July 8 is what I meant. And that will be a jury trial.

I'll refer you to Magistrate Judge -- hope she will accept
this -- Susan van Keulen for settlement.

And I will have to ask this question: These patent cases are so contentious and so unreasoned, the lawyers are so unreasonable in these cases that I normally keep all discovery disputes. But patent cases the lawyers can't agree on anything. However, if you promise me you will be reasonable on both sides and that there will be very few discovery disputes I will keep all discovery disputes.

MS. CARSON: Your Honor, we intend to be reasonable.

Assuming that the other party is reasonable, I think we can limit discovery motions.

MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, we're very reasonable. We give up everything.

THE COURT: All right. I'm giving -- I'm going to keep them for myself and see if it works. Okay. So that's the overall case schedule. Do you have any heartburn on this?

MS. CARSON: Your Honor, one comment. The parties had actually worked together to come to an agreed schedule.

THE COURT: I know. It was too leisurely. It would call for a trial in 2020. That would be the -- I won't even be alive probably in 2020. I've never set a case that far out in my entire career. You lawyers are going to have to get going. This is plenty of time.

1 MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, it's fine for plaintiff. 2 Works for us. Your Honor, we think it's a little 3 MS. CARSON: 4 advanced given the number of patents at issue in the case and then --5 6 THE COURT: Too bad. You can do this. That's where 7 the shoot-out comes in. Are you ready? The shoot-out is a 8 great thing that I have come up with. It works as follows: 9 How many claims are involved here? 10 MR. ANDRE: We've agreed to limit it to 16 claims on 11 the patents. 12 THE COURT: Sixteen from how many patents? 13 MR. ANDRE: Eight patents. 14 THE COURT: Is that right? 15 MS. CARSON: That's correct, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So of the 16, each of you get to 17 Plaintiff gets to pick your strongest claim and 18 Juniper gets to pick the weakest of the claims. And then 19 you're going to both make motions. We're going to each have 20 discovery. I'll give you reasonable discovery into those two. 21 This is in addition to all the other discovery that's going on. 22 But you get discovery into each of those two on kind of a 23 compressed schedule. And if anybody stonewalls, believe me 24 their motion won't get granted. You better cooperate on this 25 discovery. So you both are going to cross-move for summary



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

