	Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA	Document 586-2	Filed 07/11/19	Page 1 of 2	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 1965 <u>pandre@kramerlevin.com</u> LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 1914 <u>lkobialka@kramerlevin.com</u> JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237 <u>jhannah@kramerlevin.com</u> KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar <u>kkastens@kramerlevin.com</u> KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRA 990 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 752-1700 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> FINJAN, INC.	404) 978) No. 254797)			
11					
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
15	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporat	tion, Case	No.: 3:17-cv-056	59-WHA	
16	Plaintiff,	[PRO	OPOSED] ORDE	R GRANTING	
17	v.		INTIFF FINJAN MINISTRATIVE	I, INC.'S MOTION TO FILE	
18		DOC	CUMENTS UND		
19	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a De Corporation,	laware			
20	Defendant.				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
20					
<b>DOCKET</b> <b>A L A R M</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .					

1	Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s ("Finjan") Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was				
2	brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of				
3	Kristopher Kastens filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause for granting the				
4	request to file certain documents under seal.				
5	GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:				
6	1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that have overcome the right of public				
7	access to the record of the following documents:				
8	Identification of Documents to be Sealed Entity that Designated the				
9	Finjan's Motion for Leave to Supplement Infringement	<b>Information to be Confidential</b> Juniper			
10	Contentions at page 5 line 13 through page 6 line 2				
11	Ex. A to Declaration of Kristopher Kastens in Support of	Juniper			
12	Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Motion for Leave to Supplement Infringement Contentions				
13					
14	2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be				
15	prejudiced if the record is not sealed;				
16	3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and				
17	4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.				
18	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan's Administrative Motion to File Documents Under				
19	Seal is GRANTED with respect to the documents set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.				
20					
21	DATED: By: Hon. William Alsup				
22	United States District Judge				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
<b>DOCKET</b> <b>A L A R M</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .					