EXHIBIT 1

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED



1	IRELL & MANELLA LLP Jonathan S. Kagan (SBN 166039)	
2	jkagan@irell.com	
3	Harry A. Mittleman (SBN 172343) hmittleman@irell.com Alan Heinrich (SBN 212782)	
4	aheinrich@irell.com	
5	Joshua Glucoft (SBN 301249) jglucoft@irell.com	
6	1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276	
7	Telephone: (310) 277-1010 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199	
8	Rebecca Carson (SBN 254105) rcarson@irell.com	
9	Ingrid Petersen (SBN 313927)	
10	ipetersen@irell.com Kevin Wang (SBN 318024)	
11	kwang@irell.com 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, California 92660-6324	
12	Telephone: (949) 760-0991 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200	
13		
14	Attorneys for Defendant JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
18	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA
19	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S <u>CORRECTED</u> FOURTH
20	vs.	SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S SECOND
21	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware () Corporation, ()	SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 4, 5)
22	Defendant.	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—
23	Defendant.)	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33, Defendant Juniper Network, Inc. ("Juniper") hereby submits the following fourth supplemented objections and responses (collectively, the "Fourth Supplemented Responses") to the Second Set of Interrogatories (the "Interrogatories") by Defendant Finjan, Inc. ("Finjan").

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Juniper has not completed discovery in this action and has not completed preparation for trial. These Fourth Supplemented Responses, while based on diligent inquiry and investigation by Juniper, necessarily reflect only the current state of Juniper's knowledge, understanding, and belief based upon the information reasonably available to Juniper at this time. Juniper anticipates that further facts and information may be discovered. Without in any way obligating itself to do so, Juniper reserves the right to modify, supplement, revise, or amend these Fourth Supplemented Responses and to correct any errors or omissions which may be contained herein in light of the information that Juniper may subsequently obtain or discover. Furthermore, these Fourth Supplemented Responses are provided without prejudice to Juniper's use or reliance on, at trial, hearing, or otherwise, subsequently discovered facts or information or facts or information omitted from these responses. The following Fourth Supplemented Responses are given without prejudice to Juniper's right to produce evidence of any subsequently discovered fact. Juniper accordingly reserves the right to change any and all responses herein as additional facts are ascertained, analyses are performed, legal research is completed, and contentions are investigated. This introductory statement shall apply to each and every Response given herein and shall be incorporated by reference as though set forth in each Response appearing below.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

These objections are hereby incorporated, as though set forth in full, into the response to each and every Interrogatory. Juniper reserves the right to make additional objections as may be appropriate and nothing contained herein shall be in any way construed as a waiver of any such objection. Juniper has not yet completed its investigation of the facts pertaining to this action, its discovery, or its preparation for trial. Juniper's objections and responses as set forth below are made without prejudice to Juniper's right to assert any additional or supplemental objections or responses if Juniper discovers additional grounds for such objections or responses.

By making this Fourth Supplemented Response, Juniper does not concede that any of the requested information is proportional to the needs of the case, relevant, properly discoverable, or admissible, and Juniper reserves its right to object to discovery into the subject matter addressed in any information produced and to the introduction of such information into evidence.

Juniper makes the following general objections (collectively, the "General Objections") to each Interrogatory contained within Finjan's Interrogatories. The assertion of the same, similar, or additional objections or the provision of responses to the requests does not constitute a waiver any of Juniper's objections as set forth below:

1. Juniper objects to the definition of "Accused Instrumentalities" as including all "products and services identified in Finjan's Infringement Contentions," particularly Spotlight Secure Threat Intelligence Platform. That product was not identified in the operative complaint and it is therefore not part of this case. See id.; see also Richtek Tech. Corp. v. uPi Semiconductor Corp., 2016 WL 1718135, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 29, 2016) (Alsup, J.) ("[T]he filing of a complaint sets the cut-off date for the scope of a case, subject to the possibility of supplementation. Nevertheless, for some time, patent owners have made open-ended allegations in their complaint that do not specifically identify the accused products and used amendments to their infringement contentions to expand the scope of the case to encompass products . . . without the need to file a supplemental complaint—essentially sneaking new products into the case through the back door of infringement contentions."). Juniper also objects to the definition of "Accused Instrumentalities" to the extent that it purports to include previous or contemplated versions, revision, releases, or continuations of any Juniper products or services other than those specifically identified (including by model number) in Finjan's Infringement Contentions and also in the operative complaint. To the extent applicable, Juniper will interpret each and every Interrogatory as limited to only those instrumentalities specifically identified in both the operative complaint and Finjan's Infringement Contentions and also made, used, sold, offered for sale, or imported into the U.S. within the statutory damages period.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 1 | 2 | a | 3 | 0 | 4 | tl | 5 | tl | 6 | fc | 7 | J | 8 | a | 9 | 0 | 10 | It | 11 | 0 | 12 | tc | 13 | It | 14 | b |

- 2. Juniper objects to the Interrogatories, including but not limited to the Instructions and Definitions, to the extent they are inconsistent with, seek to impose obligations not required by, or seek to expand the scope of permissible discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Evidence, the Local Rules of the Northern District of California, any Order of the Court, or any agreement between the parties, specifically including the parties' agreement set forth in the Joint Case Management Statement and the stipulation regarding discovery of ESI. Juniper will not identify the Interrogatory in response to which any document is being produced for any Interrogatory. Juniper will furnish only information in the direct possession, custody, or control of Juniper Networks, Inc. Juniper will not state if Juniper cannot fully respond to the following Interrogatories after exercising due diligence to secure the information requested; specify the portion of each Interrogatory that cannot be responded to fully and completely; state what efforts were made to obtain the requested information or the facts relied upon that support the contention that the Interrogatory cannot be answered fully and completely; or state what knowledge, information, or belief Juniper has concerning the unanswered portion of any such Interrogatory.
- 3. Juniper objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek information or documents that are subject to the attorney-client privilege, that evidence or constitute attorney work product, that are the subject of confidentiality agreements with third parties, that are the subject of a protective order in any separate proceeding, or that otherwise are not discoverable or are the subject of any other privilege, whether based upon statute or recognized at common law, specifically including documents protected by the common interest privilege and/or joint defense agreements. Juniper further specifically objects to the Instructions in the Interrogatories as imposing an undue burden that is not proportional to the needs of the case with respect to the stated demands for the contents of a privilege log, including because the Instructions demand information not reasonably necessary for the purposes of assessing privilege, such as "the number of pages in such document." Documents withheld under such an objection will be listed on a "privilege log" pursuant to

¹ Any production of privileged information (whether "inadvertent" or otherwise) is governed by the parties' agreement set forth in the Joint Case Management Statement (*see* Dkt. No. 31 at p. 5-6) and as set forth in the parties' stipulation regarding discovery of ESI (*see* Dkt. No. 87 at ¶ 14).

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

