

1 PAUL ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
2 pandre@kramerlevin.com
3 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
4 lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
5 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
6 jhannah@kramerlevin.com
7 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
8 kkastens@kramerlevin.com
9 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
10 990 Marsh Road
11 Menlo Park, CA 94025
12 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
13 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
15 FINJAN, INC.

16 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
17 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
18 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

19 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
23 Corporation,

24 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA-TSH

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

1 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s (“Finjan”) Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was
 2 brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of
 3 Kristopher Kastens filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause for granting
 4 the request to file certain documents under seal.

5 Good cause having been shown, the Court finds that:

6 There exists overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public access to the
 7 record of the following document:

Identification of Documents or Portions of Document to be Sealed	Entity That Designated The Information to be Confidential
Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s Response to Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc.’s Motion for Relief From Nondispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge, at footnote 4 (p. 3, lns 23-25).	Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc. (“Juniper”)
Exhibit 1 to Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s Response to Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc.’s Motion for Relief From Nondispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge, at p. 1 and p. 4.	Juniper

16 A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be prejudiced if
 17 the record is not sealed;

18 The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

19 No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan’s Administrative Motion to File Documents Under
 21 Seal is GRANTED with respect to the document set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.
 22

23 DATED:

24 _____
 25 Honorable William H. Alsup
 26 United States District Judge
 27
 28