

EFiled: Jul 30 2018 06:00PM EDT
Transaction ID 62288543
Case No. N18C-04-006 WCC CCLD



IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

FINJAN, INC.)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. N18C-04-006 WCC CCLD
)	
TRUSTWAVE HOLDINGS, INC.,)	PUBLIC VERSION
)	EFILED JULY 30, 2018
)	
Defendant.)	

DEFENDANT TRUSTWAVE HOLDINGS, INC.’S OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS THE COMPLAINT

OF COUNSEL:

John S. Letchinger
BAKER& HOSTETLER LLP
191 N. Wacker Drive
Suite 3100
Chicago, IL 60606-1901
(312) 416-6200

Jared A. Brandyberry
BAKER& HOSTETLER LLP
1801 California Street
Suite 4400
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 861-0600

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Alexandra M. Cumings (#6146)
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT &
TUNNELL LLP
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
(302) 658-9200
Attorneys for Defendant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. QUESTION PRESENTED.....	1
II. INTRODUCTION	1
III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	5
a. Finjan is a Patent Assertion Entity	5
b. Patent License Agreement.....	6
i. The Singtel Acquisition Does Not Convert The Agreement to Royalty-Bearing.....	6
ii. License Granted by Finjan to Trustwave	7
iii. Royalties Owed under Acquisition Clause	10
iv. The Parties’ 408 Communications and the Deficient “Audit”	12
IV. LEGAL ANALYSIS	13
a. Motion to Dismiss Standard.....	13
b. Standard for Patent Infringement	14
V. THE COMPLAINT SHOULD BE DISMISSED	17
a. The Singtel Acquisition Did Not Convert The Agreement From Paid-Up.....	18
b. Finjan Fails To Plead Sufficient Facts To Sustain Its Complaint Even If An Argument Can Be Made That The Singtel Acquisition Prompts Royalty Payments For Relevant Products	19
VI. CONCLUSION.....	22

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Cent. Mortg. Co. v. Morgan Stanley Mortg. Capital Holdings LLC</i> , 27 A.3d 531 (Del. 2011)	13
<i>Clinton v. Enter. Rent-A-Car Co.</i> , 977 A.2d 892 (Del. 2009)	13
<i>Eidos Communications, LLC v. Skype Technologies SA</i> , 686 F. Supp. 2d 465 (D. Del. 2010).....	15
<i>Fifth Market, Inc. v. CME Group, Inc.</i> , 2009 WL 5966836 (D. Del. 2009).....	15
<i>Finjan Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 577 F. Appx. 999 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	10
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 2013 WL 5302560 (D. Del. Sept. 19, 2013).....	10
<i>Judin v. United States</i> , 110 F.3d 780 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	18
<i>Lagrone v. Am. Mortell Corp.</i> , 2008 WL 4152677 (Del. Super. Ct. Sept. 4, 2008)	13
<i>Medtronic, Inc. v. Mirowski Family Ventures, LLC</i> , 571 U.S. 191, 134 S. Ct. 843 (2014).....	15, 21
<i>Modern Telecom Systems, LLC v. TCL Corporation</i> , 2017 WL 6524526 (D. Del. 2017).....	16
<i>Narrowstep Inc., v Onstream Media Corp.</i> , 2010 WL 5422405 (Del. Ch. Sept. 7, 2010).....	13
<i>Newborn v. Christiana Psychiatric Servs., P.A.</i> , 2017 WL 375637 (Del. Super. Jan. 25, 2017).....	13
<i>North Star Innovations, Inc. v. Micron Technology, Inc.</i> , 2017 WL 5501489 (D. Del. 2017).....	16

Ondeo Nalco Co. v. EKA Chemicals, Inc.,
2002 WL 1458853 (D. Del. 2002).....15

Seal-Flex, Inc. v. Athletic Track and Court Const.,
172 F.3d 836 (Fed. Cir. 1999)14

SIPCO, LLC v. Streetline, Inc.,
230 F. Supp. 3d 351 (D. Del. 2017).....16

View Engineering, Inc. v. Robotic Vision Systems, Inc.,
208 F.3d 981 (Fed. Cir. 2000)17

Rules and Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 271(a) 14

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).....13, 22

Del. R. Evid. 202(b)(2) 14

Del. R. Evid. 408.....12

Pursuant to Superior Court Civil Rule 12(b)(6), Defendant Trustwave Holdings, Inc. (“Trustwave”) respectfully submits this opening brief in support of its Motion to Dismiss the Complaint (“Complaint”) filed by Plaintiff Finjan, Inc. (“Finjan”).

I. QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether Finjan’s Complaint adequately pleads claims for breach of contract under the parties’ patent license agreement when it fails to identify any Finjan patents, any Trustwave products, or any facts concerning the nature of acquisition that allegedly triggered Trustwave’s royalty obligations.

II. INTRODUCTION

Finjan’s case is a conditional patent infringement contest within a complex patent license dispute. Finjan’s Complaint fails, however, to address any aspect of the underlying patent infringement claim necessary to demonstrate a breach of the parties’ patent license and glosses over the contractual conditions and qualifications that must be met to even prompt the patent infringement questions. Instead, Finjan’s Complaint attempts to essentially transform the case into collection of a “debt” founded on an independent “Inspection” (as it is actually characterized in the operative “Agreement” as defined below). As apparent from the face of the Inspection Report, the Inspection was not independent and admittedly included a revenue count that ignored the most fundamental exceptions, qualifications, and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.