EXHIBIT 19

UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

July 03, 2018

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA
5	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,
6	Plaintiff,
7	V.
8	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
9	Corporation,
10	Defendant.
11	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
12	AUDIO-VISUAL DEPOSITION OF
13	MICHAEL D. MITZENMACHER
14	
15	** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL **
16	
17	July 3, 2018
18	9:32 a.m.
19	
20	Esquire Deposition Solutions
21	50 Federal Street, 6th Floor
22	Boston, Massachusetts
23	
24	Kristin M. Kelley, RPR, CRR





July 03, 2018 124

1		and ordinary meaning could benefit from	00:39:19
2		explanation and I provide particular	00:39:21
3		explanation in paragraphs 39 to 41. But in	00:39:29
4		particular in paragraph 39 I point out that	00:39:32
5		this term has been construed before with a	00:39:35
6		construction adopted by multiple courts	00:39:38
7		which I think really just clarifies what one	00:39:41
8		would generally understand to be the plain	00:39:48
9		and ordinary meaning. So I certainly kept	00:39:51
10		that in mind in this context.	00:39:55
11	Q.	And that construction that you identified	00:39:57
12		was performing a hashing function on the	00:40:00
13		Downloadable together with its fetched	00:40:03
14		components to generate a Downloadable ID,	00:40:08
15		correct?	00:40:12
16		MS. HEDVAT: Objection, form.	00:40:13
17	Α.	Yeah. I think you might have missed a word.	00:40:13
18		I think it's with its fetched software	00:40:17
19		components, at least what I have in front of	00:40:19
20		me, but otherwise I think that's right.	00:40:21
21	Q.	Okay. What does it mean to perform "a	00:40:23
22		hashing function on the Downloadable	00:40:25
23		together with its fetched software	00:40:27
24		components"?	00:40:33





July 03, 2018 125

1	Α.	I would say in general that depends on the	00:40:34
2		context.	00:40:43
3	Q.	Does "together with" require it to occur at	00:40:44
4		the same time?	00:40:46
5	Α.	No. I don't believe that's a specific	00:40:47
6		requirement or I didn't see any timing	00:40:49
7		requirement in the claim language.	00:40:51
8	Q.	Does it does "together with" require it	00:40:53
9		to be the same type of hashing function?	00:40:58
10	Α.	I would just add to the last answer,	00:41:01
11		certainly together in time might be a way to	00:41:10
12		achieve together with. I didn't want to	00:41:15
13		suggest it wouldn't be related but it	00:41:16
14		certainly wouldn't have to be the only way,	00:41:18
15		sort of what I was thinking in that form.	00:41:20
16	Q.	Just before we move on to clarify. So, in	00:41:22
17		other words, it wouldn't have to be	00:41:25
18		strike that.	00:41:27
19		So, in other words, the hashing of the	00:41:28
20		Downloadable and the fetched software	00:41:30
21		components wouldn't have to occur at the	00:41:32
22		same time in order for it to constitute	00:41:34
23		"together with"?	00:41:38
24		MS. HEDVAT: Objection, form.	00:41:39
	I		I



July 03, 2018 126

1	Α.	Right. I don't think it would have to be at	00:41:40
2		the same time but that could certainly	00:41:42
3		yeah. I don't think that's a requirement.	00:41:45
4		I don't see a temporal requirement.	00:41:48
5	Q.	Okay. And so the second portion of that	00:41:50
6		that I was asking about is whether "together	00:41:52
7		with" would require performing the same type	00:41:55
8		of hashing function on the Downloadable and	00:42:04
9		the fetched software components?	00:42:07
10	A.	I think I need to you at least clarify what	00:42:12
11		you mean by saying same type of hashing	00:42:15
12		function.	00:42:17
13	Q.	We talked a little earlier about MD5 or	00:42:17
14		SHA-256. Those are different types of	00:42:21
15		hashing functions, right?	00:42:23
16		MS. HEDVAT: Objection, form.	00:42:24
17	Α.	I would say they're different hashing	00:42:25
18		functions. I'm not exactly clear what you	00:42:27
19		mean by different types. If you just want	00:42:30
20		to say those are two different hash	00:42:35
21		functions, I agree.	00:42:37
22	Q.	So does "together with" require that you use	00:42:38
23		the same hashing function on the	00:42:41
24		Downloadable and the fetched software	00:42:45





DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

