

EXHIBIT 12

UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

- - - - -x
FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation, Plaintiff,
v.
JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware Corporation, Defendant.
- - - - -x

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RAJU MANTHENA
Sunnyvale, California
Wednesday, May 30, 2018
9:02 a.m.

Job No.: 191865
Pages: 1 - 216
Reported By: Charlotte Lacey, RPR, CSR No. 14224

1	that?	16:24:31
2	A Yes.	16:24:32
3	Q Does that refer to Grey Duckling?	16:24:32
4	MS. CARSON: Objection; form.	16:24:35
5	A Grey Duckling is -- is a static analysis so --	16:24:36
6	adapter.	16:24:43
7	Q And then do you see where it says dynamic?	16:24:44
8	A I see it.	16:24:47
9	Q Does that refer to the sandboxing that's	16:24:47
10	performed by Joe Sandbox?	16:24:51
11	MS. CARSON: Objection; form.	16:24:54
12	A I don't have specific details about the	16:24:55
13	internal details of the implementation of the dynamic	16:24:59
14	analysis.	16:25:04
15	Q But is it your understanding that that refers	16:25:04
16	to the sandbox analysis that's performed by Sky ATP?	16:25:06
17	MS. CARSON: Objection; form.	16:25:10
18	A The dynamic here be referring to the adapter	16:25:12
19	in the pipeline where Joe Sandbox is actually -- that	16:25:20
20	communicates with Joe Sandbox separately.	16:25:23
21	Q And is that the deception adapter?	16:25:25
22	MS. CARSON: Objection; form.	16:25:29
23	A I -- I don't know.	16:25:31
24	Q Do you see below that, there's a box that says	16:25:37
25	internal compromised detection, and there's a couple	16:25:42