

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)  
2 pandre@kramerlevin.com  
3 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)  
4 lkobialka@kramerlevin.com  
5 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)  
6 jhannah@kramerlevin.com  
7 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)  
8 kkastens@kramerlevin.com  
9 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP  
10 990 Marsh Road  
11 Menlo Park, CA 94025  
12 Telephone: (650) 752-1700  
13 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800  
14  
15 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
16 FINJAN, INC.

11  
12 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
13 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
14 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware  
19 Corporation,

20 Defendant.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s ("Finjan") Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of Kristopher Kastens filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause and compelling reasons for granting the request to file certain documents under seal.

GOOD CAUSE and compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that have overcome the right of public access to the record of the following documents:

Identification of Documents to be Sealed	Entity that Designated the Information to be Confidential
Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s Reply to Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc.'s Letter to Court regarding Dkt. No. 459, at the following page:line numbers: 2:8-9, 19-27; 3:1-13, 15-27; 4:1-5, 7-10, 12-16, 21-27; 5:1-16, 21-23, 25-27; 6:1-7, 9-18, 21-26; 7:5-10, 13-17, 20-27; 8:1-11, 13-17, 20-21, 23-27; 9:1-19; 10:1-13, 15-27; 11:1-3; 12:20-21, 23-24; 13:1, 3-7, 26-27; 14:1-2, 6-7, 10, 12-15.	Juniper
Exhibits 1, 3-4, 8-9 to the Declaration of Kristopher Kastens in Support of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Reply to Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc.'s Letter to Court regarding Dkt. No. 459	Juniper

2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan's Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal is GRANTED with respect to the documents set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. William Alsup  
United States District Judge