1	PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)		
2	pandre@kramerlevin.com		
	LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404) lkobialka@kramerlevin.com		
3	JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)		
4	jhannah@kramerlevin.com KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 25479	7)	
5	kkastens@kramerlevin.com		
6	KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 990 Marsh Road		
	Manlo Park CA 04025		
7	Telephone: (650) 752-1700		
8	Facsimile: (650) 752-1800		
9			
10	FINJAN, INC.		
11			
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14			
15	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA	
16	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
17	- 141111111	PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S	
18	v.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL	
	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware	DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL	
19	Corporation,		
20	Defendant.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	1		



Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s ("Finjan") Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of Kristopher Kastens filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause and compelling reasons for granting the request to file certain documents under seal.

GOOD CAUSE and compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that have overcome the right of public access to the record of the following documents:

Identification of Documents to be Sealed	Entity that Designated the Information to be Confidential
Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s Reply to Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc.'s Letter to Court regarding Dkt. No. 459, at the following page:line numbers: 2:8-9, 19-27; 3:1-13, 15-27; 4:1-5, 7-10, 12-16, 21-27; 5:1-16, 21-23, 25-27; 6:1-7, 9-18, 21-26; 7:5-10, 13-17, 20-27; 8:1-11, 13-17, 20-21, 23-27; 9:1-19; 10:1-13, 15-27; 11:1-3; 12:20-21, 23-24; 13:1, 3-7, 26-27; 14:1-2, 6-7, 10, 12-15.	Juniper
Exhibits 1, 3-4, 8-9 to the Declaration of Kristopher Kastens in Support of Plaintiff Finjan Inc.'s Reply to Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc.'s Letter to Court regarding Dkt. No. 459	Juniper

- 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
 - 3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
 - 4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan's Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal is GRANTED with respect to the documents set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:	By:
	Hon. William Alsup
	United States District Judge

