# **EXHIBIT 4**

# Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 442-5 Filed 04/19/19 Page 2 of 4

# Volume 4

# Pages 615 - 831

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

# BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP, JUDGE

FINJAN, INC.,	
Plaintiff,	
vs.	No. C 17-5659 WHA
JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	
Defendant. )	San Francisco, California
	Thursday Docombon 13 2018

#### TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

# APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

KRAMER, LEVIN, NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 990 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 BY: PAUL J. ANDRE, ESQ. LISA KOBIALKA, ESQ. JAMES HANNAH, ESQ. KRISTOPHER B. KASTENS, ESQ.

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS AND FRANKEL LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 BY: CRISTINA LYNN MARTINEZ, ESQ.

(Appearances continued on next page)

Reported By: Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR No. 5812, RMR, CRR JO Ann Bryce, CSR No. 3321, RMR, CRR Official Reporters

# **APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):**

For Defendant:

IRELL & MANELLA LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
BY: JONATHAN S. KAGAN, ESQ.
ALAN J. HEINRICH, ESQ.
JOSHUA GLUCOFT, ESQ.

CASEY CURRAN, ÉSQ.

IRELL & MANELLA LLP 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, California 92660 BY: REBECCA CARSON, ESQ. KEVIN X. WANG, ESQ.

# INDEX EXHIBITS

TRIAL EXHIBITS	<u>IDEN</u>	EVID	VOL.
58		685	4
182		686	4
1059		727	4
1070		720	4
1170		690	4
1241, Title Page & Table of Contents		730	4
1241, Page 39		763	4
1248		760	4
1264		751	4
1347		682	4
1552		726	4
2197		724	4

# I N D E X

Thursday, December 13, 2018 - Volume 4

Plaintiff Rests	PAGE 643	<u>VOL.</u>
PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES	PAGE	<u>VOL.</u>
ICASIANO, ALEX By Videotaped Deposition	642	4
GUPTA, SHELLY By Videotaped Deposition	643	4
DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	<u>PAGE</u>	<u>VOL.</u>
BUSHONG, MICHAEL (SWORN) Direct Examination by Ms. Carson Cross-Examination by Mr. Hannah Redirect Examination by Ms. Carson	644 645 698 709	
RUBIN, AVIEL (SWORN) Direct Examination by Mr. Heinrich Cross-Examination by Mr. Andre Redirect Examination by Mr. Heinrich	710 711 771 796	4 4 4 4
UGONE, KEITH RAYMOND (SWORN) Direct Examination by Ms. Curran Cross-Examination by Ms. Kobialka	797 798 820	4 4 4

#### Filed 04/19/120 - DRECE/PHENRECH4 Case 3: 10710 Connect 442-5

THE COURT: Okay. Next question.

2 BY MR. HEINRICH:

1

- 3 Q. Now, is it true that Juniper only uses Amazon's physical
- servers and not Amazon software?
- A. No. A lot of the running of the system is based on a lot 5
- 6 of Amazon code that is providing the interface to DynamoDB and
- S3. They provide a lot of tools and functionality to people
- 8 who want to build applications that use those systems. I
- 9 believe I have some source code to go to for that.
- **Q.** For time reasons, why don't we skip that.
- 11 **A.** Okay.
- **Q.** So does Sky ATP have a database under the definition that
- applies here that stores a security profile including a list of
- suspicious computer operations?
- A. So in my answer I'm going to apply the definition of a
- database that's for the claim, which is that it has to have a
- schema. And so my answer is, no, there is no database that has
- a schema and stores a list of suspicious computer operations in
- Sky ATP.
- Q. Is there a technological reason why Juniper doesn't use a
- database with a schema to store that security profile with the
- 22 list?
- 23 **A.** Yes. There's a very good one.
- 24 So the list of suspicious operations, which is the results
- 25 of running all of the analysis engines, is very, very large and

# **RUBIN - DIRECT / HEINRICH** 757

- I was talking about earlier, which is that the list of
- suspicious operations does not ever need to be consulted again
- when the product is running. And so there's not going to be
- 4 retrieval of it and it makes a lot more sense to put it in a
- database without a schema than to put it into a database that 5
- has a schema, which is exactly what they do.
- 7 Q. Is Dr. Cole correct that when a downloadable comes in
- that's been seen before, Sky ATP looks up the security profile?
- 9 **A.** No. Sky ATP just looks up the verdict.

**THE COURT:** Of these three -- well, while we've got it on the screen. Which one of those three storage places is the 11 verdict stored? 12

13 **THE WITNESS:** It's the one on the left. The one that's called Amazon DynamoDB. 14

**THE COURT:** And which is the one where the list of suspicious operations is stored?

**THE WITNESS:** The list of suspicious operations is stored in a different table within the same database, you know, within Amazon DynamoDB.

20 **THE COURT:** They're both in DynamoDB but you're saying 21 it's in a different table?

22 **THE WITNESS:** Right. So this is a schema-less database and even though it's a schema-less database, it has tables. Tables are a construct in databases that allow you to

atara multinla diffarant timas of things in diffarant tabla

- each run can produce data that's very, very different; and so
- it's much better suited to a schema-less database than to a
- 3 database that has a schema.
- 4 So the engineers made the decision saying "This isn't the
- 5 type of data that I would put into a database with a schema,"
- 6 and so they chose DynamoDB and S3.
- 7 Q. So I want to read to you some of Dr. Cole's testimony
- 8 yesterday on page --

9 **THE COURT:** Read it exactly now. Don't summarize.

#### 10 BY MR. HEINRICH:

13

14

15

11 Q. On page 432 of yesterday's transcript, Dr. Cole testified, 12 quote, (reading):

"So both the static analysis and the dynamic analysis perform that security profile, and then to make it easy to look up, if somebody else uses that same downloadable,

16 Juniper puts it in a structured database with a schema so

17 they can quickly look up the information to make it go 18 quicker in the future."

- 19 Do you agree with that?
- 20 A. I disagree with several things in that.
- 21 **Q.** And what do you disagree with?
- 22 A. I disagree that it's put into a database with a schema
- 23 because it's put into DynamoDB, which does not have a schema.
- 24 I disagree that it's being done to make anything faster in
- 25 any way. That is actually not a requirement, and this is what

# **RUBIN - DIRECT / HEINRICH**

- And so the table -- one of the tables after the analysis engine 758
- runs, those results that are produced are put into that table.
- 3 When a verdict is calculated, that verdict goes into a
- 4 different table. Neither one of those tables has a schema.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

#### 6 BY MR. HEINRICH:

- 7 Q. Now, does Dr. Cole say that any one of these individual
- 8 storage components is the claimed database for purposes of
- 9
- 10 A. I -- in court he didn't seem to remember if DynamoDB was,
- but I reviewed his expert report very carefully and he did not
- accuse any one of these as being the claimed database.
- 13 **Q.** And what's his theory?
- 14 **A.** He draws a box around all three of them and he says,
- "Well, this is the database and it has a schema."
- 16 **Q.** And this is from Exhibit 1179, which is in evidence.
- 17 Whose annotations were these on this exhibit?
- A. So this is what you've seen before. Dr. Cole in his
- deposition was asked which is the database from Claim 10 in the 19
- 20 accused product, and so he couldn't point to DynamoDB or S3 or
- 21 MySQL so he just drew a box around the entire thing and said,
- "Well, this is the database."
- 23 **Q.** Does Dr. Cole refer to this as a single unified database?
- 24 A. He does.
- Da wan arras with that?



10

15

16 17

18

19

### Filed 04/19/19 - DIRECTION - DIRECTION OF THE OWNER O Case 3: 10710 Cynne 6 120 CHA Document 442-5

- **A.** I don't agree with that.
- 2 **Q.** And why is that?
- A. Because these are three different separate storage
- solutions. They have different purposes. They are
- communicated with in different programming languages, and so 5
- you can't just draw a box and say, "This is a database."
- **Q.** So we saw that the definition of "database" that applies
- here requires a database organized according to a schema.
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Is this, what Dr. Cole is calling ResultsDB database,
- organized according to a database schema as required?
- A. No. I mean, that notion is actually kind of ridiculous
- because you've got these different storage solutions, two of
- which are schema-less and one of which doesn't have any list of
- security operations. And so they use different languages so
- you couldn't possibly have a schema for three things that are
- so different. 17
- 18 Q. So what does Dr. Cole point to as the schema for what he
- calls the ResultsDB database?
- A. So he points to something called the JSON schema, which is
- JSON is a text format that's used to format information in a 21
- computer system.
- Q. Is there a standard that governs JSON format?
- 24 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

12

15

25 **Q.** And what is that standard?

# **PROCEEDINGS** 1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

(Proceedings were heard out of the presence of the jury:) 1 2 THE COURT: All right. You may step down and have 3 your break too. 4

**PROCEEDINGS** 

Any -- oh, I forgot to ask the jury something. I'm going to have Tracy go into the jury room and tell them that I meant

to tell them that even though we're trying to close out the

case on Friday maybe, maybe not, they should definitely keep

Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday ready to come back here. Can you make sure? I don't want them making plans to go

out of town or something. Okay?

11 Is that all right, Counsel?

MR. ANDRE: That's fine, Your Honor.

13 MR. KAGAN: Yes.

14 THE COURT: All right. Good. Please do that.

All right. Well, you-all have almost used exactly the same amount of time. Defendants have used 335 of your 390 and

the other side has used 338. This takes into account -- please

be seated -- takes into account the mistake I made so that --18

so you both are down to roughly an hour of time left. That

means that by going into tomorrow, we won't have more than an

hour, an hour and ten minutes worth of testimony, and then

22 we'll be ready to take -- so just be aware of that. Okay?

All right. Anything more?

24 MR. ANDRE: I'm sorry.

MD HANNAU. No We thought you were leaving

**A.** So JSON is a standard produced by the IETF. It's the

760

Internet Engineering Task Force, which is the organization that

put out standards like TCP, IP, all of the Internet protocols

4 that define how we communicate.

5 Q. So if you look in your notebook at Exhibit 1248.

6 **A.** (Witness examines document.) Oh, I'm sorry. Which

7 exhibit?

8 **Q.** 1248.

9 **A.** (Witness examines document.) Oh. It's the first one.

**Q.** And what is this?

A. So this is the standards document from the IETF defining 11

12 JSON.

13

16

19

21

**MR. HEINRICH:** We move 1248 in evidence.

14 MR. ANDRE: No objection.

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Received.

(Trial Exhibit 1248 received in evidence)

17 BY MR. HEINRICH:

18 **Q.** And if we can turn to --

**THE COURT:** How much longer do you have on direct?

20 MR. HEINRICH: I think about ten minutes.

**THE COURT:** All right. We're going to break and let

22 our jury have a break here.

23 Remember the admonition. We'll see you back here in 15

24 minutes.

25 THE COURT: All right.

> THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for being so kind. 762 Okay. I'm going to take my break. See you in a minute.

> > (Recess taken at 11:20 a.m.)

(Proceedings resumed at 11:32 a.m.)

5 (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury:)

**THE COURT:** Are we ready to go?

MR. HEINRICH: Yes, Your Honor.

**THE COURT:** Tracy, let's bring in our jury, please.

(Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury:)

**THE COURT:** Welcome back and please be seated.

11 I just want to -- before we get started, I asked Tracy to

12 say this to you and I'll say it myself. We're going to make

efforts to get the case to you tomorrow. You may or may not 13

14 have enough time to decide it tomorrow. That will be up to 15

16 But I cannot -- I don't want you making plans for Monday, 17 Tuesday, or Wednesday because we may need you here. I can't be

positive that the case will go to you for decision tomorrow.

19 It may have to be Monday. And even if it does go tomorrow, it

20 may be that you can't reach a verdict until Monday, Tuesday, or

21 Wednesday because of the deliberations that you need to do.

22 So please keep those days open -- Monday, Tuesday, and

23 Wednesday -- but also keep Friday available so that you'll have 24 that opportunity and we'll see.

All might Dools to wards Vou more continue with warm



23