## **EXHIBIT 23**

	Case 3.17-CV	<del>-05659-WHA</del>	Document 432-2	<del>4 Filed 04/11/19 Page 2</del>	<del>2 01 5</del> 681
			679	INDEX OF PROCEE	DINGS
1			2 3		
2	UNITED STATES I FOR THE NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA	4	FOR THE PLAINTIFF'S:	
3	SAN JOSE	DIVISION	5	ERIC COLE	
4	FINJAN, INC, A DELAWARE CORPORATION,				
5	PLAINTIFF,	CASE NO. CV-13-03999	BLF 6	CROSS-EXAM BY MR. POPLAWS REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. ANDRI	
6	VS.	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA	7		r. / J1
7		JULY 24, 2015		DEPOSITION VIDEOS:	
8	BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION,	VOLUME 4	8		
9	DEFENDANT.	PAGES 679 - 915		TYLER ANDERSON	P. 742
	552 5445 441	11020 075 310	y	GARY TOMIC JOHN AHLANDER	P. 742 P. 742
10	TRANSCRIPT		10	CHRIS LARSEN	P. 743
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRI				
12	A-P-P-E-A-F	R-A-N-C-E-S	11	MICHAEL MITZENMACHER	
13		LEVIN, NAFTALIS & FRANKEL	12	DIRECT EXAM BY MR. ANDRE	P. 744
14	LLP		12	CROSS-EXAM BY MR. ANDRE	
15		UL J. ANDRE SA KOBIALKA	13	REDIRECT EXAM BY MR. ANDRI	
16		MES HANNAH ISTOPHER KASTENS		RECROSS EXAM BY MR. POPLA	W S K I P. 900
	HAI	NNAH LEE	14		
17 18	990 MARSI MENLO PAI	H ROAD RK, CALIFORNIA 94025	15	DEPOSITION OF STEVEN SC	HOENFELD P.
19	BY: ED	SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSAT WARD POPLAWSKI	16	IVAN CHAPEROT	
20	VE	IVIA KIM RA ELSON FIFTH STREET, 15TH FLOOR	17	DIRECT EXAM BY MS. LEE	P. 903
22		LES, CALIFORNIA 90071	. =	CROSS-EXAM BY MR. POPLAWS	
	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON T	THE NEXT PAGE.)	18	REDIRECT EXAM BY MS. LEE	P. 912
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: IRE		_		
24		RTIFICATE NUMBER 8074	21		
25	TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTE		22		
			23		
	UNITED STATES CO	TIDE DEBODEDS	24 25		
	ONTIED STRIES CC	JOHN REFORTERS	23	UNITED STATES COUR	
			680 1	UNITED STATES COUF	682
	APPEARANCES: (CO		680		682
1 ,			680	INDEX OF EXH	682 HIBITS
2			680		682 HIBITS
2 3 /	A P P E A R A N C E S: (CO	N T')	680 1	INDEX OF EXH	682 HIBITS
2 3 4	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT:	NT') M HOY	680 1 2	INDEX OF EXH  IDENT  PLAINTIFF'S:  3 742	682 HIBITS F. EVIDENCE
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT:	N T')	680 1 2 3 4	INDEX OF EXH  IDENT  PLAINTIFF'S:  3 742 84	682 HIBITS  F. EVIDENCE  776
2 3 4	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI	NT') M HOY	680 1 2 3 4	INDEX OF EXH  IDENT PLAINTIFF'S:  3 742 84 343	682 HIBITS  F. EVIDENCE  776 786
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS	680 1 2 3 4 5	INDEX OF EXH  IDENT PLAINTIFF'S:  3 742 84 343 85	682 HIBITS  F. EVIDENCE  776 786 793
2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5	INDEX OF EXH  IDENT PLAINTIFF'S:  3 742 84 343	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164	682 HIBITS  F. EVIDENCE  776 786 793
2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT:	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT:	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT:	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 759 762 772 784
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 759 762 772 784 785
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041 2003	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 759 762 772 784 785 816
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041 2003 2002	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 759 762 772 784 785 816 842
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041 2003 2002 2041	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 902 902 902 902 908
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041 2003 2002 2041 2015	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 759 762 772 784 785 816 842
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 66 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041 2003 2002 2041 2015	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 912
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041 2003 2002 2041 2015	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 902 9102
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041 2003 2002 2041 2015	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 902 9102
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041 2003 2002 2041 2015	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 759 762 772 784 785 816 842 851 852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041 2003 2002 2041 2015	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 902 9102
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES: (CO ALSO PRESENT: JI GI PH	NT') M HOY EOFF THOMAS HIL HARTSTEIN	680 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	INDEX OF EXH IDENT PLAINTIFF'S: 3 742 84 343 85 164 166 167 JOINT: 2041 2005 2018 2013 2041 2003 2002 2041 2015	682 HIBITS  T. EVIDENCE  776 786 793 902 902 902 902 902 9102



	Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Documen	t 432-24	Filed 04/11/19 Page 3 of 5
06:15 <b>1</b>	Q. CAN WE PLEASE SHOW THAT DEMONSTRATIVE.	06:18 <b>1</b>	INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED
06:15 <b>2</b>	A. SO OVER HERE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV	06:18 2	TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE?
06:15 <b>3</b>	AND REMEMBER THAT STANDS FOR ANTI-VIRUS.	06:18 <b>3</b>	A. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THAT HAS BEEN STIPULATED.
06:15 <b>4</b>	PROXYSG WAS A PRECURSOR, I GUESS, TO THE CAS PRODUCT, THE	06:18 4	Q. IS IT YOUR OPINION, NONETHELESS, THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE
06:15 <b>5</b>	CONTENT ANALYSIS SYSTEM, THAT WE HEARD ABOUT EARLIER IN THE	06:18 <b>5</b>	PROXYAV PRODUCTS MEETS THE LIMITATION OF CLAIM 9?
06:15 <b>6</b>	CASE.	06:18 <b>6</b>	A. YES. SO AS WE HAVE SEEN THE PROXYSG WILL DOWNLOAD A FILE,
06:15 <b>7</b>	HERE THE USER WILL SEND A REQUEST TO PROXYSG AND AT SOME	06:18 7	AND THAT FILE MAY CONTAIN ONE OR MORE SOFTWARE COMPONENTS THAT
06:15 8	POINT IN THE PROCESS THE PROXYSG WILL GO ON THE INTERNET AND	06:18 8	ARE TO BE EXECUTED.
06:15 9	GET THE FILE.	06:18 9	Q. AND IS THAT OPINION BASED ON YOUR REVIEW OF THE
06:15 <b>10</b>	AND AH. HERE'S THE FILE ON THE INTERNET, THE NEWS PAGE.	06:18 <b>10</b>	CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS, PUBLIC DOCUMENTS, TESTIMONY, AND
06:16 11	CONTINUE.	06:18 <b>11</b>	TESTING OF THE PRODUCTS?
06:16 12	AND ONE THING TO NOTICE IS THAT AS DR. COLE HAS TALKED	06:18 12	A. YES, IT IS.
06:16 13	ABOUT, YOU KNOW, PAGES OFTEN CONSIST OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS.	06:18 13	Q. CAN WE PUT A CHECK IN THAT BOX?
06:16 14	HE WAS TALKING IN THE CONTEXT OF SOFTWARE COMPONENTS OR OTHER	06:18 14	A. YES.
06:16 15	COMPONENTS SUCH AS PICTURES OR SUCH, BUT A WEB PAGE CAN BE	06:18 <b>15</b>	Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S TURN TO THE SECOND ELEMENT WHICH IS THE
06:16 16	COMPOSED OF SEPARATE PIECES THAT CAN COME, IN FACT, FROM	06:18 <b>16</b>	I.D. GENERATOR ELEMENT.
06:16 <b>17</b>	DIFFERENT SOURCES. AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE SHOWING HERE.	06:18 17	A. CERTAINLY.
06:16 17	PLEASE CONTINUE.	06:18 18	Q. CAN YOU PLEASE LET ME START OFF FIRST, IS IT YOUR
06:16 19	OKAY. SO EACH OF THOSE PIECES WILL GET DOWNLOADED FROM	06:19 <b>19</b>	U. CAN YOU PLEASE LET ME START OFF FIRST, IS IT YOUR  UNDERSTANDING THAT THE COURT HAS CONSTRUED PERFORMING A HASHING
06:16 19	THE INTERNET OVER TO THE PROXYSG, AND THE PROXYSG MAY WANT TO	06:19 19	FUNCTION ON THE DOWNLOADABLE AND THE SOFTWARE COMPONENTS AS
06:16 <b>21</b>	SEND THEM TO THE PROXYAY OR THEY'LL BE SENT OVER AS WE'LL TALK	06:19 <b>21</b>	PERFORMING A HASHING FUNCTION ON THE DOWNLOADABLE TOGETHER WITH
06:16 21		06:19 21	THE FETCHED SOFTWARE COMPONENTS?
06:16 23	ABOUT AS QUICKLY AS IS POSSIBLE. AND AS SOON AS THEY CAN BE PROCESSED, ONE OF THE FIRST STEPS IS THAT THESE PIECES WILL BE	06:19 23	A. YES.
06:16 <b>24</b>	HASHED.	06:19 <b>24</b>	Q. AND DID YOU APPLY THAT CONSTRUCTION IN YOUR ANALYSIS?
06:16 <b>25</b>	SO THE LITTLE MEAT GRINDER IN THE VIDEO.	06:19 <b>25</b>	A. YES, I DID.
00.10 20	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS	00.13 20	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS
	SHALES COUNT REPORTERS  848		850
06:16 <b>1</b>	NOW, EACH OF THOSE PIECES IS HASHED, AS WE'VE TALKED		
	NOW, EACH OF THOSE FIECES IS HASHED, AS WE'VE TALKED	06:19 <b>1</b>	Q. AND CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT IS REQUIRED BY THE SECOND ELEMENT
06:17 <b>2</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING	06:19 <b>1</b> 06:19 <b>2</b>	Q. AND CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT IS REQUIRED BY THE SECOND ELEMENT OF CLAIM 9?
06:17 <b>2</b> 06:17 <b>3</b>			·
_	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING	06:19 <b>2</b> 06:19 <b>3</b>	OF CLAIM 9?
06:17 <b>3</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.	06:19 <b>2</b> 06:19 <b>3</b>	OF CLAIM 9?  A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH	06:19 <b>2</b> 06:19 <b>3</b> 06:19 <b>4</b>	OF CLAIM 9?  A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE  AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b> 06:17 <b>5</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV	06:19 <b>2</b> 06:19 <b>3</b> 06:19 <b>4</b> 06:19 <b>5</b>	OF CLAIM 9?  A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b> 06:17 <b>5</b> 06:17 <b>6</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?	06:19 <b>2</b> 06:19 <b>3</b> 06:19 <b>4</b> 06:19 <b>5</b> 06:19 <b>6</b>	OF CLAIM 9?  A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b> 06:17 <b>5</b> 06:17 <b>6</b> 06:17 <b>7</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.	06:19 <b>2</b> 06:19 <b>3</b> 06:19 <b>4</b> 06:19 <b>5</b> 06:19 <b>6</b> 06:19 <b>7</b>	OF CLAIM 9?  A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b> 06:17 <b>5</b> 06:17 <b>6</b> 06:17 <b>7</b> 06:17 <b>8</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE	06:19 <b>2</b> 06:19 <b>3</b> 06:19 <b>4</b> 06:19 <b>5</b> 06:19 <b>6</b> 06:19 <b>7</b> 06:19 <b>8</b>	OF CLAIM 9?  A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b> 06:17 <b>5</b> 06:17 <b>6</b> 06:17 <b>7</b> 06:17 <b>8</b> 06:17 <b>9</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE	06:19 <b>2</b> 06:19 <b>3</b> 06:19 <b>4</b> 06:19 <b>5</b> 06:19 <b>6</b> 06:19 <b>7</b> 06:19 <b>8</b> 06:19 <b>9</b>	OF CLAIM 9?  A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b> 06:17 <b>5</b> 06:17 <b>6</b> 06:17 <b>7</b> 06:17 <b>8</b> 06:17 <b>9</b> 06:17 <b>10</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY	06:19 <b>2</b> 06:19 <b>3</b> 06:19 <b>4</b> 06:19 <b>5</b> 06:19 <b>6</b> 06:19 <b>7</b> 06:19 <b>8</b> 06:19 <b>9</b> 06:19 <b>10</b>	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b> 06:17 <b>5</b> 06:17 <b>6</b> 06:17 <b>7</b> 06:17 <b>8</b> 06:17 <b>9</b> 06:17 <b>10</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D.,
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b> 06:17 <b>5</b> 06:17 <b>6</b> 06:17 <b>7</b> 06:17 <b>8</b> 06:17 <b>9</b> 06:17 <b>10</b> 06:17 <b>11</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.  DO YOU SEE THAT?	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D., THAT CAN TELL US WE HAVE SEEN THIS INFORMATION BEFORE AND
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b> 06:17 <b>5</b> 06:17 <b>6</b> 06:17 <b>7</b> 06:17 <b>8</b> 06:17 <b>9</b> 06:17 <b>10</b> 06:17 <b>11</b> 06:17 <b>12</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.  DO YOU SEE THAT?  A. YES, I DO.	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11 06:19 12 06:19 13	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D., THAT CAN TELL US WE HAVE SEEN THIS INFORMATION BEFORE AND THINGS ARE GOING TO BE OKAY.
06:17 <b>3</b> 06:17 <b>4</b> 06:17 <b>5</b> 06:17 <b>6</b> 06:17 <b>7</b> 06:17 <b>8</b> 06:17 <b>9</b> 06:17 <b>10</b> 06:17 <b>11</b> 06:17 <b>12</b> 06:17 <b>13</b>	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.  DO YOU SEE THAT?  A. YES, I DO.  Q. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THE COURT HAS CONSTRUED	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11 06:19 12 06:19 13 06:19 14	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D., THAT CAN TELL US WE HAVE SEEN THIS INFORMATION BEFORE AND THINGS ARE GOING TO BE OKAY.  SO WHAT IS REQUIRED HERE IN THIS CLAIM IS THAT WE'RE GOING
06:17	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.  DO YOU SEE THAT?  A. YES, I DO.  Q. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THE COURT HAS CONSTRUED DOWNLOADABLE AS APPLICATION PROGRAM WHICH IS DOWNLOADED FROM A	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11 06:19 12 06:19 13 06:19 14 06:20 15	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D., THAT CAN TELL US WE HAVE SEEN THIS INFORMATION BEFORE AND THINGS ARE GOING TO BE OKAY.  SO WHAT IS REQUIRED HERE IN THIS CLAIM IS THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AN I.D. GENERATOR, SO IN THIS CASE WE HAVE DISCUSSED
06:17	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.  DO YOU SEE THAT?  A. YES, I DO.  Q. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THE COURT HAS CONSTRUED DOWNLOADABLE AS APPLICATION PROGRAM WHICH IS DOWNLOADED FROM A SOURCE COMPUTER AND RUN ON A DESTINATION COMPUTER?	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11 06:19 12 06:19 13 06:19 14 06:20 15	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D., THAT CAN TELL US WE HAVE SEEN THIS INFORMATION BEFORE AND THINGS ARE GOING TO BE OKAY.  SO WHAT IS REQUIRED HERE IN THIS CLAIM IS THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AN I.D. GENERATOR, SO IN THIS CASE WE HAVE DISCUSSED THE I.D. GENERATOR WILL BE THE PROXYAV.
06:17	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.  DO YOU SEE THAT?  A. YES, I DO.  Q. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THE COURT HAS CONSTRUED DOWNLOADABLE AS APPLICATION PROGRAM WHICH IS DOWNLOADED FROM A SOURCE COMPUTER AND RUN ON A DESTINATION COMPUTER?  A. YES, THAT'S THE COURT'S CONSTRUCTION AND THE DEFINITION I	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11 06:19 12 06:19 13 06:19 14 06:20 15 06:20 17	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D., THAT CAN TELL US WE HAVE SEEN THIS INFORMATION BEFORE AND THINGS ARE GOING TO BE OKAY.  SO WHAT IS REQUIRED HERE IN THIS CLAIM IS THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AN I.D. GENERATOR, SO IN THIS CASE WE HAVE DISCUSSED THE I.D. GENERATOR WILL BE THE PROXYAV.  IT'S GOING TO BE COUPLED WITH THE COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE,
06:17	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.  DO YOU SEE THAT?  A. YES, I DO.  Q. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THE COURT HAS CONSTRUED DOWNLOADABLE AS APPLICATION PROGRAM WHICH IS DOWNLOADED FROM A SOURCE COMPUTER AND RUN ON A DESTINATION COMPUTER?  A. YES, THAT'S THE COURT'S CONSTRUCTION AND THE DEFINITION I USED IN MY ANALYSIS.	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11 06:19 12 06:19 13 06:19 14 06:20 15 06:20 16 06:20 17	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D., THAT CAN TELL US WE HAVE SEEN THIS INFORMATION BEFORE AND THINGS ARE GOING TO BE OKAY.  SO WHAT IS REQUIRED HERE IN THIS CLAIM IS THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AN I.D. GENERATOR, SO IN THIS CASE WE HAVE DISCUSSED THE I.D. GENERATOR WILL BE THE PROXYAV.  IT'S GOING TO BE COUPLED WITH THE COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE, RIGHT, COUPLED WITH THE PROXYSG THAT FETCHES THE COMPONENTS,
06:17	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.  DO YOU SEE THAT?  A. YES, I DO.  Q. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THE COURT HAS CONSTRUED DOWNLOADABLE AS APPLICATION PROGRAM WHICH IS DOWNLOADED FROM A SOURCE COMPUTER AND RUN ON A DESTINATION COMPUTER?  A. YES, THAT'S THE COURT'S CONSTRUCTION AND THE DEFINITION I USED IN MY ANALYSIS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV IS	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11 06:19 12 06:19 13 06:19 14 06:20 15 06:20 16 06:20 17 06:20 18	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D., THAT CAN TELL US WE HAVE SEEN THIS INFORMATION BEFORE AND THINGS ARE GOING TO BE OKAY.  SO WHAT IS REQUIRED HERE IN THIS CLAIM IS THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AN I.D. GENERATOR, SO IN THIS CASE WE HAVE DISCUSSED THE I.D. GENERATOR WILL BE THE PROXYAV.  IT'S GOING TO BE COUPLED WITH THE COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE, RIGHT, COUPLED WITH THE PROXYSG THAT FETCHES THE COMPONENTS, THE PARTS OF THE PAGE, AS WE SAW IN MY DEMONSTRATION.
06:17	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.  DO YOU SEE THAT?  A. YES, I DO.  Q. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THE COURT HAS CONSTRUED DOWNLOADABLE AS APPLICATION PROGRAM WHICH IS DOWNLOADED FROM A SOURCE COMPUTER AND RUN ON A DESTINATION COMPUTER?  A. YES, THAT'S THE COURT'S CONSTRUCTION AND THE DEFINITION I USED IN MY ANALYSIS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV IS A SYSTEM FOR GENERATING A DOWNLOADABLE I.D. TO IDENTIFY A	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11 06:19 12 06:19 13 06:19 14 06:20 15 06:20 16 06:20 17 06:20 18 06:20 19 06:20 20	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D., THAT CAN TELL US WE HAVE SEEN THIS INFORMATION BEFORE AND THINGS ARE GOING TO BE OKAY.  SO WHAT IS REQUIRED HERE IN THIS CLAIM IS THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AN I.D. GENERATOR, SO IN THIS CASE WE HAVE DISCUSSED THE I.D. GENERATOR WILL BE THE PROXYAV.  IT'S GOING TO BE COUPLED WITH THE COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE, RIGHT, COUPLED WITH THE PROXYSG THAT FETCHES THE COMPONENTS, THE PARTS OF THE PAGE, AS WE SAW IN MY DEMONSTRATION.  THEN AFTER DOWNLOADING, SAY, ONE OR MORE OF THE
06:17 3 06:17 4 06:17 5 06:17 6 06:17 7 06:17 8 06:17 9 06:17 10 06:17 11 06:17 12 06:17 13 06:17 14 06:17 15 06:17 16 06:17 17 06:17 18 06:17 19 06:17 20 06:18 21	ABOUT, USING THE MD5 FUNCTION, AND THEN WHAT WE'LL BE TALKING ABOUT IS THE I.D. IS THE COMBINATION OF THOSE HASHES TOGETHER.  Q. SO LET'S TURN TO THE CLAIMS OF THE '780 PATENT. WHICH CLAIMS DID YOU FIND WERE INFRINGED BY THE PROXYSG AND PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. 9 AND 13.  Q. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE A COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE FOR OBTAINING A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES ONE OR MORE REFERENCES TO SOFTWARE COMPONENTS REQUIRED TO BE EXECUTED BY THE DOWNLOADABLE.  DO YOU SEE THAT?  A. YES, I DO.  Q. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THE COURT HAS CONSTRUED DOWNLOADABLE AS APPLICATION PROGRAM WHICH IS DOWNLOADED FROM A SOURCE COMPUTER AND RUN ON A DESTINATION COMPUTER?  A. YES, THAT'S THE COURT'S CONSTRUCTION AND THE DEFINITION I USED IN MY ANALYSIS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV IS A SYSTEM FOR GENERATING A DOWNLOADABLE I.D. TO IDENTIFY A DOWNLOADABLE?	06:19 2 06:19 3 06:19 4 06:19 5 06:19 6 06:19 7 06:19 8 06:19 9 06:19 10 06:19 11 06:19 12 06:19 13 06:19 14 06:20 15 06:20 16 06:20 17 06:20 18 06:20 19 06:20 20 06:20 21	A. SO THE IDEA IS WE WANT SOME I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE AND THE REASON WE WANT AN I.D. ASSOCIATED WITH THE PAGE IS THAT WHAT THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS GOING TO DO IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE EXAMINING THE WEB PAGE FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF CONTAINING A VIRUS, OTHER SORTS OF MALWARE.  AND IF A WEB PAGE CHECKS OUT TO BE OKAY, WE DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NECESSARILY DO ALL OF THE PROCESS AGAIN IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THAT IT'S SAFE.  SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE IS SOME SORT OF IDENTIFIER, I.D., THAT CAN TELL US WE HAVE SEEN THIS INFORMATION BEFORE AND THINGS ARE GOING TO BE OKAY.  SO WHAT IS REQUIRED HERE IN THIS CLAIM IS THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AN I.D. GENERATOR, SO IN THIS CASE WE HAVE DISCUSSED THE I.D. GENERATOR WILL BE THE PROXYAV.  IT'S GOING TO BE COUPLED WITH THE COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE, RIGHT, COUPLED WITH THE PROXYSG THAT FETCHES THE COMPONENTS, THE PARTS OF THE PAGE, AS WE SAW IN MY DEMONSTRATION.  THEN AFTER DOWNLOADING, SAY, ONE OR MORE OF THE REFERENCES, WE WILL PERFORM THE HASHING FUNCTION ON THE

	Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Documen	t 432-2	24 Filed 04/11/19 Page 4 of 5
06:20 <b>1</b>	Q. LET'S LOOK AT JTX 2041.	06:23	1 A. SO WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT HASHING AND FINGERPRINTS. AND
06:21 <b>2</b>	I'D LIKE TO MOVE JTX 2041 TO THE EXTENT IT IS NOT ALREADY,	06:23	2 AS WE CAN SEE HERE, PROXYAV WILL TAKE TYPES OF OBJECTS AND USE
06:21 <b>3</b>	YOUR HONOR.	06:23	3 THE HASH FUNCTION TO CREATE A FINGERPRINT, AND THE COMBINATION
06:21 <b>4</b>	THE COURT: JTX 2041 WILL BE ADMITTED.	06:23	4 OF THESE FINGERPRINTS FOR A SPECIFIC DOWNLOADABLE WILL
06:21 <b>5</b>	(JOINT EXHIBIT 2041 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)	06:24	CORRESPOND TO THE I.D. THAT I'VE BEEN DISCUSSING.
06:21 <b>6</b>	MR. HANNAH: I BELIEVE IT HAS BUT JUST TO BE SAFE.	06:24	Q. AND, DOCTOR, HAVE YOU RELIED ON YOUR TESTIMONY TO SUPPORT
06:21 <b>7</b>	THE COURT: OH, IT HAS.	06:24	YOUR OPINION THAT THE PROXYAV AND THE PROXYSG DO THIS HASHING?
06:21 <b>8</b>	BY MR. HANNAH:	06:24	3 A. YES.
06:21 <b>9</b>	Q. TURN TO PAGE 160 OF THIS DOCUMENT. AND IF YOU HIGHLIGHT	06:24	Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE SHOW AHLANDER DEPOSITION TESTIMONY 57:14
06:21 <b>10</b>	THE TOP PARAGRAPH. COULD YOU EXPLAIN TO THE JURY HOW THIS	06:24 10	THROUGH 58:9.
06:21 <b>11</b>	SUPPORTS YOUR OPINION THAT THE SECOND ELEMENT OF THE '780	06:24 <b>1</b>	I'D LIKE TO READ THIS INTO THE RECORD.
06:21 <b>12</b>	PATENT IS MET, CLAIM 9 OF THE '780?	06:24 12	"QUESTION: SO THE PROXYAV PRODUCT IS CONNECTED TO THE
06:21 <b>13</b>	A. SO ONE OF THE ASPECTS OF THE COURT'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	06:24 <b>1</b> 3	PROXYSG PRODUCT; CORRECT?
06:21 <b>14</b>	WAS THAT THINGS WERE TO BE DONE TOGETHER AND PARTICULARLY	06:24 14	ANSWER: YES.
06:21 <b>15</b>	TOGETHER IN TIME.	06:24 <b>1</b>	"QUESTION: AT THE GATEWAY
06:21 <b>16</b>	AND WHAT WE'RE DISCUSSING IN HERE IS THAT THE OBJECT	06:24 <b>1</b> 0	THE COURT: SLOW DOWN. I'M SORRY. WE JUST CAN'T
06:21 <b>17</b>	PIPELINING ALGORITHM ALLOWS THE PROXYSG TO OPEN AS MANY	06:24 <b>1</b>	GET THIS.
06:21 <b>18</b>	SIMULTANEOUS TCP CONNECTIONS AS THE ORIGIN SERVER ALLOWS AND	06:24 18	MR. HANNAH: SORRY, YOUR HONOR.
06:22 <b>19</b>	RETRIEVES OBJECTS IN PARALLEL.	06:24 <b>1</b> 9	Q. "QUESTION: AT THE GATEWAY. IT WILL RECEIVE AN INCOMING
06:22 <b>20</b>	SO WHAT THIS IS SAYING IS THAT WE HAVE SEEN THE WEB PAGE	06:24 20	FILE, AND THAT INCOMING FILE IS IMMEDIATELY A HASH IS
06:22 <b>21</b>	CAN CONSIST OF MANY SMALL COMPONENTS.	06:24 <b>2</b>	COMPUTED; CORRECT?
06:22 <b>22</b>	IF YOU HAD TO WAIT AND YOU SAY, OKAY, I'M GOING TO GET THE	06:24 2	2 "ANSWER: I BELIEVE SO.
06:22 <b>23</b>	FIRST PART AND WAIT FOR THAT TO COME BACK; AND SAY I'M GOING TO	06:24 2	3 "QUESTION: AFTER THAT HASH COMPUTED, THEN THE FILE IS
06:22 <b>24</b>	GET THE SECOND PART AND WAIT FOR THAT TO COME BACK; AND GET THE	06:24 24	SENT TO ONE OF THESE THIRD PARTY AV ENGINES; CORRECT?
06:22 <b>25</b>	THIRD PART AND WAIT FOR THAT TO COME BACK, YOU'RE GOING TO BE	06:24 <b>2</b> :	MANSWER: YES.
	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS		UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS
	852		854
06:22 <b>1</b>	WAITING A LONG TIME FOR YOUR WEB PAGE. YOU MAY FIND THAT DOES	06:24	1 "QUESTION: ONCE THAT ANALYSIS IS COMPLETE, THEN A VERDICT
06:22 <b>2</b>	HAPPEN TO YOU AT SOME POINT ALREADY WHEN YOU'RE USING THE WEB.	06:25	2 IS REACHED?
06:22 <b>3</b>	SO THE PROXYSG IS SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED TO TRY AND PREVENT	06:25	3 "ANSWER: YES.
06:22 <b>4</b>	THAT, THAT IS, IT IS GOING TO TRY TO OBTAIN THE OBJECTS IN	06:25	"AND ONCE THAT VERDICT IS REACHED, IT'S ASSOCIATED WITH A
06:22 <b>5</b>	PARALLEL AS FAR AS IT CAN GET THEM AND IT WILL BE GETTING THEM	06:25	5 HASH THAT WAS GENERATED ON THAT INCOMING FILE
06:22 <b>6</b>	ALL DOWN INTO THE SYSTEM AND THE GOAL BEING AT THE SAME TIME OR	06:25	"ANSWER: YES.
06:22 <b>7</b>	BECAUSE IT'S IN PARALLEL, IT WILL, IN FACT, BE DOWNLOADING THEM	06:25	"QUESTION: AND THEN STORED WITHIN THE PROXYAV PRODUCT;
06:22 <b>8</b>	AT THE SAME TIME.	06:25	CORRECT".
06:22 <b>9</b>	Q. AND THOSE OBJECTS WILL THEN BE PASSED TO THE PROXYAV	06:25	"ANSWER: YES, TEMPORARILY."
06:22 <b>10</b>	PRODUCT?	06:25 <b>1</b> 0	CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW MR. AHLANDER'S TESTIMONY SUPPORTS YOUR
			CAN TOO EXPLAIN HOW MR. AHLANDER'S TESTIMONT SUPPORTS TOOK
06:22 11	A. YES.	06:25 <b>1</b>	
06:22 <b>11</b> 06:22 <b>12</b>	<ul><li>A. YES.</li><li>Q. IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.</li></ul>	06:25 <b>1</b> :	OPINION?
			OPINION?  A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE
06:22 <b>12</b> 06:23 <b>13</b>	<b>Q.</b> IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.	06:25 <b>1</b> 2	OPINION?  A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE  DOWNLOADABLE CONSISTING OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OR CONCLUDING
06:22 <b>12</b> 06:23 <b>13</b>	Q. IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.  AND, YOUR HONOR, JTX 2015 I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT INTO	06:25 <b>1</b> 2 06:25 <b>1</b> 3	OPINION?  A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE  DOWNLOADABLE CONSISTING OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OR CONCLUDING  MULTIPLE COMPONENTS, THESE COMPONENTS WILL COME IN TO THE
06:22 <b>12</b> 06:23 <b>13</b> 06:23 <b>14</b>	Q. IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.  AND, YOUR HONOR, JTX 2015 I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT INTO EVIDENCE.	06:25 <b>1</b> 2 06:25 <b>1</b> 3 06:25 <b>1</b> 4	OPINION?  A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE  DOWNLOADABLE CONSISTING OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OR CONCLUDING  MULTIPLE COMPONENTS, THESE COMPONENTS WILL COME IN TO THE  PROXYAV PRODUCT AND BE GIVEN A HASH CORRESPONDING FINGERPRINT,
06:22 <b>12</b> 06:23 <b>13</b> 06:23 <b>14</b> 06:23 <b>15</b>	Q. IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.  AND, YOUR HONOR, JTX 2015 I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT INTO  EVIDENCE.  THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.	06:25 <b>1</b> 2 06:25 <b>1</b> 3 06:25 <b>1</b> 4 06:25 <b>1</b> 5	OPINION?  A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE  DOWNLOADABLE CONSISTING OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OR CONCLUDING  MULTIPLE COMPONENTS, THESE COMPONENTS WILL COME IN TO THE  PROXYAV PRODUCT AND BE GIVEN A HASH CORRESPONDING FINGERPRINT,  AND THE COMBINATION OF THESE FINGERPRINTS FORMING THE I.D. THAT
06:22 <b>12</b> 06:23 <b>13</b> 06:23 <b>14</b> 06:23 <b>15</b> 06:23 <b>16</b>	Q. IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.  AND, YOUR HONOR, JTX 2015 I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT INTO  EVIDENCE.  THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.  (JOINT EXHIBIT 2015 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)	06:25 <b>1</b> 3 06:25 <b>1</b> 3 06:25 <b>1</b> 4 06:25 <b>1</b> 6	OPINION?  A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE  DOWNLOADABLE CONSISTING OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OR CONCLUDING  MULTIPLE COMPONENTS, THESE COMPONENTS WILL COME IN TO THE  PROXYAV PRODUCT AND BE GIVEN A HASH CORRESPONDING FINGERPRINT,  AND THE COMBINATION OF THESE FINGERPRINTS FORMING THE I.D. THAT  I DISCUSSED.
06:22 12 06:23 13 06:23 14 06:23 15 06:23 16 06:23 17 06:23 18	Q. IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.  AND, YOUR HONOR, JTX 2015 I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT INTO  EVIDENCE.  THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.  (JOINT EXHIBIT 2015 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)  BY MR. HANNAH:	06:25 <b>1</b> : 06:25 <b>1</b> : 06:25 <b>1</b> : 06:25 <b>1</b> : 06:25 <b>1</b> :	OPINION?  A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE  DOWNLOADABLE CONSISTING OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OR CONCLUDING  MULTIPLE COMPONENTS, THESE COMPONENTS WILL COME IN TO THE  PROXYAV PRODUCT AND BE GIVEN A HASH CORRESPONDING FINGERPRINT,  AND THE COMBINATION OF THESE FINGERPRINTS FORMING THE I.D. THAT  I DISCUSSED.  Q. LET'S TURN TO THE SOURCE CODE JTX 2076. AND IF WE CAN GO
06:22 <b>12</b> 06:23 <b>13</b> 06:23 <b>14</b> 06:23 <b>15</b> 06:23 <b>16</b> 06:23 <b>17</b>	Q. IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.  AND, YOUR HONOR, JTX 2015 I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT INTO  EVIDENCE.  THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.  (JOINT EXHIBIT 2015 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)  BY MR. HANNAH:  Q. DOCTOR, WHAT IS JTX 2015?	06:25 12 06:25 14 06:25 14 06:25 14 06:25 15 06:25 15	OPINION?  A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE  DOWNLOADABLE CONSISTING OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OR CONCLUDING  MULTIPLE COMPONENTS, THESE COMPONENTS WILL COME IN TO THE  PROXYAV PRODUCT AND BE GIVEN A HASH CORRESPONDING FINGERPRINT,  AND THE COMBINATION OF THESE FINGERPRINTS FORMING THE I.D. THAT  I DISCUSSED.  Q. LET'S TURN TO THE SOURCE CODE JTX 2076. AND IF WE CAN GO  TO 330. 2330, PLEASE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU, SIR.
06:22 12 06:23 13 06:23 14 06:23 15 06:23 16 06:23 17 06:23 18 06:23 19 06:23 20	Q. IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.  AND, YOUR HONOR, JTX 2015 I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT INTO  EVIDENCE.  THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.  (JOINT EXHIBIT 2015 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)  BY MR. HANNAH:  Q. DOCTOR, WHAT IS JTX 2015?  A. THIS IS A BLUE COAT DOCUMENT THAT IS DESCRIBING IN	06:25 12 06:25 14 06:25 14 06:25 16 06:25 16 06:25 16 06:25 18	OPINION?  A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE  DOWNLOADABLE CONSISTING OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OR CONCLUDING  MULTIPLE COMPONENTS, THESE COMPONENTS WILL COME IN TO THE  PROXYAV PRODUCT AND BE GIVEN A HASH CORRESPONDING FINGERPRINT,  AND THE COMBINATION OF THESE FINGERPRINTS FORMING THE I.D. THAT  I DISCUSSED.  Q. LET'S TURN TO THE SOURCE CODE JTX 2076. AND IF WE CAN GO  TO 330. 2330, PLEASE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU, SIR.  CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE SOURCE CODE SUPPORTS YOUR
06:22 12 06:23 13 06:23 14 06:23 15 06:23 16 06:23 17 06:23 18 06:23 19	Q. IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.  AND, YOUR HONOR, JTX 2015 I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT INTO  EVIDENCE.  THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.  (JOINT EXHIBIT 2015 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)  BY MR. HANNAH:  Q. DOCTOR, WHAT IS JTX 2015?  A. THIS IS A BLUE COAT DOCUMENT THAT IS DESCRIBING IN  PARTICULAR THE PROXYAV APPLIANCE AND FURTHER HOW IT WORKS WITH	06:25 12 06:25 14 06:25 14 06:25 15 06:25 15 06:25 15 06:25 15 06:25 15 06:26 26	A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE  DOWNLOADABLE CONSISTING OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OR CONCLUDING  MULTIPLE COMPONENTS, THESE COMPONENTS WILL COME IN TO THE  PROXYAV PRODUCT AND BE GIVEN A HASH CORRESPONDING FINGERPRINT,  AND THE COMBINATION OF THESE FINGERPRINTS FORMING THE I.D. THAT  I DISCUSSED.  Q. LET'S TURN TO THE SOURCE CODE JTX 2076. AND IF WE CAN GO  TO 330. 2330, PLEASE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU, SIR.  CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE SOURCE CODE SUPPORTS YOUR  OPINION THAT THE SECOND ELEMENT OF CLAIM 9 IS MET?
06:22 12 06:23 13 06:23 14 06:23 15 06:23 16 06:23 17 06:23 18 06:23 19 06:23 20 06:23 21	Q. IF WE LOOK AT JTX 2015.  AND, YOUR HONOR, JTX 2015 I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT INTO  EVIDENCE.  THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED.  (JOINT EXHIBIT 2015 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)  BY MR. HANNAH:  Q. DOCTOR, WHAT IS JTX 2015?  A. THIS IS A BLUE COAT DOCUMENT THAT IS DESCRIBING IN  PARTICULAR THE PROXYAV APPLIANCE AND FURTHER HOW IT WORKS WITH  THE PROXYSG.	06:25 1: 06:25 1: 06:25 1: 06:25 1: 06:25 1: 06:25 1: 06:25 1: 06:25 1: 06:25 2:	OPINION?  A. YEAH. SO, AGAIN, WHAT WE SEE HERE IS WHEN YOU HAVE  DOWNLOADABLE CONSISTING OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS OR CONCLUDING  MULTIPLE COMPONENTS, THESE COMPONENTS WILL COME IN TO THE  PROXYAV PRODUCT AND BE GIVEN A HASH CORRESPONDING FINGERPRINT,  AND THE COMBINATION OF THESE FINGERPRINTS FORMING THE I.D. THAT  I DISCUSSED.  Q. LET'S TURN TO THE SOURCE CODE JTX 2076. AND IF WE CAN GO  TO 330. 2330, PLEASE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU, SIR.  CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE SOURCE CODE SUPPORTS YOUR  OPINION THAT THE SECOND ELEMENT OF CLAIM 9 IS MET?  A. OKAY. SO, AGAIN, IT'S JUST DISCUSSING HERE THAT IT'S

	Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Documen	t 432-24	<del>Filed 04/11/19 Page 5 of 5 857 857 857 857 857 857 857 857 857 8</del>
06:26 <b>1</b>	Q. AND IF WE GO TO PAGE 341 OF THE SOURCE CODE, IT'S 2341.	06:29 <b>1</b>	A. THERE'S A CERTAIN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE WHICH CONSISTS
06:27 <b>2</b>	IS THIS ANOTHER SOURCE CODE FILE THAT EXPLAINS THAT THE	06:29 2	OF THE COMBINATION, THE VARIOUS MD5 APPLICATIONS TO THE
06:27 <b>2</b>	ACCUSED PRODUCTS USE HASHING?	06:30 <b>3</b>	
06:27 <b>4</b>		06:30 <b>4</b>	INDIVIDUAL COMPONENTS.
06:27 <b>5</b>	A. YES. SO WE SEE HERE THIS MD510 YOU CAN SEE FROM THE	_	THE USE OF THIS WOULD, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU DOWNLOADED THE
-	COMMENT IT'S GOING TO PERFORM AN MD5 CHECKSUM OVER THE PROGNAME		SAME WEB PAGE OVER AGAIN WITH THE SOFTWARE COMPONENTS, IF THERE
06:27 <b>6</b>	AND REFERS TO SOME FILE OR OBJECT THAT IT WILL BE USING MD51.	06:30 6	WAS ANY PROBLEM, YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO REJECT IT AND SAY, ALL
06:27 <b>7</b>	Q. CAN YOU PUT UP THE CLAIMS. WHEN YOU WERE REVIEWING THE	06:30 7	RIGHT, I KNOW THAT THIS IS HERE AND I DON'T NEED TO WORRY ABOUT
06:27 8	DOCUMENTS AND THE TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE, WAS IT YOUR OPINION	06:30 8	THIS AND I CAN JUST REJECT IT NOW.
06:27 9	THAT IT WAS FUNCTIONING IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY WITH	06:30 9	SO THE SAME RESULT OF BEING ABLE TO SAVE YOURSELF
06:27 10	REGARD TO PERFORMING A	06:30 10	PROCESSING TIME, PROCESSING ENERGY, TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE
06:27 11	THE COURT: THIS LANGUAGE IS VERY DIFFICULT TO GET	06:30 11	FACT OF WHETHER YOU HAVE SEEN SOMETHING BEFORE AND KNOW WHETHER
06:27 12	ON THE RECORD.	06:30 12	IT'S SAFE OR NOT LEADS TO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE
06:28 13	MR. HANNAH: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR.	06:30 13	SAME RESULT WITH REGARD TO THIS CLAIM.
06:28 14	THE COURT: WELL, IT'S YOUR RECORD.	06:30 14	Q. AND FOR THE RECORD, IS IT YOUR OPINION AND DOES THE
06:28 <b>15</b>	BY MR. HANNAH:	06:30 15	EVIDENCE DEMONSTRATE, THE EVIDENCE BEING YOUR TESTING OF THE
06:28 <b>16</b>	Q. I'LL START OVER.	06:30 <b>16</b>	ACCUSED PRODUCTS, THE DOCUMENTS, THE TESTIMONY THAT YOU
06:28 <b>17</b>	WHEN YOU WERE DOING YOUR TESTING OF THE PROXYSG AND THE	06:30 17	REVIEWED, THE SOURCE CODE, THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV
06:28 <b>18</b>	PROXYAV AND YOUR REVIEW OF THE DOCUMENTS AND THE TESTIMONY IN	06:30 18	CONTAIN AN I.D. GENERATED COUPLED TO THE COMMUNICATIONS ENGINE
06:28 19	THIS CASE, IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV	06:30 19	THAT FETCHES AT LEAST ONE SOFTWARE COMPONENT IDENTIFIED BY THE
06:28 <b>20</b>	WAS FUNCTIONING THE SAME AS THIS CLAIM ELEMENT WITH REGARD TO	06:30 <b>20</b>	ONE OR MORE REFERENCES AND FOR PERFORMING A HASHING FUNCTION ON
06:28 <b>21</b>	PERFORMING A HASHING FUNCTION ON THE DOWNLOADABLE AND THE	06:31 <b>21</b>	THE DOWNLOADABLE AND THE FETCHED SOFTWARE COMPONENTS TO
06:28 <b>22</b>	FETCHED SOFTWARE COMPONENTS TO GENERATE A DOWNLOADABLE I.D.?	06:31 <b>22</b>	GENERATE A DOWNLOADABLE I.D.?
06:28 <b>23</b>	A. YES.	06:31 <b>23</b>	A. YES.
06:28 <b>24</b>	Q. AND CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY?	06:31 <b>24</b>	Q. AND IT'S YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT HAS BEEN MET
06:28 <b>25</b>	A. CERTAINLY. SO WE'VE TALKED ABOUT MD5 AS BEING A HASHING	00 04 <b>3</b> E	
	A. CERTAINET. SO WE VE TALKED ABOUT MDS AS BEING A HASHING	06:31 <b>25</b>	LITERALLY?
	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS	06:31 25	LITERALLY?  UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS
		06:31 25	
06:28 1	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS	06:31 <b>25</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS
06:28 <b>1</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS 856		UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS 858
06:28 <b>1</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED	06:31 <b>1</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  858  A. YES, IT IS.
06:28 <b>1</b> 06:28 <b>2</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED  HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION	06:31 <b>1</b> 06:31 <b>2</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  858  A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE
06:28 <b>1</b> 06:28 <b>2</b> 06:28 <b>3</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED  HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION  ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT	06:31 <b>1</b> 06:31 <b>2</b> 06:31 <b>3</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  858  A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?
06:28 <b>1</b> 06:28 <b>2</b> 06:28 <b>3</b> 06:28 <b>4</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.	06:31 <b>1</b> 06:31 <b>2</b> 06:31 <b>3</b> 06:31 <b>4</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  858  A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.
06:28	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED  HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION  ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT  FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU	06:31 <b>1</b> 06:31 <b>2</b> 06:31 <b>3</b> 06:31 <b>4</b> 06:31 <b>5</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  858  A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?
06:28	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES	06:31 <b>1</b> 06:31 <b>2</b> 06:31 <b>3</b> 06:31 <b>4</b> 06:31 <b>5</b> 06:31 <b>6</b>	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  858  A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.
06:28	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 9	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS
06:28	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE.	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 9	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS
06:28	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE.  Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION?	06:31	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 9 06:29 10 06:29 11	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE. Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES.	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 9 06:31 10 06:31 11	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE  PROXYAV PRODUCTS?
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 9 06:29 10 06:29 11	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE. Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES. Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT PROXYSG AND PROXYAV ARE DOING	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 9 06:31 10 06:31 11	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE  PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A DEPENDENT CLAIM,
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 9 06:29 10 06:29 11 06:29 12 06:29 13	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE.  Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT PROXYSG AND PROXYAV ARE DOING THE FUNCTIONS IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY AS THIS CLAIM	06:31	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A DEPENDENT CLAIM, IT DEPENDS ON CLAIM 9, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 9 06:29 10 06:29 11 06:29 12 06:29 13	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE. Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES. Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT PROXYSG AND PROXYAV ARE DOING THE FUNCTIONS IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY AS THIS CLAIM ELEMENT?	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 9 06:31 10 06:31 11 06:31 12 06:31 13	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE  PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A DEPENDENT CLAIM,  IT DEPENDS ON CLAIM 9, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY  STIPULATED TO THE OR WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 10 06:29 11 06:29 11 06:29 12 06:29 13 06:29 14 06:29 15	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE. Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES. Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT PROXYSG AND PROXYAV ARE DOING THE FUNCTIONS IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY AS THIS CLAIM ELEMENT? A. ABSOLUTELY.	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 9 06:31 10 06:31 11 06:31 12 06:31 13 06:31 14 06:31 15	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE  PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A DEPENDENT CLAIM,  IT DEPENDS ON CLAIM 9, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY  STIPULATED TO THE OR WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML  CODE, THAT IS CORRECT?
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 10 06:29 11 06:29 11 06:29 12 06:29 13 06:29 14 06:29 15	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE.  Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES. Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT PROXYSG AND PROXYAV ARE DOING THE FUNCTIONS IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY AS THIS CLAIM ELEMENT? A. ABSOLUTELY. Q. AND CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY?	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 9 06:31 10 06:31 11 06:31 12 06:31 13 06:31 14 06:31 15 06:31 16	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A DEPENDENT CLAIM, IT DEPENDS ON CLAIM 9, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY STIPULATED TO THE OR WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML CODE, THAT IS CORRECT?  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION, REGARDLESS, THAT THE PROXYSG AND
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 10 06:29 11 06:29 12 06:29 13 06:29 14 06:29 15 06:29 16	UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS  856  FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE. Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES. Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT PROXYSG AND PROXYAV ARE DOING THE FUNCTIONS IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY AS THIS CLAIM ELEMENT? A. ABSOLUTELY. Q. AND CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY? A. CERTAINLY. I MEAN, AS YOU CAN SEE IT'S ALL BASED ON	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 10 06:31 11 06:31 12 06:31 13 06:31 14 06:31 15 06:31 15 06:31 16	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A DEPENDENT CLAIM, IT DEPENDS ON CLAIM 9, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY STIPULATED TO THE OR WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML CODE, THAT IS CORRECT?  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION, REGARDLESS, THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS ARE THE SYSTEM OF OR MEET THE LIMITATION
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 10 06:29 11 06:29 12 06:29 13 06:29 14 06:29 15 06:29 16 06:29 17 06:29 18	FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE. Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES. Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT PROXYSG AND PROXYAV ARE DOING THE FUNCTIONS IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY AS THIS CLAIM ELEMENT? A. ABSOLUTELY. Q. AND CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY? A. CERTAINLY. I MEAN, AS YOU CAN SEE IT'S ALL BASED ON FORMING AN I.D. BASED ON HASHING, AND AS I DESCRIBED, THAT'S	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 9 06:31 10 06:31 11 06:31 12 06:31 13 06:31 14 06:31 15 06:31 16 06:31 17 06:32 18	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A DEPENDENT CLAIM, IT DEPENDS ON CLAIM 9, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY STIPULATED TO THE OR WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML CODE, THAT IS CORRECT?  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION, REGARDLESS, THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS ARE THE SYSTEM OF OR MEET THE LIMITATION OF CLAIM 9 WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML CODE?
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 10 06:29 11 06:29 11 06:29 12 06:29 13 06:29 14 06:29 15 06:29 16 06:29 17 06:29 18	FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE. Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES. Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT PROXYSG AND PROXYAV ARE DOING THE FUNCTIONS IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY AS THIS CLAIM ELEMENT? A. ABSOLUTELY. Q. AND CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY? A. CERTAINLY. I MEAN, AS YOU CAN SEE IT'S ALL BASED ON FORMING AN I.D. BASED ON HASHING, AND AS I DESCRIBED, THAT'S APPROXIMATELY WHAT THE MDS DOES, IT PERFORMS THE HASHING IN	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 9 06:31 10 06:31 11 06:31 12 06:31 13 06:31 14 06:31 15 06:31 16 06:31 17 06:32 18 06:32 19	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A DEPENDENT CLAIM, IT DEPENDS ON CLAIM 9, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY STIPULATED TO THE OR WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML CODE, THAT IS CORRECT?  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION, REGARDLESS, THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS ARE THE SYSTEM OF OR MEET THE LIMITATION OF CLAIM 9 WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML CODE?  A. YES, IT IS.
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 10 06:29 11 06:29 12 06:29 13 06:29 14 06:29 15 06:29 15 06:29 17 06:29 18 06:29 18 06:29 19	FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE. Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES. Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT PROXYSG AND PROXYAV ARE DOING THE FUNCTIONS IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY AS THIS CLAIM ELEMENT? A. ABSOLUTELY. Q. AND CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY? A. CERTAINLY. I MEAN, AS YOU CAN SEE IT'S ALL BASED ON FORMING AN I.D. BASED ON HASHING, AND AS I DESCRIBED, THAT'S APPROXIMATELY WHAT THE MDS DOES, IT PERFORMS THE HASHING IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY.	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 9 06:31 10 06:31 11 06:31 12 06:31 13 06:31 14 06:31 15 06:31 15 06:31 16 06:31 17 06:32 18 06:32 19	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A DEPENDENT CLAIM, IT DEPENDS ON CLAIM 9, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY  STIPULATED TO THE OR WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML CODE, THAT IS CORRECT?  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION, REGARDLESS, THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS ARE THE SYSTEM OF OR MEET THE LIMITATION OF CLAIM 9 WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML CODE?  A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS THAT OPINION BASED ON YOUR REVIEW OF THE EVIDENCE,
06:28 1 06:28 2 06:28 3 06:28 4 06:28 5 06:28 6 06:29 7 06:29 8 06:29 10 06:29 11 06:29 12 06:29 13 06:29 14 06:29 15 06:29 16 06:29 17 06:29 18 06:29 18 06:29 19 06:29 20	FUNCTION THAT IS APPLIED TO THE COMPONENTS, AND I'VE DISCUSSED HOW YOU CAN USE THE COMBINATION OF THOSE AS A HASHING FUNCTION ON A DOWNLOADABLE THAT INCLUDES THE ORIGINAL FILE THAT YOU SENT FOR ALONG WITH ALL OF THOSE COMPONENTS.  SO ESSENTIALLY IT'S LIKE A BIT OF A JIGSAW PUZZLE, YOU CALCULATE THE INDIVIDUAL PIECES, PUT ALL OF THE PIECES TOGETHER, AND YOU GET THE I.D., AND THAT'S FUNCTIONING REALLY IN THE SAME DOING THE SAME FUNCTION AS WHAT IS DESCRIBED HERE IN ORDER TO CREATE AN I.D. FOR THE DOWNLOADABLE. Q. AND IS IT ALSO SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION? A. SO AT THE VERY LEAST SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME FUNCTION, YES. Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT PROXYSG AND PROXYAV ARE DOING THE FUNCTIONS IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY AS THIS CLAIM ELEMENT? A. ABSOLUTELY. Q. AND CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY? A. CERTAINLY. I MEAN, AS YOU CAN SEE IT'S ALL BASED ON FORMING AN I.D. BASED ON HASHING, AND AS I DESCRIBED, THAT'S APPROXIMATELY WHAT THE MDS DOES, IT PERFORMS THE HASHING IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME WAY. Q. IN REVIEWING THE EXHIBITS, TESTIMONY, AND THE PRODUCTS,	06:31 1 06:31 2 06:31 3 06:31 4 06:31 5 06:31 6 06:31 7 06:31 8 06:31 9 06:31 10 06:31 11 06:31 12 06:31 13 06:31 14 06:31 15 06:31 15 06:31 17 06:32 18 06:32 19 06:32 20 06:32 21	A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THIS ELEMENT IS MET UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS?  A. YES, THAT IS RIGHT.  Q. IF WE CAN TURN TO CAN I PUT A CHECK IN THE BOX?  A. YES.  Q. OKAY. THANKS.  LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT CLAIM, THE FINAL CLAIM. THIS IS  CLAIM 13. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT BLUE COAT HAS  STIPULATED THAT CLAIM 13 IS FOUND IN THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS?  A. SO IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A DEPENDENT CLAIM, IT DEPENDS ON CLAIM 9, AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY  STIPULATED TO THE OR WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML CODE, THAT IS CORRECT?  Q. AND IS IT YOUR OPINION, REGARDLESS, THAT THE PROXYSG AND THE PROXYAV PRODUCTS ARE THE SYSTEM OF OR MEET THE LIMITATION OF CLAIM 9 WHEREIN THE DOWNLOADABLE INCLUDES HTML CODE?  A. YES, IT IS.  Q. AND IS THAT OPINION BASED ON YOUR REVIEW OF THE EVIDENCE, INCLUDING THE DOCUMENTS, THE TESTIMONY, SOURCE CODE, AND THE