

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
6 990 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
9 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800
10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
11 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
19 Corporation,

20 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

1 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s (“Finjan”) Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was
 2 brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of
 3 Kristopher Kastens filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause and compelling
 4 reasons for granting the request to file certain documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE and compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

6 1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that have overcome the right of public
 7 access to the record of the following documents:

Identification of Documents to be Sealed	Entity that Designated the Information to be Confidential
Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s Letter to the Court re Juniper’s Assertion of Joint Defense Group Privilege, at page 2, ¶ 1, ll. 6-7, 10-11; page 2, ¶ 2, ll. 2-6, 9-13; and page 3, ¶ 1, ll. 16-20.	Juniper
Exhibits 2-3 to Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s Letter to the Court re Juniper’s Assertion of Joint Defense Group Privilege in their entirety.	Juniper
Exhibits 4 at page 2, ¶ 2, ll. 5-6, 9-13 to Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s Letter to the Court re Juniper’s Assertion of Joint Defense Group Privilege.	Juniper

18 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be
 19 prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

20 3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

21 4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

22
 23 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan’s Administrative Motion to File Documents Under
 24 Seal is GRANTED with respect to the documents set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.

25
 26 DATED: _____

26 By: _____
 27 Hon. William Alsup
 28 United States District Judge