

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 AUSTIN MANES (State Bar No. 284065)
amanes@kramerlevin.com
6 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
7 990 Marsh Road
8 Menlo Park, CA 94025
9 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
11 FINJAN, INC.

12
13 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

16 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
20 Corporation,

21 Defendant.
22

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO KEEP
COURT FILINGS UNDER SEAL,
PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S ORDER
AT DKT. NO. 388**

1 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s ("Finjan") Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was
 2 brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of Austin
 3 Manes filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause and compelling reasons for
 4 granting the request to file certain documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE and compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

6 1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public access to
 7 the record of the following documents:

Identification of Documents	Dkt. No.	Specific Page and Line Numbers to Seal	Reason to Keep Sealed
Exhibit 7 to the Carson Declaration filed in support Defendant Juniper Networks Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment regarding Claim 1 of the '780 Patent.	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 95-15</i>	Page 2, line 19 Page 3, lines 7 and 18	Identifies a confidential licensee who is also an unrelated third-party; Finjan is obligated to keep the name of the first licensee listed on each of these lines confidential
Exhibit 8 to the Carson Declaration filed in support Defendant Juniper Networks Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment regarding Claim 1 of the '780 Patent.	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 105-3</i>	Page 8, line 11	Identifies a confidential licensee who is also an unrelated third-party; Finjan is obligated to keep the name of this licensee confidential
Exhibit 1 to Defendant Juniper Networks Inc.'s Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Mr. Kevin M. Arst	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 228-7</i>	Pages 7-12 of the Arst Report	Identifies specific terms and dollar amounts of Finjan's confidential agreements with unrelated third-parties
Exhibit 6 to Defendant Juniper Networks Inc.'s Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Mr. Kevin M. Arst	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. 242-4</i>	Page 71, lines 7-14 of the October 23, 2018 Deposition of Philip Hartstein	Identifies specific dollar amounts of Finjan's confidential agreements with unrelated third-parties
Exhibit 4 to Finjan's Opposition to Defendant Juniper Networks Inc.'s	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 238-</i>	Page 79, line 2 through Page 80 line 23 of the October 23,	Identifies specific terms of Finjan's confidential

1	Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Mr. Kevin M. Arst	10	2018 Deposition of Philip Hartstein	agreement with an unrelated third-party
2				
3	Finjan's Motion to Exclude Opinions of Defendant's Damages Expert Dr. Keith R. Ugone	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 229-4</i>	The dollar amounts listed in footnote 3 on Page 7, lines 25-27.	Identifies specific dollar amounts of Finjan's confidential agreements with unrelated third-parties
4				
5				
6	Exhibit 2 to Finjan's Motion to Exclude Opinions of Defendant's Damages Expert Dr. Keith R. Ugone	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 229-8</i>	Pages 10-11 of the Arst Report	Identifies specific terms and dollar amounts of Finjan's confidential agreements with unrelated third-parties
7				
8				
9				
10	Exhibit 5 to Finjan's Motion to Exclude Opinions of Defendant's Damages Expert Dr. Keith R. Ugone	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 229-12</i>	Page 1 of Exhibit 5, Bates Numbered FINJAN-JN 039749	Includes copies of actual pages from Finjan's confidential agreement with an unrelated third-party
11				
12				
13	Defendant Juniper Networks Inc.'s Opposition to Finjan's Motion to Exclude Opinions of Defendant's Damages Expert Dr. Keith R. Ugone	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 236-4</i>	Page 14, line 5	Identifies a confidential licensee who is also an unrelated third-party, whose name Finjan is obligated to keep confidential
14				
15				
16				
17	Exhibit 3 to the Kastens Declaration filed in support of Finjan's Reply in support of its Motion to Exclude Opinions of Defendant's Damages Expert Dr. Keith R. Ugone	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 244-8</i>	The Entire Exhibit 3	Includes copies of actual pages from Finjan's confidential agreement with an unrelated third-party
18				
19				
20				
21	Exhibit 8 to the Manes Declaration filed in support of Finjan's Opposition to Defendant Juniper Networks Inc.'s Motions in Limine Nos. 1-3	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 261-11</i>	Pages 108 and 128 of the PDF attached as Exhibit 8 (i.e., Bates Numbered pages FINJAN-JN 193242 and FINJAN-JN 193262)	Identifies specific dollar amounts of Finjan's confidential agreements with unrelated third-parties
22				
23				
24				
25	Exhibit 2 to the Wang Declaration filed in support of Defendant Juniper Networks Inc.'s Motions in Limine Nos. 1-5	<i>Unredacted Version filed at Dkt. No. 261-9</i>	Pages 7-12 of the Arst Report	Identifies specific terms and dollar amounts of Finjan's confidential agreements with
26				
27				
28				

			unrelated third-parties
--	--	--	-------------------------

1
2 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be
3 prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

4 3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

5 4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.
6

7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan’s Administrative Motion to File Documents Under
8 Seal is GRANTED with respect to the documents set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.
9

10 DATED: _____

By: _____

Hon. William Alsup
United States District Judge