

DKT. 153-4

(REDACTED)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE

1 PAUL ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
6 990 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
9 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800
10
11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
12 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

14 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
18 Corporation,

19 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S REPLY IN
SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INFRINGEMENT
OF CLAIM 10 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,677,494**

Date: July 26, 2018

Time: 8:00 a.m.

Courtroom: Courtroom 12, 19th Floor

Before: Hon. William Alsup

21
22 **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE**

23 **UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED**
24
25
26
27
28

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
I. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	1
A. “database”	1
B. “Downloadable Scanner”	2
C. Suspicious Computer Operations.....	3
D. A List of Suspicious Computer Operations	4
E. Database Manager.....	5
II. INFRINGEMENT.....	6
A. Preamble and Element 10(a) is Met.....	6
B. Element 10(b) is Met	6
1. Malware Analysis Pipeline (“MAP”) is a “Downloadable Scanner”	6
2. MAP creates “a list of suspicious computer operations that may be attempted by the Downloadable.”	7
C. Element 10(c) is Met.....	8
1. MAP Stores the Downloadable Security Profile (“DSP”) Data in the ResultsDB	8
2. The ResultsDB has a “Database Manager”	10
III. CLAIM 10 IS ELIGIBLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101.....	11
IV. FINJAN COMPLIED WITH 35 U.S.C. § 287	13
V. CONCLUSION.....	15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prods. Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	15
<i>Baldwin Graphic Sys., Inc. v. Siebert, Inc.</i> , 512 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	9
<i>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</i> , 881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	13
<i>Blitzsafe Tex., LLC v. Honda Motor Co.</i> , No. 2:15-cv-1274-JRG-RSP, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 58358 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 26, 2017).....	15
<i>Bradford Co. v. Jefferson Smurfit Corp.</i> , No. 2000-1511, 2001 WL 35738792 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 31, 2001).....	13
<i>Cioffi v. Google, Inc.</i> , 632 Fed. App'x 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	4
<i>Crane Security Techs., Inc. v. Rolling Optics AB</i> , No. 14-12428-LTS, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12730 (D. Mass. Jan. 26, 2018)	14
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. National Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	2
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.</i> , 879 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	11, 12
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys. LLC</i> , No. 15-cv-03295-BLF, 2016 WL 7212322 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 13, 2016).....	3, 5, 12, 13
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. McAfee, Inc.</i> , No. 10-cv-00593 (GMS), Dkt. 326 (D. Del. Dec. 29, 2012)	3, 5
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc.</i> , No. 13-cv-05808-HSG, Dkt. 117-1 (N.D. Cal. January 26, 2015)	3
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Sophos, Inc.</i> , 244 F. Supp. 3d 1016 (N.D. Cal. 2015)	3, 12, 13
<i>Funai Elec. Co. v. Daewoo Elecs. Corp.</i> , 616 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	14

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE

1	<i>Gart v. Logitech, Inc.</i> ,	
	254 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	14
2	<i>Info-Hold, Inc. v. Applied Media Techs. Corp.</i> ,	
3	783 F.3d 1262 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	2
4	<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> ,	
5	550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	13
6	<i>Menda Biton v. Menda</i> ,	
	796 F. Supp. 631 (D.P.R. 1992).....	14
7	<i>North Am. Watch Corp. v. Princess Ermine Jewels</i> ,	
8	786 F.2d 1447 (9th Cir. 1986)	14, 15
9	<i>Palo Alto Networks, Inc. v. Finjan, Inc.</i> ,	
10	No. 2017-2543, Dkt. 42 (Fed. Cir. May 22, 2018)	5, 11
11	<i>SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC</i> ,	
	825 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	5
12	<i>Sonix Techs. Co. v. Pubs. Int’l, Ltd.</i> ,	
13	844 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	4
14	<i>Trading Techs. Int’l, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> ,	
15	675 F. App’x 1001 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	11
16	Statutes	
17	18 U.S.C.A. § 2510.....	14
18	18 U.S.C. § 2511(2)(d)	13
19	35 U.S.C. § 101.....	11, 13
20	35 U.S.C. § 287.....	13
21	Cal. Penal Code § 632 (a), (b).....	13
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.