DKT. 261-9 (REDACTED)

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 424-26 Filed 04/11/19 Page 2 of 28

EXHIBIT 15

UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 424-26 Filed 04/11/19 Page 3 of 28

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY ERIC B. COLE, PH.D. - 11/14/2018

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,
5	Plaintiff, Case No.
6	3:17-cv-05659-WHA vs.
7 8	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,
9	
10	Defendant.
11	
12	
13	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
14	
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ERIC B. COLE, PH.D.
16	Wednesday, November 14, 2018
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by: Cynthia Manning, CSR No. 7645, CLR, CCRR
24	
25	Job No. LA-196239

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 424-26 Filed 04/11/19 Page 4 of 28

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY ERIC B. COLE, PH.D. - 11/14/2018

Page 31

	ERIC B. COLE, PH.D 11/14/2018 Page 31	1
1	Q. So is it your opinion that there are any	09:50
2	viable noninfringing alternatives to Claim 10 of the	09:50
3	'494 patent?	09:50
4	A. I do not believe there is any viable	09:50
5	noninfringing alternatives.	09:50
6	Q. Do you have an opinion about what would	09:51
7	have been the next best alternative for Juniper if	09:51
8	it could not have used the technology recited in	09:51
9	Claim 10 of the '494 patent?	09:51
10	A. Can you repeat the question again?	09:51
11	Q. Do you have an opinion about what would	09:51
12	have been the next best alternative for Juniper if	09:51
13	it could not have used the technology recited in	09:51
14	Claim 10 of the '494 patent?	09:51
15	A. I believe some of the noninfringing	09:51
16	alternatives that were discussed or might have been	09:51
17	in the reports I read was essentially not to use a	09:51
18	database and to essentially have to recalculate and	09:51
19	re-perform analysis for every piece of malware that	09:52
20	came in, which would be very process-intensive and	09:52
21	also be very, very, very expensive to do.	09:52
22	Q. When you say, "I believe some of the	09:52
23	noninfringing alternatives that were discussed or	09:52
24	might have been in the reports I read," what report	09:52
25	did you read that talked about not using a database	09:52
]

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 424-26 Filed 04/11/19 Page 5 of 28

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY ERIC B. COLE. PH.D. - 11/14/2018

F	ERIC B. COLE, PH.D 11/14/2018 Page 32	1
1	and essentially having to recalculate and re-perform	09:52
2	analysis for every piece of malware that came in?	09:52
3	A. Honestly, sitting here today, I wasn't	09:52
4	because I consumed so much information in a short	09:52
5	period of time over the last week, I wasn't sure if	09:52
6	it just came up on the phone call or if that was in	09:52
7	Dr. Rubin's report or not. I know it was discussed	09:52
8	last week, but I can't remember which one it came	09:53
9	from, so I would have to go back and check on that.	09:53
10	Q. Okay. So is the concept of reprocessing	09:53
11	files as opposed to storing results in a database,	09:53
12	is that an alternative that you came up with?	09:53
13	A. Once again, I don't remember. I know it	09:53
14	was discussed on the call. I don't remember if they	09:53
15	asked me and I came up with that or if they	09:53
16	suggested it and asked my opinion or if I read that	09:53
17	in Dr. Rubin's report.	09:53
18	Q. When you say "they," who are you talking	09:53
19	about?	09:53
20	A. That would be the damages expert and the	09:53
21	attorneys that were on that call.	09:53
22	Q. Okay. So let's go back to before you	09:53
23	submitted your original report, okay, before you	09:53
24	submitted your report in September.	09:54
25	A. Okay.	09:54

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.