DKT. 236-4 (REDACTED)



Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 424-17 Filed 04/11/19 Page 2 of 21 UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

1	IRELL & MANELLA LLP Jonathan S. Kagan (SBN 166039)				
2	jkagan@irell.com Alan Heinrich (SBN 212782)				
3	aheinrich@irell.com Joshua P. Glucoft (SBN 301249)				
4	jglucoft@irell.com Casey Curran (SBN 305210)				
5	ccurran@irell.com Sharon Song (SBN 313535)				
6	ssong@irell.com 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900				
7	Los Angeles, California 90067-4276 Telephone: (310) 277-1010				
8	Facsimile: (310) 203-7199				
9	Rebecca L. Carson (SBN 254105) rcarson@irell.com				
10	Kevin Wang (SBN 318024) kwang@irell.com				
11	840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, California 92660-6324				
12	Telephone: (949) 760-0991				
13	Facsimile: (949) 760-5200				
14	Attorneys for Defendant JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.				
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
17					
17	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION			
18	SAN FRANCI FINJAN, INC.,				
		SCO DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S			
18	FINJAN, INC.,	SCO DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO FINJAN, INC.'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE OPINIONS OF			
18 19	FINJAN, INC., Plaintiff,	SCO DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO FINJAN, INC.'S			
18 19 20	FINJAN, INC., Plaintiff, vs.	Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO FINJAN, INC.'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE OPINIONS OF DAMAGES EXPERT DR. KEITH R. UGONE Date: Nov. 29, 2018			
18 19 20 21	FINJAN, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO FINJAN, INC.'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE OPINIONS OF DAMAGES EXPERT DR. KEITH R. UGONE Date: Nov. 29, 2018 Time: 8:00 AM Courtroom: Courtroom 12, 19 th Floor			
18 19 20 21 22	FINJAN, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO FINJAN, INC.'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE OPINIONS OF DAMAGES EXPERT DR. KEITH R. UGONE Date: Nov. 29, 2018 Time: 8:00 AM			
18 19 20 21 22 23	FINJAN, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO FINJAN, INC.'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE OPINIONS OF DAMAGES EXPERT DR. KEITH R. UGONE Date: Nov. 29, 2018 Time: 8:00 AM Courtroom: Courtroom 12, 19 th Floor			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	FINJAN, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO FINJAN, INC.'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE OPINIONS OF DAMAGES EXPERT DR. KEITH R. UGONE Date: Nov. 29, 2018 Time: 8:00 AM Courtroom: Courtroom 12, 19 th Floor			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FINJAN, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	Case No. 3:17-cv-05659-WHA JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO FINJAN, INC.'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE OPINIONS OF DAMAGES EXPERT DR. KEITH R. UGONE Date: Nov. 29, 2018 Time: 8:00 AM Courtroom: Courtroom 12, 19 th Floor			



Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 424-17 Filed 04/11/19 Page 3 of 21 UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

1			TABLE OF CONTENTS
2			<u>Page</u>
3	I.	SUMN	MARY OF DR. UGONE'S DAMAGES OPINION
4	II.	ARGU	JMENT2
5		A.	Dr. Ugone Included Revenues From All Accused Products In His Royalty Base
6 7			1. Dr. Ugone Properly Included All SRX Devices "Used In Combination With Sky ATP" In His Royalty Base
8			2. Finjan's Attempt To Belatedly Change The Scope Of Its Infringement Claim Is Both Inappropriate And Futile
9		В.	Finjan's Complaints About Dr. Ugone's Reliance On Juniper Licenses Are Not The Proper Basis For A <i>Daubert</i> Challenge
11			1. Juniper's Joe Security Software License Agreement9
12			2. Juniper's Prior Patent Agreements
13		C.	Dr. Ugone Properly Considered Finjan's Prior Agreements
14		D.	Dr. Ugone Does Not Use Juniper's Actual Revenues A Cap
15		E.	Dr. Ugone Properly Considered Juniper's Non-Infringing Alternatives
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			



Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 424-17 Filed 04/11/19 Page 4 of 21 UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	Page(s)
3	Cases
4 5	ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc., 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
6	Apple Inc. v. Motorola, Inc., 757 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2014)
7 8	Aqua Shield v. Inter Pool Cover Team, 774 F.3d 766 (Fed. Cir. 2014)14
9 10	Bresler v. Wilmington Tr. Co., 855 F.3d 178 (4th Cir. 2017)
11	Cave Consulting Grp., Inc. v. Truven Health Analytics Inc., 2017 WL 4772348 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 2017)
1213	Commonwealth Sci. & Indus. Research Organisation v. Cisco Sys., Inc., 809 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2015)
14 15	Dura Automotive Sys. of Ind., Inc. v. CTS Corp., 285 F.3d 609 (7th Cir. 2002)
16	Ericsson, Inc. v. D-Link Sys., Inc., 773 F.3d 1201 (Fed. Cir. 2014)
17 18	Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Comput. Corp., 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010)
19 20	Fujitsu Ltd. v. Belkin Int'l, Inc., 2012 WL 5835741 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 16, 2012)
21	Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc., 87 F. Supp. 3d 928 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 31, 2015)
2223	Innovus Prime, LLC v. Panasonic Corp., 2013 WL 3354390 (N.D. Cal. July 2, 2013)
2425	LaserDynamics, Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc., 694 F.3d 51 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
26	In re MSTG, Inc., 675 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
2728	Nazomi Communications, Inc. v. Nokia Corp., 739 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2014)



Ī

Case 3:17-cv-05659-WHA Document 424-17 Filed 04/11/19 Page 5 of 21 UNREDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

1 2	Oracle Am., Inc. v. Google Inc., 2012 WL 877125 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 15, 2012) (Alsup, J.)
3	Prism Techs. LLC v. Sprint Spectrum L.P., 849 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2017)
4 5	ResQNet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc., 594 F.3d 860 (Fed. Cir. 2010)
6 7	Riles v. Shell Exploration & Prod. Co., 298 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2002)
8	Telcordia Techs, Inc. v. Lucent Techs, Inc., 2007 WL 7076662 (D. Del. Apr. 27, 2007)
9	Telemac Cellular Corp. v. Topp Telecom, Inc., 247 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2001)8
11	TransCore, LP v. Elec. Transaction Consultants Corp., 563 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2009)12
1213	United States v. 14.38 Acres of Land, 80 F.3d 1074 (5th Cir. 1996)
14 15	Virnetx, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc., 767 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2014)
16	Rules
17	Fed. R. Evid. 26
18	Fed. R. Evid. 702
19	Fed. R. Evid. 703
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
28	

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

