

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)  
pandre@kramerlevin.com  
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)  
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com  
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)  
jhannah@kramerlevin.com  
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)  
kkastens@kramerlevin.com  
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP  
6 990 Marsh Road  
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025  
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700  
9 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800  
10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
11 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**  
14

15 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware  
19 Corporation,

20 Defendant.  
21

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

1 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s (“Finjan”) Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal was  
 2 brought before this Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the supporting declaration of Austin  
 3 Manes filed in support of the motion, the Court finds there to be good cause and compelling reasons for  
 4 granting the request to file certain documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE and compelling reasons having been shown, the Court finds that:

6 1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that have overcome the right of public  
 7 access to the record of the following documents:

Identification of Documents to be Sealed	Entity that Designated the Information to be Confidential
Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s Reply in Support of its Second Motion for Early Summary Judgment Regarding Infringement of Claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154, at page 5, ll. 17-24; page 6, ll. 1-4, 27-28; page 7, ll. 1-15, 26-28; page 8, ll. 8-14, 24-28; page 9, ll. 1-20, 27-28; page 10, ll. 1-2, 13-21; page 11, ll. 18-28; page 12, ll. 1-2, 4-28; page 13, ll. 1-9; page 14, ll. 15-25; page 15, ll. 1-9.	Juniper
Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Kris Kastens filed in support of Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s Reply in Support of its Second Motion for Early Summary Judgment Regarding Infringement of Claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154.	Juniper

17 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be  
 18 prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

19 3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

20 4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

21  
 22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan’s Administrative Motion to File Documents Under  
 23 Seal is GRANTED with respect to the documents set forth above. IT IS SO ORDERED.

24  
 25 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. William Alsup  
 United States District Judge