

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
6 990 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
9 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800
10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
11 FINJAN, INC.

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**
14

15 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware
19 Corporation,

20 Defendant.
21

Case No.: 3:17-cv-05659-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S MOTION
FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT
PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 60(B)**

Date: May 9, 2019
Time: 8:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 12, 19th Floor
Before: Hon. William H. Alsup

[PROPOSED] ORDER

1
2 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.’s (“Finjan”) Motion for Relief from Judgement Pursuant to Federal Rule of
3 Civil Procedure 60(b) was brought before the Court. Upon consideration of this motion and the
4 pleadings and papers file in support of and opposition to the same, and **GOOD CAUSE** appearing
5 therefor, this Court finds as follows:

6 Finjan’s Motion for Relief from Judgement Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) is
7 hereby **GRANTED**. Finjan has demonstrated that newly-discovered evidence and / or discovery
8 misconduct by Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc. warrant relief from the jury verdict entered in this
9 action on December 14, 2018 and this Court’s subsequent denial of Finjan’s Motion for Judgment as a
10 Matter of Law on March 11, 2019. Finjan is entitled to a new trial on the issues of infringement and
11 damages. The Court will issue a scheduling order for a new trial on these issues.

12
13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14
15 DATED: _____

By: _____
Hon. William Alsup
United States District Judge