

EXHIBIT H

From: John Garland [john.garland@finjan.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2015 3:12:33 PM
To: Julie Mar-Spinola
Subject: Confidential: Juniper Networks Licensing Discussions update | ATTORNEY-WORK-PRODUCT/ATTORNEY-CLIENT-PRIVILEGED | internal purposes only

Julie

I had my 30 minute call with Scott Coonan & I need to type it now because of how poor I think it went. he's not a charmer

Told him I wanted to work on a method that works for Juniper & that permits an even exchange of information.

He (Scott or "S:") complimented me on my overture & then asked how did we find the information?
ohn ("J") J: : Juniper product literature and analysis by our engineers.

S: Wow, you have engineers?

J : yes.

Scott, " I didn't know that." And they understand security products and have worked in the industry?

J: Yes

S: How do I know you have worthy engineers?

J: I am happy to arrange a call or meeting and we can provide a high level overview of the patents to your engineers.

S; Can I speak with investor? A: No

S: Why not?

J: because it's not necessary; when I was AT&T and Lucent we licensed companies around the world using engineers that weren't the inventors of the patents; they were extremely knowledgeable on semiconductor processing and methods, etc. So no, I reject that request and given it's not necessary .

S; I am sure you know there is an **incredibly unified defense group** and the defendants are pretty unified and are going to drain you guys.

J: How are you aware of it? Is Juniper in the group?

S: No

S: it's simply defendants and possible future targets

J: if you are not in the group, then, how did you become aware of it?

S: we talk to the defendants; don't you talk to the other plaintiffs suing Juniper?

J: No

J: how do you know of this group; this seems incredible

S: I am in the industry, I see people and ask around.

J: but this is sensitive information – why is it shared?

S: So how do we get to the truth absent an NDA because I won't sign one.

J: has Juniper ever taken a license?

S: when I am deposed I will answer we have taken a license, when there his merit in the case we sign a license.

J: how do you determine merit?

S: it's a trade secret; it takes a ton of resources and we want to do the right thing. The targets all talk.

J: that seems anti-competitive

S: why would you say that?

J: companies, including your competitors, working closely against Finjan; colluding with others in the industry.

** sidebar: at some point Scot did go through the meetings w/Finjan at Finjan where he was willing to share information re: one defendant. I stated I was aware of that just not the meetings. & Julie rejected it. So, I said, are you hurt? Is that the only acceptable solution.you trade information and "it let's Juniper was-away" as you put it? How did you value that

S: It's up to you to value it.

J: So, Okay, Julie valued it and was not interested. So, does that event carryover to your attitude toward Finjan now/today? Why do you think that was a fair trade? How can you assume that addresses your potential royalties? You need to assess that information & place a price on it.

Scott then goes through a meaningless sports analogy of football Patriots versus Bills on MNF (Monday Night Football).

J: I told him it's a ridiculous analogy because it's public; it's on television; anyone can see it. The NDA permits the parties to talk with an ability of company to company (private) exchange which is certainly the way I have seen it done over the last 23 years.

S: I'd love to put Finjan on television

J: you seem to have a bias in these discussions; whenever I mention Finjan you substitute 'patent holder.' Would Juniper ever consider paying royalties to Finjan or does Juniper find that objectionable?

S: if there are valid, merit based claims

J: there are. what if I publish the claim charts of Juniper's infringement on our website? Don't you see how ridiculous this is; this information isn't public

S: I want you to do it. Okay, so your next step is to publish the claim charts and then if you don't you can contact me.

J: Assume Julie rejects that idea, so now where do we go? I send you the claim charts. Do you share them with the "incredibly unified defense group"? What happens next. My analysis and information is good, so what's next.

S: I want to be transparent; if you send me the claim charts I will share it.

J: I told him, this is exactly why I wanted a business person to be in these discussions. It's a business decision and it requires a risk assessment, but you don't want to solve this in a conference room. You used words describing Finjan as "plaintiff" or "patent holder" and phrases like "when I am deposed", etc. You are a litigator, you carry litigation in your title and you're taught in law school that it's a black and white world when it really has 32 shades of gray.

S: do you have anything else to say?

J: No

End of call